

RESEARCH

Open Access



Evaluation of the antibacterial activity of selected Kenyan medicinal plant extract combinations against clinically important bacteria

Elizabeth A Odongo^{1,2*}, Peggoty C Mutai¹, Beatrice K Amugune¹, Nelly N Mungai¹, Mary O Akinyi² and Julia Kimondo³

Abstract

Background Infectious diseases are a major global public health concern as antimicrobial resistance (AMR) currently accounts for more than 700,000 deaths per year worldwide. The emergence and spread of resistant bacterial pathogens remain a key challenge in antibacterial chemotherapy. This study aims to investigate the antibacterial activity of combined extracts of various Kenyan medicinal plants against selected microorganisms of medical significance.

Methods The antibacterial activity of various extract combinations of *Aloe secundiflora*, *Toddalia asiatica*, *Senna didymobotrya* and *Camelia sinensis* against *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and *Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus* was assessed using the agar well diffusion and the minimum inhibitory concentration *in-vitro* assays. The checkerboard method was used to evaluate the interactions between the various extract combinations. ANOVA test followed by Tukey's post hoc multiple comparison test was used to determine statistically significant differences in activity ($P < 0.05$).

Results At concentrations of 100 mg/ml (10,000 $\mu\text{g/well}$), the different combinations of the aqueous, methanol, dichloromethane and petroleum ether extracts of the selected Kenyan medicinal plants revealed diverse activity against all the test bacteria. The combination of methanolic *C. sinensis* and *A. secundiflora* was the most active against *E. coli* (14.17 ± 0.22 mm, diameter of zones of inhibition (DZI); MIC 2500 $\mu\text{g/well}$). The combination of methanolic *C. sinensis* and *S. didymobotrya* was the most active against *S. aureus* (16.43 ± 0.10 mm; MIC 1250 $\mu\text{g/well}$), *K. pneumoniae* (14.93 ± 0.35 mm, DZI; MIC 1250 $\mu\text{g/well}$), *P. aeruginosa* (17.22 ± 0.41 mm, DZI; MIC 156.25 $\mu\text{g/well}$) and *MRSA* (19.91 ± 0.31 mm, DZI; MIC 1250 $\mu\text{g/well}$). The Minimum Inhibitory Concentration of the different plant extract combinations ranged from 10,000 $\mu\text{g/well}$ to 156.25 $\mu\text{g/well}$. The ANOVA test indicated statistically significant differences ($P < 0.05$) between single extracts and their combinations. The fractional inhibitory concentration indices

*Correspondence:
Elizabeth A Odongo
lizzieodongo@gmail.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

(FICI) showed that the interactions were either synergistic (10.5%), additive (31.6%), indifferent (52.6%), or antagonistic (5.3%) for the selected combinations.

Conclusion This study findings validate the ethnopractice of selectively combining medicinal plants in the management of some bacterial infections in traditional medicine.

Keywords Medicinal plants, Antibacterial, Synergistic, Traditional medicine

Background

Antibiotics have made a considerable contribution to the control of infectious diseases that have over time contributed to human morbidity and mortality for most of human existence [1]. In spite of the existing range of conventional antimicrobial agents in clinical use, antimicrobial resistance (AMR) remains a constant threat with regular antibiotic use [2, 3]. Among bacterial infections, the so-called “ESKAPE” pathogens have caused the most concerns based on their prevalence and overall mortality [4]. Failure to take the appropriate measures to combat the progress of antimicrobial resistance may result in the loss of approximately 10 million lives and cost about US\$100 trillion per year by 2050 [5]. The significant gaps in the surveillance of antimicrobial resistance coupled with a lack of quality data on the impact of antimicrobial resistance is a common observation in most African countries [5].

There is a continuous need to develop new medicines that are capable of overcoming microbial resistance. Approximately, 30 to 40% of the commercially available antimicrobial drugs are from natural products and primarily from microbial origins [6]. Plants are a promising alternative in the search for new antimicrobials based on their utilization in traditional medicine for the management of bacterial diseases and potential to provide an unlimited range of chemical compounds for exploration [6, 7]. Over 1340 plants possess defined antimicrobial activity and about 30,000 antimicrobial compounds have been isolated from plants [8].

Drug combination is a recognized approach in both traditional and conventional medicine systems. It is based on synergistic interactions to improve the therapeutic efficacy and lifespan of drugs [9–11]. For example, locals around the Lake Victoria basin in Tanzania reportedly utilize multi-plant extracts in the management of secondary opportunistic infections [12]. Polyherbalism is also famous in Ayurveda [13]. While the combination of conventional drugs is a common practice and a successful tactic in the management of drug resistant microorganisms, the outcome of combinations between herbal drugs remains obscure due to limited scientific appraisal [14].

Based on a previous systematic review on the antibacterial activity of Kenyan medicinal plants, *Camelia sinensis*, *Aloe secundiflora*, *Toddalia asiatica* and *Senna*

didymobotrya were selected for pharmacological assay as they exhibited high mean inhibition zone values and/ or low minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values [15, 16].

Camelia sinensis L. (Theaceae) is a common evergreen shrub widely grown in many parts of the world. It is used as an astringent, stimulant, diuretic and de-flatulent in traditional medicine [17]. It has antioxidant, antimicrobial, cholesterol lowering and cardio protective effects [18]. The bioactive constituents include caffeine, L-theanine and polyphenols/flavonoids, proteins, minerals, vitamins, and amino acids [17, 19].

Aloe secundiflora Engl. (Asphodelaceae) widely famed for its medicinal and cosmetic properties is the most commonly used Aloe species in Kenya [20]. It remedies constipation, sore throat and promotes wound healing [15]. The chemical constituents comprise tannins, terpenoids and flavonoids [21].

Toddalia asiatica L. (Rutaceae) is a traditional remedy for coughs, dysentery and malaria [22]. It has anti-inflammatory, analgesic, hemostatic coagulation anti-tumor effects. The main chemical constituents are coumarins and alkaloids [23].

Senna didymobotrya (Fres.) Irwin & Barneby (Fabaceae) is abundant across East Africa and the traditional preparations relieve diarrhea, malaria and ringworm [24]. Its pharmacological effects include antibacterial, anti-fungal and antioxidant [25]. The chemical constituents consist of steroids, terpenoids, anthraquinones, tannins, saponins, glycosides, flavonoids, alkaloids and phenols [24].

This study presents the first report on the antibacterial activities of various plant extract combinations of four Kenyan medicinal plants.

Methods

Collection of plant material

C. sinensis (leaves) was collected from Shinyalu (Kakamega County). The leaves of *S. didymobotrya* were collected from Kangundo road (Machakos County). The stem bark of *T. asiatica* was collected from Tala (Machakos County) while the leaves of *A. secundiflora* were collected from Matuu (Machakos County). The plants were authenticated at the Department of Botany, University of Nairobi and voucher specimen deposited at the University of Nairobi herbarium. Voucher numbers were

allocated as follows: *C. sinensis* (EAO UON 2021/001), *A. secundiflora* (EAO UON 2021/002), *S. didymobotrya* (EAO UON 2021/003) and *T. asiatica* (EAO UON 2021/004). The plant materials were individually air-dried under shade and ground into powder using a laboratory mill [14].

Extraction procedures

Extraction was separately done using four solvents of different polarities (petroleum ether (PET), dichloromethane (DCM), methanol (MeOH) and water (H₂O)).

Hot aqueous extraction

About 200 gm of each dried plant powder was separately extracted using 800 ml of distilled water by heating at 60 °C for 30 min. After cooling, the mixture was then filtered through Whatman No.1 filter paper. Reduction was done using a rotary evaporator. The dry aqueous extracts were obtained via lyophilization [26].

Organic solvent extraction

Approximately 100 gm of each dried powder were separately extracted using 500 ml of petroleum ether, dichloromethane and methanol. Maceration with stirring was done for 72 h at room temperature. The mixture was filtered through Whatman No.1 filter paper. The extracts were then concentrated at 40 °C (for petroleum ether and dichloromethane extracts) and at 65 °C (for methanol extracts) using a rotary evaporator (Heidolph WB2000, Germany) [27]. The organic solvent extracts were further evaporated to dryness at 40 °C in an oven before storing at 4 °C for future use [28].

Collection of bacterial cultures

Pure bacteria cultures of; *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25,923, *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25,922, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ATCC 70,063 and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* 15,422 (from Department of Pharmacy, University of Nairobi) and *MRSA* ATCC 1385 (from Department of Biology, University of Nairobi) were maintained on nutrient broth slants at 4 °C [29]. The standard inoculum suspensions were adjusted to turbidity equivalent to 0.5 McFarland standards and modified to give a density of 1×10^6 cells or spores/ml [29, 30].

Sterilization and equipment

All the glassware, nutrient media and distilled water used in the antibacterial activity studies were sterilized in an autoclave Memmert Universal oven (Mettler GmbH and Co, KG, Schwabach, Germany) at 121 °C for 15 min before use [28]. The bacteriological wire loop and cork borer were sterilized by flaming using a Bunsen burner flame. All bench work involving use of microorganisms was carried out in a Bioflow laminar flow cabinet

(Vermeulen, L.J. BVBA, Westmalle, Belgium) while a Freezer-1 incubator (Analis, Suarlee, Belgium) was used for incubation of the microorganisms [28, 31].

Preparation of stock solutions

The extracts stock solutions were prepared by dissolving 500 mg in 5 ml of 10% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). Stock solutions of the extract- extract combinations were prepared by combining the two extracts (ratio 1:1) [30]. Gentamicin (30 mg/ 100 ml sterile distilled water) was used as positive control for *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* [31]. Mupirocin (10 mg/ 100 ml sterile distilled water) was used as positive control for *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus*. A 10% DMSO solution served as the negative control [32, 33].

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing was done by agar well diffusion method according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute [34]. The bacterial test organisms were cultured on tryptone soya agar. The nutrient agar was inoculated uniformly with the standardized test organisms. Reservoir wells were formed by cutting out cylindrical plugs from the solidified nutrient agar at equidistant points (30 mm), using a sterile cork borer, to produce wells (diameter 10 mm) [31, 33, 35]. On each petri-dish, the respective wells were separately filled with 100 µl of the stock solutions (10,000 µg/well) single plant extracts, 100 µl plant extract combination, gentamicin 0.3 mg/ml (30 µg/well), mupirocin 0.1 mg/ml (10 µg/well) or 10% DMSO [32]. The inoculated petri-dishes with test solutions in wells were allowed to diffuse for 30 min before overnight (18 h) incubation at 37 °C. All determinations were done in triplicate. The antimicrobial activity was recorded as the diameter (mm) of the of inhibition after incubation [31, 36].

Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) determination

The MICs of the extracts against the test microorganisms were determined by the agar well diffusion method [37–40]. MIC for single extracts and extract combinations that failed to meet minimum activity threshold in the susceptibility studies were not determined [36]. For the single extracts, double serial dilution of the stock solution was carried out resulting in concentration range of 10,000 µg/ml to 78.1 µg/ml [41].

Separate petri-dishes were used for each of the test solutions (single extracts, extract combinations and antibiotic standards). On each petri-dish, the respective wells were separately filled with 100 µl of the respective dilutions [32, 36], The inoculated petri-dishes with test solutions in wells were allowed to diffuse for 30 min before overnight (18 h) incubation at 37 °C. All determinations

were done in triplicate. The MIC was determined as the lowest concentration that inhibited visible bacterial growth on the agar subculture [30].

Determination of fractional inhibitory concentration index

The antibacterial effects of combining selected plant extracts were assessed using the checkerboard method [30]. The fractional inhibitory concentration (FIC) was derived from the lowest concentrations of the extract and the extract in combination permitting no visible growth of the test organisms after incubation [42]. FIC indices were calculated using the formula described [43]: FIC index = (MIC of extract 1 in combination/MIC of extract 1 alone) + (MIC of extract 2 in combination /MIC of extract 2 alone). The following criterion was used in the interpretation of the FIC Index in relation to the mode of plant extract interactions: FICI≤0.5=synergistic effect; FICI>0.5 but ≤1=additive effect; FICI>1, but ≤4=indifferent effect and FICI>4=antagonistic effect [43]. The data were analyzed by using MS Excel 2016 and presented as mean±SD of three replicates. The significance was evaluated by analysis of variance (ANOVA) test and by Tukey’s post hoc multiple comparison test using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) 21.0. Significant differences in the data were established at the 5% level of significance [44].

Results

Both the single and the combined plant extracts in this study displayed activity against the test bacteria. The patterns of antibacterial activity varied with the plant, test microorganism and the solvent used for extraction. Generally, *C. sinensis* displayed activity against the widest range of microorganisms and the polar extracts from all the four plants demonstrated higher antibacterial activity than the non-polar extracts. For example, the methanol extract of *S. didymobotrya* and that of *C. sinensis* (Table 1) individually displayed low activity against *E. coli* but exhibited an increase in the zone of inhibition in combination (Table 2). This scenario is replicated with the combination of the methanol extract of *A. secundiflora* and methanol *C. sinensis* (Table 2). The combination of dichloromethane extracts of *S. didyobotrya* and *T. asiatica* were not effective in inhibiting *MRSA*. A few (5.26%) of the extract combinations resulted in lower zones of inhibition than the single plant extracts (Tables 1 and 2).

The absolute values of the diameter of zones of inhibition (DZI) varied from 10.04 to 27.22 mm (Tables 1 and 2). The minimum inhibitory concentration range for the extract combinations was 10,000 µg/well – 156.25 µg/well and 10,000 µg/well – 1250 µg/well for single extracts (Tables 1 and 2). The ANOVA test indicated significant difference (P<0.05) in bioactivity between these

Table 1 Table showing mean diameter of zones of inhibition and Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of single plant extracts against *P. aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus*

EXTRACT (100 mg/ ml)	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>		<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>		<i>Escherichia coli</i>		<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>		<i>Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus</i>	
	DZI (mm)±SD	MIC (µg/ well)	DZI (mm)±SD	MIC (µg/ well)	DZI (mm)±SD	MIC (µg/ well)	DZI (mm)±SD	MIC (µg/ well)	DZI (mm)±SD	MIC (µg/ well)
Aloe MeOH	17.88±0.22	5000	14.60±0.18	5000	13.41±0.18	10,000	14.39±0.21	10,000	11.43±0.12	10,000
Toddalia MeOH	16.11±0.14	5000	13.79±0.02	5000	14.96±0.36	10,000	13.82±0.16	5000	14.07±0.05	2500
Senna MeOH	17.91±0.02	312.5	14.40±0.05	10,000	11.25±0.13	10,000	15.75±0.40	5000	12.03±0.18	10,000
Camelia MeOH	21.67±0.08	312.5	14.01±0.21	5000	13.03±0.04	5000	16.74±0.31	1250	16.57±0.26	1250
Aloe DCM	10.24±0.01	ND	10.15±0.03	ND	10.08±0.02	ND	10.83±0.14	ND	10.13±0.04	ND
Toddalia DCM	16.87±0.23	1250	10.23±0.07	ND	12.55±0.11	ND	11.46±0.15	ND	13.48±0.12	5000
Senna DCM	10.17±0.06	ND	10.38±0.12	ND	10.12±0.03	ND	12.29±0.18	ND	10.04±0.01	ND
Camelia DCM	11.15±0.08	ND	10.00±0.01	ND	10.36±0.04	ND	10.03±0.01	ND	10.08±0.01	ND
Aloe PET	10.30±0.04	ND	10.21±0.04	ND	10.10±0.02	ND	10.65±0.13	ND	10.06±0.02	ND
Toddalia PET	10.22±0.02	ND	10.28±0.02	ND	10.16±0.05	ND	11.61±0.04	ND	11.13±0.03	ND
Senna PET	10.12±0.06	ND	10.32±0.09	ND	10.17±0.09	ND	12.55±0.12	ND	10.10±0.02	ND
Camelia PET	11.04±0.01	ND	10.24±0.03	ND	11.05±0.14	ND	10.11±0.01	ND	10.04±0.01	ND
Aloe H ₂ O	11.33±0.25	ND	10.49±0.11	ND	10.04±0.01	ND	10.35±0.19	ND	10.24±0.02	ND
Toddalia H ₂ O	10.31±0.16	ND	10.33±0.08	ND	10.10±0.02	ND	11.06±0.02	ND	10.26±0.01	ND
Senna H ₂ O	19.72±0.29	312.5	11.39±0.13	10,000	10.42±0.16	10,000	13.12±0.04	10,000	10.50±0.06	10,000
Camelia H ₂ O	15.66±0.23	2500	13.48±0.03	2500	17.04±0.24	2500	14.75±0.27	2500	15.05±0.13	2500
DMSO	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	
Gentamycin sulphate (0.3 mg/ ml)	23.24±0.20	4.375	14.00±0.02	8.75	16.02±0.08	8.75				
Mupirocin (0.1 mg/ml)							25.92±0.23	2.315	25.9±0.10	8.75

Table 2 Table showing mean diameter of zones of inhibition, Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and Fractional Inhibitory Concentration Index (FICI) of plant extract combinations against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus*

EX-TRACT (100 mg/ml)	<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>				<i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i>				<i>Escherichia coli</i>				<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>				<i>Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus</i>					
	DZI* (mm) ± SD	MIC (µg/well)	Σ FICI	DZI* (mm) ± SD	MIC (µg/well)	Σ FICI	DZI* (mm) ± SD	MIC (µg/well)	Σ FICI	DZI* (mm) ± SD	MIC (µg/well)	Σ FICI	DZI* (mm) ± SD	MIC (µg/well)	Σ FICI	DZI* (mm) ± SD	MIC (µg/well)	Σ FICI	DZI* (mm) ± SD	MIC (µg/well)	Σ FICI	
Camelia MeOH/ Senna MeOH	27.22±0.41	156.25	1.0**	14.93±0.35	1250	0.375*	14.02±0.03	625	0.188*	16.43±0.10	1250	1.25***	19.91±0.31	1250	0.5625*							
Camelia MeOH/ Aloe MeOH	22.07±0.38	312.5	1.1***	14.19	2500	1.0**	14.17±0.22	2500	0.75**	14.72±0.34	2500	2.25***	16.24±0.22	1250	1.125***							
Camelia MeOH/ Toddalia MeOH	18.35±0.22	625	2.1***	13.72±0.16	2500	1.0**	10.48±0.17	ND	ND	14.43±0.12	2500	2.5***	17.06±0.41	1250	1.5***							
Camelia MeOH/ Toddalia DCM	19.03±0.46	625	2.5***	13.51±0.10	ND	ND	10.05±0.01	ND	ND	13.49±0.23	ND	ND	16.28±0.32	1250	1.25***							
Senna DCM/ Toddalia DCM	ND	ND	ND	12.77±0.01	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	14.33±0.16	ND	ND	10.70±0.06	ND	ND							
Cam-elia H2O/ Senna H2O	22.22±0.15	156.25	0.5625*	10.36±0.10	ND	ND	10.15±0.04	ND	ND	14.1±0.02	10,000	5***	13.96±0.24	1250	0.625**							
DMSO	0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00									
Genta-mycin sulphate (0.3 mg/ml)	23.24±0.20	4.375		14.00±0.02	8.75		16.02±0.08	8.75														
Mupi-rocin (0.1 mg/ml)										25.92±0.23	2.315		25.9±0.10	2.315	ND							

*Synergy ** Additive ***Indifferent **** Antagonism

combinations. The fractional inhibitory concentration indices (FICI) showed that the interactions were synergistic (10.5%), additive (31.6%), indifferent (52.6%), and antagonistic (5.3%). The fractional inhibitory concentration indices (FICI) ranged from 0.5 to 2.5 for *P. aeruginosa*, 0.375 to 1.0 for *K. pneumoniae*, 0.188 to 0.75 for *E. coli*, 1.25 to 5 for *S. aureus* and 0.5625 to 0.625 for MRSA strains. The best synergistic interaction (FICI 0.188) appeared with *Camelia* methanol and *Senna* methanol combination against *E. coli* strain.

Discussion

The polar single extracts had higher activity than the non-polar single extracts. This is in agreement with the findings of a previous studies [36, 45–49]. The methanol crude extracts showed more inhibition than the aqueous extracts (Table 1). This observation is similarly reported in previous studies [50–52]. It is possible that the aqueous crude extracts may contain a lower concentration of antibacterial constituents and this may explain why large quantities of decoctions are taken over a relatively long period to achieve therapeutic success [53, 54].

It is evident that combining some plant extracts improved bioactivities over individual plant extracts. In this study, the polar compounds interacted more synergistically than the non-polar compounds. These findings are comparable to previous studies [7, 10, 45, 55, 56]. Combination drug therapies target multiple pathologic processes thus are capable of suppressing bacterial resistance mechanisms to remedy bacteria [8, 57].

The observed synergistic activity may be explained by the ability of compounds within the plants extracts to interact with one another to improve their solubility, enhance their bioavailability and subsequent antibacterial activity. Possible differences in modes of action of different compounds present in the combined extracts may also result in synergism [58–60]. Pharmacodynamic synergy may have also occurred resulting in different agents regulating either the same or different target in various pathways [61]. The combinations that displayed these positive interactions can be considered as a potential strategy to combat bacterial resistance.

As previously reported elsewhere, non-polar extracts seem less potent than the polar extracts (Tables 1 and 2) [62, 55]. For combinations with non-polar constituents, higher doses but within safety levels can be explored in future [36, 55].

The lower activity in some combinations may be attributed to the respective compounds either neutralizing each other's activity or forming inactive complexes when in combination [63, 64]. Combination of compounds with minor structural differences that may compete for the same molecular target could also result in antagonism [65]. For the combinations that displayed antagonistic

activity, different combination ratios could be further explored [66, 67].

The observed variation in the antibacterial activities for specific plant extract combinations could be due to the differences in chemical composition and concentrations [44, 68]. Some constituents from the plants have reported antibacterial activity through various mechanisms. Ulopterol, a coumarin compound from *T. asiatica* has been shown to inhibit the growth of *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* [69]. An alkaloid (chelerythrine) isolated from *T. asiatica* exerts its antibacterial activity via destroying the cell wall and membrane [70].

Tannins present in *C. sinensis* are shown to react with proteins of the bacterial cell wall to form stable water-insoluble components [71]. Flavonoids bind with intracellular proteins as well as soluble proteins present in the bacterial cell walls. Steroids are shown to form complexes with membrane lipids thus resulting in leakage [21, 72, 73]. These compounds present in *C. sinensis*, may have contributed to the observed antibacterial effect. The exhibited antibacterial activity of *S. didymobotrya* may be due to the presence of alkaloids that are known to interchelate with DNA of both Gram positive and negative bacteria and interfere with cell division [74].

Essential oils have been shown to disrupt the cell wall and lipid bilayer of gram-positive bacteria, resulting in the disarray of metabolic processes and cell lysis [75]. This may account for the antibacterial activity observed in non-polar extracts of *T. asiatica* and *S. didymobotrya* against *S. aureus* and MRSA.

In this study, the combination of extracts with a similar phytochemical profile displayed increased bioactivity as in the case of *S. didymobotrya* and *C. sinensis*. This may be due to increased concentrations of the similar antibacterial compounds thus resulting in higher potency.

Conclusion and recommendations

Plants remain a valuable resource while bioprospecting for novel antimicrobial drugs. The findings of this study support the use of multiple herbs to manage bacterial infections as an appreciable proportion of tested combinations exhibited synergistic and additive properties. To optimize the use of such combinations, there may be need to first standardize the herbal preparations in a bid to ensure efficacy and for safe delivery. Further studies on combination of isolated compounds responsible for the observed antibacterial activity may guide into realization of novel antibacterial agents.

Abbreviations

ANOVA	Analysis of variance
CLSI	Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute
DCM	Dichloromethane
DZI	Diameter of zones of inhibition
FICI	Fractional Inhibitory Concentration Index
H ₂ O	Water

MeOH Methanol
MIC Minimum Inhibitory Concentration
PET Petroleum ether

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge Raphael Ingwela for technical assistance with the extraction. Hannington Mugo for technical assistance with the antibacterial assays and Patrick Mutiso Kyalo for identification of plant species.

Authors' contributions

EO, PC, BA and NM designed the study and were major contributors in writing the manuscript. PC coordinated the plant collection. EO performed the antibacterial assay. MA and JK analyzed and interpreted experimental data. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files. The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Ethical approval was obtained from the University of Nairobi-Kenyatta National Hospital Ethical Review Committee (P387/07/2020). It does not report on or involve the use of any animal or human data or tissue. Permissions to collect the respective medicinal plants were obtained. All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Pharmaceutics & Pharmacognosy, University of Nairobi, P.O. Box 19676-00202, Nairobi, Kenya

²Department of Pharmacy, Kenya Methodist University, P.O. Box 19676-00202, Meru, Kenya

³Department of Pharmacognosy, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture Technology, P.O. Box 62000-00202, Nairobi, Kenya

Received: 17 January 2023 / Accepted: 24 March 2023

Published online: 03 April 2023

References

- Aminov RI. A brief history of the antibiotic era: lessons learned and challenges for the future. *Front Microbiol.* 2010;8:1:134. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2010.00134>.
- Silveira GP, Nome F, Gesser JC, Sá MM. Estratégias Utilizadas no Combate a Resistência Bacteriana. *Química Nova.* 2006;29(4):844–55.
- Guimarães DO, Momesso LS, Pupo MT. Antibióticos: importância terapêutica e perspectivas para a descoberta e desenvolvimento de novos agentes. *Química Nova.* 2010;33(3):667–79.
- Spengler G, Gajdács M, Donadu MG, Usai M, Marchetti M, Ferrari M, Mazzarelli V, Zanetti S, Nagy F, Kovács R. Evaluation of the Antimicrobial and Antiviral Potential of Essential Oils Isolated from *Juniperus oxycedrus* L. ssp. *macrocarpa* Aerial Parts. *Microorganisms.* 2022;10:758.
- O'Neill J. Tackling Drug-Resistant Infections Globally: Final Report and Recommendations. 2016. (http://amrreview.org/sites/default/files/160525_Final%20paperwith%20cover.pdf). Accessed 23/11/2022.
- Chattopadhyay D, Sarkar MC, Chatterjee T, et al. Recent advancements for the evaluation of anti-viral activities of natural products. *N Biotechnol.* 2009;25(5):347–68.
- Ríos JL, Recio MC. Medicinal plants and antimicrobial activity. *J Ethnopharmacol.* 2005;100:1–2.
- Tajkarimi M, Ibrahim S, Cliver D. Antimicrobial Herb and spice compounds in Food. *Food Control.* 2010;21:1199–218.
- Terrie YC. Monitoring Combination Drug T. 2010. *Monitoring Combination Drug Therapy* (<https://www.pharmacytimes.com/view/rxfocuscombination-0110>). Accessed on 10/01/2023
- Sun W, Sanderson PE, Zheng W. Drug combination therapy increases successful drug repositioning. *Drug Discov Today.* 2016;21(7):1189–95.
- Yarnell E. Synergy in herbal medicines. *J Restor Med.* 2015;4(1):60773.
- Otieno JN, Hosea KM, Lyaruu HV, Mahunnah RL. Multi-plant or single-plant extracts, which is the most effective for local healing in Tanzania? *Afr J traditional Complement Altern Med.* 2008;5(2):165–72.
- Karole S, Shrivastava S, Thomas S, Soni B, Khan S, Dubey J, Dubey SP, Khan N, Jain DK. Polyherbal Formulation Concept for Synergic Action: a review. *J Drug Discovery Ther.* 2019;9(1–s):453–66.
- Muregi FW, Chhabra SC, Njagi EN, et al. In vitro antiplasmodial activity of some plants used in Kisii, Kenya against malaria and their chloroquine potentiating effects. *J Ethnopharmacol.* 2003;84(2–3):235–9.
- Odongo EA, Mutai PC, Amugune BK, Mungai NN. A Systematic Review of Medicinal Plants of Kenya used in the Management of Bacterial Infections. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.* 2022:9089360.
- Zaidan MR, Noor Rain A, Badrul AR, Adlin A, Norazah A, Zakiah I. In vitro screening of five local medicinal plants for antibacterial activity using disc diffusion method. *Trop Biomed.* 2005;22(2):165–70.
- Aboulwafa MM, Youssef FS, Gad HA, Altyar AE, Al-Azizi MM, Ashour ML. A comprehensive insight on the health benefits and phytoconstituents of *Camellia sinensis* and recent approaches for its Quality Control. *Antioxidants (Basel).* 2019;8(10):455.
- Naveed M, BiBi J, Kamboh AA, Suheryani I, Kakar I, Fazlani SA, FangFang X, Kalhoro SA, Yunjuan L, Kakar MU, El-Hack A, Noreldin ME, Zhixiang AE, LiXia S, C., XiaoHui Z. Pharmacological values and therapeutic properties of black tea (*Camellia sinensis*): a comprehensive overview. *Biomed Pharmacother.* 2018;100:521–31.
- Saeed M, Naveed M, Arif M, Kakar MU, Manzoor R, Abd El-Hack ME, Alagawany M, Tiwari R, Khandia R, Munjal A, Karthik K, Dhama K, Iqbal H, Dadar M, Sun C. Green tea (*Camellia sinensis*) and L-theanine: Medicinal values and beneficial applications in humans-A comprehensive review. *Biomed Pharmacother.* 2017;95:1260–75.
- Bjora CS, Wabuyele E, Grace OM, Nordan I, Newton LE. The uses of kenyan aloes: an analysis of implications for names, distribution and conservation. *J Ethnobiol Ethnomed.* 2015;11:82.
- Mariita RM, Orodho JA, Okemo PO, Kirimuhuzya C, Otieno JN, Magadula JJ. Methanolic extracts of *Aloe secundiflora* Engl. Inhibits in vitro growth of tuberculosis and diarrhea-causing bacteria. *Pharmacognosy Res.* 2011;3(2):95–9.
- Alagaraj P, Muthukrishnan S. *Toddalia asiatica* L. - A Rich source of phytoconstituents with potential pharmacological actions, an appropriate plant for recent global Arena. *Cardiovasc Hematol Agents Med Chem.* 2020;18(2):104–10.
- Zeng Z, Tian R, Feng J, Yang NA, Yuan L. A systematic review on traditional medicine *Toddalia asiatica* (L.) Lam.: Chemistry and medicinal potential. *Saudi Pharm J.* 2021;29(8):781–98.
- Nyamwamu LB, Ngeiywa M, Mulaa M, Lelo EA, Ingonga J, Kimutai A. Phytochemical constituents of *Senna didymobotrya* Fresen irwin roots used as a traditional Medicinal plant in Kenya. *Int J Educ Res.* 2015;2:435–6.
- Ngule CM, Anthony ST, Obey JK. Phytochemical and bioactivity evaluation of *Senna didymobotrya* Fresen Irwin used by the Nandi community in Kenya. *Int J Bioassays.* 2013;207:1037–43.
- Woranan N, Munjit R, Kannika S, Niramol S, Somchit D. Effects of drying and extraction methods on phenolic compounds and in vitro assays of *Eclipta prostrata* Linn leaf extracts. *Sci Asia.* 2019;45:127–37.
- Sultana B, Anwar F, Ashraf M. Effect of extraction Solvent/Technique on the antioxidant activity of selected Medicinal Plant extracts. *Molecules.* 2009;14(6):2167–80.
- Atwaa ESH, Shahein MR, Radwan HA, Mohammed NS, Aloraini MA, Albezzrah NKA, Alharbi MA, Sayed HH, Daoud MA, Elmahallawy EK. Antimicrobial activity of some plant extracts and their applications in Homemade Tomato

- paste and pasteurized cow milk as natural preservatives. Fermentation. 2022;8(9):428.
29. Owk AK, Lagudu MN. *Litsea glutinosa* (Lauraceae): evaluation of its Foliar Phytochemical constituents for antimicrobial activity. Not Sci Biol. 2018;10(1):21–5.
 30. Basri DF, Sandra V. Synergistic Interaction of Methanol Extract from *Canarium odontophyllum* Miq. Leaf in Combination with Oxacillin against Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) ATCC 33591. Int J Microbiol. 2016;2016:5249534.
 31. Omosa LK, Amugune B, Ndunda B, Milugo TK, Heydenreich M, Yenesew A, Midiwo JO. Antimicrobial flavonoids and diterpenoids from *Dodonaea angustifolia*. South Afr J Bot. 2014;91:58–62.
 32. Sato M, Tanaka H, Yamaguchi R, Kato K, Etoh H. Synergistic effects of mupirocin and an isoflavanone isolated from *Erythrina variegata* on growth and recovery of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2004;24(3):241–6.
 33. Chaturvedi P, Singh AK, Singh AK, Shukla S, Agarwal L. Prevalence of *Mupirocin Resistant Staphylococcus aureus* isolates among patients admitted to a Tertiary Care Hospital. North Am J Med Sci. 2014;6(8):403–7.
 34. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; CLSI. CLSI document M100-S23. Vol. 33. Wayne PA. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Twenty-Third Informational Supplement; 2013. pp. 74–85.
 35. Munir MT, Pailhories H, Eveillard M, Irle M, Aviat F, Dubreil L, Federighi M, Belloncle C. Testing the antimicrobial characteristics of Wood materials: a review of methods. Antibiotics. 2020;9(5):225.
 36. Perera MMN, Dighe SN, Katavic PL, Collet TA. Antibacterial Potential of Extracts and Phytoconstituents Isolated from *Syncarpia hillii* Leaves *In Vitro*. Plants. 2022;11:283.
 37. Matu EN, Kirira PG, Kigonda EVM, Moindi E, Amugune B. Antimicrobial activity of organic total extracts of three Kenyan medicinal plants. Afr J Pharmacol Ther. 2012;1(1).
 38. Gonelimali FD, Lin J, Miao W, Xuan J, Charles F, Chen M, Hatab SR. Antimicrobial Properties and Mechanism of Action of Some Plant Extracts Against Food Pathogens and Spoilage Microorganisms. Front Microbiol. 2018;9:1639. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01639>. PMID: 30087662; PMCID: PMC6066648
 39. Singh BR. Evaluation of antibacterial activity of *Salvia officinalis* [L.] sage oil on veterinary clinical isolates of bacteria. Noto-are: Medicine. 2013. <https://www.notoare.com/index.php/index/explorer/getPDF/15341289>
 40. Boyan Bonev J, Hooper J, Parisot. Principles of assessing bacterial susceptibility to antibiotics using the agar diffusion method. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2008;61:1295–301. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkn090>.
 41. Afrasiabi S, Bahador A, Partoazar A. Combinatorial therapy of chitosan hydrogel-based zinc oxide nanocomposite attenuates the virulence of *Streptococcus mutans*. BMC Microbiol. 2021;21:62.
 42. Olajuyigbe OO, Coopoosamy RM. Influence of first-line antibiotics on the Antibacterial Activities of Acetone Stem Bark Extract of *Acacia mearnsii* De Wild. Against Drug Resistant Bacterial isolates. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med. 2014;2014:423751. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/423751>. Epub 2014 Jul 1. PMID: 25101132; PMCID: PMC4102002.
 43. Meletiadiis J, Pournaras S, Roilides E, Walsh TJ. Defining fractional inhibitory concentration index cutoffs for additive interactions based on self-drug additive combinations, Monte Carlo simulation analysis, and in vitro-in vivo correlation data for antifungal drug combinations against *aspergillus fumigatus*. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2010;54(2):602–9.
 44. Hemeg, et al. Antimicrobial effect of different herbal plant extracts against different microbial population. Saudi J Biol Sci. 2020;32:2177.
 45. Saraiva AM, Castro RHA, Cordeiro RP, et al. In vitro evaluation of antioxidant, antimicrobial and toxicity properties of extracts of *Schinopsis brasiliensis* engl. (Anacardiaceae). Afr J Pharm Pharmacol. 2011;5(14):1724–31.
 46. Narod FB, Gurib-Fakim A, Subratty AH. Biological investigations into *Antidesma madagascariense* Lam. (Euphorbiaceae), *Faujasiaopsis flexuosa* (Lam.) C. Jeffrey (Asteraceae), *Toddalia asiatica* (L.) Lam. and *Vepris lanceolata* (Lam.) G. Don (Rutaceae). J Cell Mol Biol. 2004;3:15721.
 47. Asan-Ozusaglam M, Erzenin M, Darilmaz DO, Erkul SK, Teksen M, Karakoca K. Antimicrobial and antioxidant activity of various solvent extracts of *Salsola stenoptera* Wagenitz and *Petrosimonia nigdeensis* Aellen (Chenopodiaceae) plants. Chiang Mai J Sci. 2015;42(1):156–72.
 48. Kahlout E, Borsh K, Aksoy WA, Kichaoui A, Hindi EM, Ashgar EN. Evaluation of Antibacterial and Synergistic/Antagonistic effect of some Medicinal plants extracted by microwave and conventional methods. J Biosci Med. 2020;8:69–79. <https://doi.org/10.4236/jbm.2020.89006>.
 49. Khanal, et al. Green Synthesis of Silver Nanoparticles from Root Extracts of *Rubus ellipticus* Sm. and Comparison of Antioxidant and Antibacterial Activity. J Nanomater. 2022. Article ID 1832587.
 50. Komape NPM, Bagla VP, Kabongo-Kayoka P, et al. Anti-mycobacteria potential and synergistic effects of combined crude extracts of selected medicinal plants used by Bapedi traditional healers to treat tuberculosis related symptoms in Limpopo Province, South Africa. BMC Complement Altern Med. 2017;17:128. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12906-016-1521-2>.
 51. Jigna P, Chanda SV. In vitro Antimicrobial Activity and Phytochemical Analysis of some indian Medicinal plants. Turk J Biol. 2007;3:53–8.
 52. Yineger H, Kelbessa E, Bekele, Lulekal T. Plants used in traditional management of human ailments at Bale Mountains National Park, Southeastern Ethiopia. J Med plant Res. 2008;2(6):132–53.
 53. Kitonde C. Antimicrobial activity and phytochemical screening of *Senna didymobotry* used to treat bacterial and fungal infections in Kenya. Int J Educ Res. 2014;2(1).
 54. Burman S, Bhattacharya K, Mukherjee D, Chandra G. Antibacterial efficacy of leaf extracts of *Combretum album* pers. Against some pathogenic bacteria. BMC Complement Altern Med. 2018;18(1):213.
 55. Obuekwe IS, Okoyomo EP, Anka US. Effect of Plant Extract Combinations on some bacterial pathogens. J Appl Sci Environ Manag. 2020;24:627–32.
 56. Vaou N, Stavropoulou E, Voidarou C, Tsakris Z, Rozos G, Tsigalou C, Bezirtzoglou E. Interactions between Medical Plant-Derived Bioactive Compounds: Focus on Antimicrobial Combination Effects. Antibiotics. 2022;11:1014. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics11081014>
 57. Worthington RJ, Melander C. Combination approaches to combat multidrug-resistant bacteria. Trends Biotechnol. 2013;31(3):177–84.
 58. Parekh J, Jadeja D, Hanlin RL. Efficacy of aqueous and methanol extracts of some medicinal plants for potential antibacterial activity. Turk J Biol. 2005;29:203–10.
 59. Wagner H, Ulrich-Merzenich G. Synergy Research: approaching a New Generation of Phytopharmaceuticals. Phytomedicine. 2009;16:97–110.
 60. Chouhan S, Sharma K, Guleria S. Antimicrobial activity of some essential Oils-Present Status and Future Perspectives. Medicines (Basel). 2017;4(3):58. <https://doi.org/10.3390/medicines4030058>. Published 2017 Aug 8.
 61. Yang Y, Zhang Z, Li S, Ye X, Li X, He K. Synergy effects of herb extracts: pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamic basis. Fitoterapia. 2014;92:133–47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fitote.2013.10.010>. Epub 2013 Oct 28. PMID: 24177191
 62. Nazemi M, Moradi Y, Rezvani GF, Ahmadiataba MA, Gozari M, Salari Z. Antimicrobial activities of semi polar-nonpolar and polar secondary metabolites of sponge *Dysidea pallens* from Hengam Island, Persian Gulf. Iran J Fisheries Sci. 2017;15(5):200–9.
 63. Idowu OA, Babalola AS, Olukunle J. Antagonistic effects of some commonly used herbs on the efficacy of artemisinin derivatives in the treatment of malaria in experimental mice. Bull Natl Res Cent. 2020;44:176. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s42269-020-00429-2>.
 64. Masoumian M, Zandi M. Antimicrobial activity of some Medicinal Plant extracts against Multidrug resistant Bacteria. Zahedan J Res Med Sci. 2017;19(1):e10080.
 65. Caesar LK, Cech NB. Synergy and antagonism in natural product extracts: when 1 + 1 does not equal 2. Nat Prod Rep. 2019;36(6):869–88. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c9np00011a>.
 66. Kharsany K, Viljoen A, Leonard C, van Vuuren SV. The New Buzz: investigating the antimicrobial interactions between Bioactive Compounds found in south african Propolis. J Ethnopharmacol. 2019;24:1732.
 67. Wang FY, Xu JK. Liu New geranyloxycoumarins from. J Asian Nat Prod Res. 2009;11:752–6.
 68. Sukieum S, Sang-Aroon W, Yenjai C. Coumarins and alkaloids from the roots of *Toddalia asiatica*. Nat Prod Res. 2018;32:944–52.
 69. Karunai RM, Balachandran C, Duraipandiyar V, Agastian P, Ignacimuthu S. Antimicrobial activity of Ulopterol isolated from *Toddalia asiatica* (L.) Lam.: a traditional medicinal plant. J Ethnopharmacol. 2012;140(1):161–5.
 70. He N, Wang P, Wang P, et al. Antibacterial mechanism of chelerythrine isolated from root of *Toddalia asiatica* (Linn) Lam. BMC Complement Altern Med. 2018;18:261.
 71. Khan, et al, antimicrobial potential of aqueous extract of *Camellia sinensis* against representative microbes. Pak J Pharm Sci. March 2019;32(2):631–6.
 72. Dangoggo SM, Hassan LG, Sadig IS, Manga SB. Phytochemical analysis and antibacterial screening of leaves of *diospyrosespiliformis* and *ziziphuspinachristi*. J Chem Eng. 2012;1(1):31–7.

73. Wang C, Han J, Pu Y, Wang X. Tea (*Camellia sinensis*): a review of nutritional composition, potential applications, and Omics Research. *Appl Sci*. 2022;12(12):5874.
74. Bukar AM, Kyari MZ, Gwaski PA, Gudusu M, Kuburi FS, Abadam YI. Evaluation of phytochemical and potential antibacterial activity of ziziphusspina-christi against some medically important pathogenic bacteria. *J Pharmacogen Phytochem*. 2015;3(5):98–101.
75. Spengler G, Gajdács M, Donadu MG, Usai M, Marchetti M, Ferrari M, Mazzarelli V, Zanetti S, Nagy F, Kovács R. Evaluation of the antimicrobial and antivirulent potential of essential oils isolated from *Juniperus oxycedrus* L. ssp. macrocarpa Aer Parts. *Microorganisms*. 2022;10:758. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms10040758>.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.