



Is Our Natural Food Our Homeostasis? Array of a Thousand Effect-Directed Profiles of 68 Herbs and Spices

Tamara Schreiner, Dorena Sauter, Maren Friz, Julia Heil and Gertrud Elisabeth Morlock*

Institute of Nutritional Science, Chair of Food Science, and TransMIT Center for Effect-Directed Analysis, Justus Liebig University Giessen, Giessen, Germany

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Michael Heinrich, UCL School of Pharmacy, United Kingdom

Reviewed by:

Yusof Kamisah, Universiti Kebangaan Malaysia, Malaysia David Morton, La Trobe University, Australia

*Correspondence:

Gertrud Elisabeth Morlock gertrud.morlock@uni-giessen.de

Dedicated to the 75th birthday of Prof. Dr. Teresa Kowalska, University of Silesia, Poland

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Ethnopharmacology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

Received: 09 August 2021 Accepted: 03 November 2021 Published: 09 December 2021

Citation:

Schreiner T, Sauter D, Friz M, Heil J and Morlock GE (2021) Is Our Natural Food Our Homeostasis? Array of a Thousand Effect-Directed Profiles of 68 Herbs and Spices. Front. Pharmacol. 12:755941. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.755941 The beneficial effects of plant-rich diets and traditional medicines are increasingly recognized in the treatment of civilization diseases due to the abundance and diversity of bioactive substances therein. However, the important active portion of natural food or plant-based medicine is presently not under control. Hence, a paradigm shift from quality control based on marker compounds to effect-directed profiling is postulated. We investigated 68 powdered plant extracts (botanicals) which are added to food products in food industry. Among them are many plants that are used as traditional medicines, herbs and spices. A generic strategy was developed to evaluate the bioactivity profile of each botanical as completely as possible and to straightforwardly assign the most potent bioactive compounds. It is an 8-dimensional hyphenation of normal-phase highperformance thin-layer chromatography with multi-imaging by ultraviolet, visible and fluorescence light detection as well as effect-directed assay and heart-cut of the bioactive zone to orthogonal reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography-photodiode array detection-heated electrospray ionization mass spectrometry. In the non-target, effect-directed screening via 16 different on-surface assays, we tentatively assigned more than 60 important bioactive compounds in the studied botanicals. These were antibacterials, estrogens, antiestrogens, androgens, and antiandrogens, as well as acetylcholinesterase, butyrylcholinesterase, α -amylase, a-glucosidase, ß-glucosidase, ß-glucuronidase, and tyrosinase inhibitors, which were on-surface heart-cut eluted from the bioautogram or enzyme inhibition autogram to the next dimension for further targeted characterization. This biological-physicochemical hyphenation is able to detect and control active mechanisms of traditional medicines or botanicals as well as the essentials of plant-based food. The array of 1,292 profiles (68 samples × 19 detections) showed the versatile bioactivity potential of natural food. It reveals how efficiently and powerful our natural food contributes to our homeostasis.

Keywords: botanical, effect-directed analysis, 8D hyphenation, high-performance thin-layer chromatography, highperformance liquid chromatography, mass spectrometry

1 INTRODUCTION

Herbs and spices are widely used for nutrition, flavoring, cosmetics, dyeing, or fragrances (Guldiken et al., 2018). They are also applied in medicine due to their known beneficial effects on human health (Yuan et al., 2016; Caesar et al., 2019), inspired by traditional healers who have used botanical extracts since ancient times (Belwal et al., 2018b). The knowledge of biologically active plants, their harvesting, production, preparation, and administration has been passed down through thousands of years of traditional medicine (Yuan et al., 2016). Particularly phenols were reported to have antibacterial, antiviral, and antioxidant effects, as well as the ability to modulate enzyme activity and transduction pathways (Krüger et al., 2017; Tresserra-Rimbau et al., 2018). Some studies have quantified the total amount of healthful constituents in herbal extracts and calculated the recommended intake of antioxidants from culinary herbs (Halvorsen et al., 2002; Wojdylo et al., 2007). However, their multifactorial relevance in homeostasis is underexplored. It is evident that the use of the whole natural plant extract is more powerful for homeostasis due to the versatility of the gentle mechanisms of active compounds than the use of isolated compounds (Morlock and Heil, 2020).

In a typical screening for potential drug candidates, plant extracts are currently freed from assay-interfering tannins by solid-phase extraction, separated with an HPLC gradient (42 min/sample including equilibration), and collected in fractions, which are screened for bioactivity in a microtiter plate assay (Kongstad et al., 2015). Therefore, bioactivity can only be assigned to a fraction containing several analytes via a costly and time-consuming workflow, which subsequently requires analytical separation and testing of each peak to assign the individual bioactive compounds (Caesar et al., 2019). In routine, there has only been a little progress in nontarget screening of food for bioactive compounds at an affordable price. Most methods deal with illicit additions, organic contaminants (Fu et al., 2017), adulterated foods (Díaz et al., 2012), and migrants from packaging (Rusko et al., 2020; Su et al., 2020). Also, generic chromatography-based high-resolution mass spectrometric methods were examined to cover as many substances as possible within a single analysis (Díaz et al., 2012). However, one drawback is the high load of interfering matrix caused by the diversity and abundance of substances in such natural products as spices and herbs (Caesar et al., 2019; Morlock and Heil, 2020). Elaborate sample preparation (which is selective and error-prone) would otherwise limit the validity and significance of the results. The state of the art is setting an intensity threshold and focusing on highly abundant signals (Wu et al., 2016). But even the smallest signal can have an important biological effect. Ignoring minor signals from the set instrumental threshold will produce grossly negligent results. Moreover, compounds may not ionize well or at all with standard settings of mass spectrometric recording. That is why routine analysis of natural extracts is still tailored and limited to marker compounds. However, the important active portion of natural food needs to be under (analytical) control, which is presently not the case.

To overcome these limitations and expand the analytical toolbox, a high-throughput eight-dimensional (8D) hyphenation was recently developed, and its proof of principle was shown for cinnamon samples detected with an antibacterial bioassay (Schreiner and Morlock, 2021). It demonstrated the information gained by combining effect-directed assays (EDA) with normalphase high-performance thin-layer chromatography including multi-imaging by ultraviolet, visible, and fluorescence light detection (NP-HPTLC-UV/Vis/FLD) (Morlock, 2021). Heartcut elution and transfer of the bioactive compound zone to an reversed-phase high-performance orthogonal liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) system was exploited to separate potentially coeluting bioactive substances. The subsequent photodiode array detection (DAD) and heated electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (HESI-MS) were used for additional straightforward characterization of the bioactive The substances. advantage of NP-HPTLC-UV/Vis/ FLD-EDA-heart-cut RP-HPLC-DAD-HESI-MS is that it prioritizes and reduces the thousands of compounds in such natural samples to the most important bioactive compounds. As the previously developed hyphenation was only shown for cinnamon and one antibacterial bioassay, this study intended to examine the influence of 68 different plant matrices and 16 different assays on the robustness of the new 8D hyphenation. It was of interest to prove its universal validity and significance, to figure out potential limitations, and to verify its suitability as generic activity screening. Such straightforward effect-directed profiling could be applied to reveal, understand, and control the mode of action of traditional medicines, botanicals, and plantbased food.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Chemicals and Materials

Purity grades were listed when available. All salts were of p. a. quality and water free unless stated otherwise. Ethanol, toluene (all solvents of chromatography grade), bovine serum albumin (BSA, fraction V, \geq 98%), dipotassium hydrogen phosphate (K₂HPO₄, ≥99%), sodium dihydrogen phosphate monohydrate (NaH₂PO₄ · H₂O, \geq 98%), glycerol (Rotipuran, 86%), potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH₂PO₄, ≥99%), dipotassium hydrogen phosphate trihydrate (K₂HPO₄ · 3 H₂O, ≥99%), sodium hydroxide (NaOH, ≥98%), disodium hydrogen phosphate (Na₂HPO₄, ≥99%), potassium chloride (KCl, 98.5%), polyethylene glycol (PEG) 8000 (Ph. Eur.), kojic acid (>98%), acetic acid (100%), sulfuric acid (96%), hydrochloric acid (37%, HCl, purest), citric acid (p. a.), 3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT, ≥98%), 3-[(3cholamidopropyl) dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonate (CHAPS, ≥98%), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), and tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (TRIS, ≥99.9%) were obtained from Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany. Diammonium hydrogen phosphate ([NH₄]₂HPO₄, \geq 99%) was purchased from Acros Organics, Morris Plains, NJ, United States. Butyrylcholinesterase (BChE) from equine serum (≥140 U/mg) was provided by SERVA, Heidelberg, Germany. Acarbose (\geq 95%), α -glucosidase from Saccharomyces cerevisiae

TABLE 1 Compilation of 68 botanicals, including botanical name, plant part, and sample weights (W) extracted with 5 ml methanol (*filtered through 0.45 µm PTFE filter).

| No | Common name | Botanical name | Plant part | W [mg |
|---------------|---------------------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------|
| | Acerola | Malpighia glabra L [Malphighiaceae] | fruits | 501.5 |
| | Horehound, white | Marrubium vulgare L. [Lamiaceae] | herb | 500.1 |
| | Apple* | Malus sylvestris (L.) Mill. [Rosaceae] | peel | 500.7 |
| | Artichoke, globe | Cynara cardunculus subsp. scolymus (L.) [Asteraceae] | leaves | 501.3 |
| | Basil | Ocimum basilicum L. [Lamiaceae] | herb | 500.6 |
| | Fenugreek | Trigonella foenum-graecum L. [Fabaceae] | seeds | 499.9 |
| | Stinging nettle* | Urtica dioica L. [Urticaceae] | leaves | 501.5 |
| | Blackberry | Rubus fruticosus L. [Rosaceae] | leaves | 500.6 |
| | Eucalyptus | Eucalyptus globulus Labill. [Myrtaceae] | leaves | 499.7 |
|) | Fennel | Foeniculum vulgare Mill. [Apiaceae] | fruits | 499.9 |
| 1 | Fruit tea, yellow | not available | unknown | 501.3 |
| <u>2</u> 3 | Fruit tea, red | not available | unknown | 502.6 |
| | Galangal | Alpinia officinarum Hance. [Zingiberaceae] | roots | 501.8 |
| - - | Ginkgo | Ginkgo biloba L. [Ginkgoaceae] | leaves | 502.7 502.3 |
| | Ginseng Guarana | Panax ginseng C.A.Mey. [Araliaceae] | roots | 498.8 |
| ; , | | Paullinia cupana Kunth [Sapindaceae] | seeds | |
| | Dog rose | Rosa canina L. [Rosaceae] | fruits | 501.0 |
| 3 | Blueberry, European | Vaccinium myrtillus L. [Eriaceae] | fruits | 501.2 |
|) | Hibiscus | Hibiscus rosa-sinensis L. [Malvaceae] | blossoms | 499.6 |
|) | Raspberry | Rubus idaeus L. [Rosaceae] | juice concentrate from fruits | 503.0 |
| | Elderberry | Sambucus nigra L. [Adoxaceae] | fruits | 501.4 |
| | Elder flower | Sambucus nigra L. [Adoxaceae] | blossoms | 502.5 |
| | Honeybush* | Cyclopia genistoides (L.) R.Br. [Fabaceae] | leaves, branches, blossoms | 499.3 |
| | Нор | Humulus lupulus L. [Cannabaceae] | blossoms | 502.1 |
| | Ginger | Zingiber officinale Roscoe [Zingiberaceae] | roots | 499.0 |
| ; | Jasmine* | Jasminum officinale L. [Oleaceae] | blossoms | 499.2 |
| • | Cassis | Ribes nigrum L. [Grossulariaceae] | juice concentrate from fruits | 500.7 |
| | Chamomile | Matricaria chamomilla L. [Asteraceae] | blossoms | 499.3 |
| | Cardamom* | Elettaria cardamomum (L.) Maton [Zingiberaceae] | fruits | 499.6 |
| | Garlic | Allium sativum L. [Amaryllidaceae] | bulbs | 499.9 |
| | Kola* | Cola nitida (Vent.) Schott and Endl. [Malvaceae] | seeds | 500.8 |
| 2 | Coriander | Coriandrum sativum L. [Apiaceae] | fruits | 501.3 |
| 3 | Caraway | Carum carvi L. [Apiaceae] | fruits | 500.0 |
| | Lovage | Levisticum officinale W.D.J.Koch [Apiaceae] | roots | 499.6 |
| 5 | Marjoram | Origanum majorana L. [Lamiaceae] | herb | 502.4 |
| i | Yerba mate* | Ilex paraguariensis A.StHil. [Aquifoliaceae] | leaves, roasted | 499.6 |
| 7 | Yerba mate | Ilex paraguariensis A.StHil. [Aquifoliaceae] | leaves | 500.2 |
| 3 | Lemon balm | Melissa officinalis L. [Lamiaceae] | leaves | 500.6 |
|) | Clove* | Syzygium aromaticum (L.) Merr. and L.M.Perry [Myrtaceae] | flower buds | 501.9 |
|) | Orange | Citrus × aurantium L. [Rutaceae] | blossoms | 499.7 |
| | Orange | Citrus × aurantium L. [Rutaceae] | peel | 501.1 |
| 2 | Oregano | Origanum vulgare L. [Lamiaceae] | herb | 501.5 |
| 3 4 | Passionflower | Passiflora incarnata L. [Passifloraceae] | blossoms | 501.1 |
| | Peppermint | Mentha × piperita L. [Lamiaceae] | leaves | 500.3 |
| | Rooibos* | Aspalathus linearis (Burm.f.) R.Dahlgren [Fabaceae] | leaves | 500.7 |
| | Rosemary* | Salvia Rosmarinus Spenn. [Lamiaceae] | leaves | 500.9 |
| | Sage | Salvia officinalis L. [Lamiaceae] | leaves | 499.9 |
| • | Sea buckthorn | Hippophae rhamnoides L. [Elaeagnaceae] | fruits | 501.9 |
| | Horsetail | Equisetum arvense L. [Equisetaceae] | herb | 499.3 |
| | Yarrow* | Achillea millefolium L. [Asteraceae] | herb | 501.6 |
| | Celeriac | Apium graveolens L. [Apiaceae] | bulb | 501.3 |
| | Coneflower | Echinacea angustifolia DC. [Asteraceae] | herb and roots | 499.1 |
| | Plantain | Plantago lanceolata L. [Plantaginaceae] | leaves | 500.5 |
| | Star anise | Illicium verum Hook.f. [Schisandraceae] | fruits | 500.3 |
| | Licorice | Glycyrrhiza glabra L. [Fabaceae] | roots | 500.3 |
| i | Siberian ginseng | Eleutherococcus senticosus (Rupr. and Maxim.) Maxim. [Araliaceae] | roots | 503.4 |
| | Thyme | Thymus vulgaris L. [Lamiaceae] | herb | 499.6 |
| | Grape* | Vitis vinifera L. [Vitaceae] | seed | 499.9 |
| | Grape | Vitis vinifera L. [Vitaceae] | peel | 499.7 |
| | Juniper | Juniperus communis L. [Cupressaceae] | fruits | 501.5 |
| | Grape | Vitis vinifera L. [Vitaceae] | leaves | 501.2 |
| | Hawthorn | Crataegus sp. [Rosaceae] | leaves and blossoms | 499.7 |
| | Hawthorn leaves (Batch 1) | Crataegus sp. [Rosaceae] | leaves | 501.8 |
| | Hawthorn leaves (Batch 2) | Crataegus sp. [Rosaceae] | leaves | 499.9 |
| | Chicory | Cichorium intybus L. [Asteraceae] | roots | 501.1 |
| | Cinnamon | Cinnamomum verum J.Presl [Lauraceae] | bark | 501.5 |
| | Lemon peel | Citrus × limon (L.) Osbeck [Rutaceae] | peel | 500.7 |
| | | Aloysia citridora Paláu [Verbenaceae] | leaves | 500.4 |

(1,000 U/vial), tyrosinase from mushroom (≥1,000 U/mg, 25 kU/ vial), β-glucuronidase from Escherichia coli (5,000 U/vial), acetylcholinesterase (AChE) from Electrophorus electricus (≥245 U/mg, 10 kU/vial), peptone from casein (for microbiology), sodium acetate, sodium chloride (NaCl), Müller-Hinton broth (for microbiology), D-(+)-glucose (99.5%), rivastigmine (\geq 98%), imidazole (\geq 99.5%), copper sulfate, 7-hydroxy-4-methylcoumarin (4-methylumbelliferone, >98%), yeast nitrogen base without amino acids (for molecular biology), quercitin-3-O-glucoside (\geq 90%), liquiritigenin (\geq 97%), naringenin (≥95%), syringic acid (≥95%), pinobanksin (≥95%), sodium hydrogen carbonate (99.7%), lysogeny broth (containing 5 mg/ml sodium chloride) powder, ampicillin sodium salt, a-amylase from hog pancreas (50 U/mg), Gram's iodine solution (for microscopy) and testosterone (≥99%) were delivered by Sigma-Aldrich, Steinheim, Germany. 2-Naphthyl- β -D-glucopyranoside (95%) and β -glucosidase from almonds (3,040 U/mg) were provided by ABCR, Karlsruhe, Germany. 1-Naphthyl acetate (≥98%) and 2-naphthyl-α-D-glucopyranoside were obtained from AppliChem, Darmstadt, Germany. Fast Blue B salt (95%) was purchased from MP Biomedicals, Eschwege, Germany. 5-Bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-glucopyranosid-uronic sodium salt was obtained from Carbosynth, Compton-Berkshire, United Kingdom. Methanol (MS quality) and formic acid (99%) were delivered from VWR, Darmstadt, Germany. D-Saccharolactone and (2S)-2-amino-3-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl) propionic acid (levodopa) was obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, United States. 17-β-Estradiol (98.5%) was obtained from Dr. Ehrenstorfer, Augsburg, Germany. Ethyl acetate (\geq 99.8%) and yeast extract powder (for microbiology) were purchased from Th. Geyer, Renningen, Germany. The medium for the Gram-negative, naturally luminescent marine Aliivibrio fischeri bacteria (DSM-7151, German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures, Berlin, Germany) is listed elsewhere (European Committee for Standardization, 2009). Gram-positive soil bacteria Bacillus subtilis subsp. spizizenii (DSM-618), magnesium sulfate heptahydrate (MgSO₄ · 7 H₂O, 99.5%), citric acid monohydrate (≥99.5%), 4-methyl-umbelliferyl-β-D-galactopyranoside, phosphatebuffered saline (without Ca²⁺), soluble starch, as well as HPTLC plates silica gel 60 F254 MS-grade and HPTLC plates silica gel 60 (both 20cm × 10 cm) were provided by Merck, Darmstadt, Germany. Bidistilled water was prepared by a Heraeus Destamat Bi-18 E (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Dreieich, Germany). Saccharomyces cerevisiae BJ 1991, equipped with the human androgen receptor, S9 enzyme mixture (from rat liver), nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate (NADP), and glucose 6-phosphate were delivered by Xenometrix, Allschwil, Switzerland. Additional chemicals and reagents used for planar yeast ant-/agonistic androgen/estrogen screens were reported elsewhere (Klingelhöfer and Morlock, 2015; Klingelhöfer et al., 2020). The Saccharomyces cerevisiae cells equipped with the hERβ were obtained from the Erwin Herberle-Bors, University of Vienna, Austria (Kirchmayer, 2009). Reference substances acacetin (99%), eriocitrin (96%), naringin (92%), ginkgolide A (99%) and B (99%), isorhamnetin (99%), liquiritin apioside (≥95%), hesperidin (>96%), (–)-epicatechin (100%), (+)-catechin (98%), rutin (90%), and meranzin (98%) were

obtained from PhytoLab, Vestenbergsgreuth, Germany. Rosmaric acid (\geq 98%), galangin (\geq 98%), chlorogenic acid, kaempferol, and daidzein were delivered by Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, United States. Glycyrrhizic acid and 4nitroquinoline-1-oxide (98%) were purchased from TCI, Eschborn, Germany. The strain TA1535 of *Salmonella typhimiurium* (genetically modified to contain the plasmid pSK1002) was purchased as cryostock from Trinova Biochem, Giessen, Germany. Resorufin- β -D-galactopyranoside was obtained from Toronto Research Chemicals, Toronto, Canada.

2.2 Standard Solutions and Sample Preparation

Standards solutions were prepared in methanol (1 mg/ml). Samples were obtained as dried, homogenized (mostly aqueous) extracts from Martin Bauer Group, Vestenbergsgreuth, Germany. For a 10% extract solution, an aliquot (0.5 g, Table 1) of each botanical powder was suspended in 5 ml methanol, ultra-sonicated for 30 min (Sonorex Digiplus, Bandelin, Berlin, Germany), and centrifuged at $3,000 \times g$ for 15 min (Labofuge 400, Heraeus, Hanau, Germany). Each supernatant was transferred in an autosampler vial. Some extracts were additionally filtered (Table 1, marked*) through a 0.45 µm polytetrafluoroethylene filter (VWR, Darmstadt, Germany).

2.3 HPTLC-UV/Vis/FLD

Plates were pre-washed with methanol—water (4:1 V/V), dried in an oven (Memmert, Schwabach, Germany) for 20 min at 110°C (Morlock, 2014), and stored wrapped in aluminum foil. All botanical extracts (4 µL/band) were applied as 6 mm bands on a pre-washed plate (Automatic TLC Sampler 4, CAMAG, Muttenz, Switzerland). The plate was developed up to a 70 mm migration distance of with 7 ml ethvl acetate-toluene-formic acid-water (16:4:3:2 V/V/V/V) (Krüger et al., 2017). Separation was performed in a twin trough chamber (20cm \times 10 cm, CAMAG) followed by drying for 4 min with a stream of cold air (hair dryer) and for 20 min in a laminar flow of air (Automated Development Chamber 2, CAMAG). The developed plates were documented at Vis, UV 254 nm, and FLD 366 nm (TLC Visualizer 2, CAMAG). The software winCATS (version 1.4.7.2018) or visionCATS (version 2.5.18262.1, both CAMAG) controlled the instruments.

2.4 HPTLC-EDA

For bioprofiling, 16 silica gel 60 F_{254} MS-grade chromatograms were prepared. The buffer and assay solutions were piezoelectrically sprayed (Derivatizer, CAMAG) if not stated otherwise. To remove acidic traces left on the planar chromatogram (which can interfere with pH-sensitive bioassays), the chromatogram was neutralized with 1.5 ml phosphate buffer (80 mg/ml Na₂HPO₄, pH 7.5 adjusted with NaOH; yellow/green nozzle, level 6) for enzymatic and bacterial assays, 1.4 ml citrate buffer (6 mg/ml citric acid monohydrate, 10 mg/ ml Na₂HPO₄, adjusted to pH 12 with NaOH, yellow ultra-nozzle, level 2) (Klingelhöfer et al., 2020) for the hormonal-effective bioassays, 1.25 ml sodium bicarbonate buffer (2.5%, yellow nozzle, level 3) for α-amylase bioassay or twice with 2.8 ml sodium bicarbonate buffer for SOS-Umu-C bioassay (neutralization procedure for SOS-Umu-C bioassay was investigated during this study, **Supplementary Figure S1**). The moist chromatogram was dried as mentioned in 2.3. A positive control was applied at three different concentrations at the top plate edge to verify the proper bioassay performance. The assay solutions/suspensions were applied as follows. For incubation, the plates were horizontally placed in a moistened polypropylene KIS box (26.5 cm × 16 cm × 10 cm, ABM, Wolframs-Eschenbach, Germany) pre-saturated with 30 ml water at 37°C (30°C for hormonal-effective bioassays) for 30 min. The procedure was documented at FLD 366 nm and white light illumination in transmission, reflection, and reflection/transmission mode.

2.4.1 Bacillus subtilis Bioassay

For the Gram-positive *B. subtilis* inhibition bioassay, $80 \ \mu$ l of stock solution was suspended in 20 ml Müller-Hinton Broth and incubated overnight at 37°C. Before usage, the cell number was determined using a spectrophotometer (M501, Camspec, Garforth, United Kingdom) at 600 nm. At an optical density (OD₆₀₀) between 0.8 and 1.1, the culture was ready to use for EDA. An aliquot of the bacteria suspension (2 ml) was sprayed on the planar chromatogram (red nozzle, level 6) (Morlock et al., 2021b). The plate was incubated at 37°C for 2 h. As substrate solution (2 mg/ml), MTT was freshly prepared in phosphate-buffered saline. After the application of 250 μ l substrate solution (blue nozzle, level 6), the plate was incubated again for 30 min at 37°C. Inhibitory zones appeared colorless (white) on a formazan-purple background. The positive control was tetracycline (10 μ g/ml in ethanol, 0.4, 0.8, and 1.2 μ l/band).

2.4.2 Aliivibrio fischeri Bioassay

The bioluminescent marine Gram-negative bacteria A. fischeri were cultured according to DIN EN ISO 11348-1, Section 5 (European Committee for Standardization, 2009). Therefore, 200 µl of cryostock were suspended in 20 ml medium. The cultivation was performed overnight (18-24 h) in a 100 ml Erlenmeyer flask at room temperature by shaking at 75 rpm. Once the culture showed brilliant blue fluorescence by shaking in the dark, it was ready for use. An aliquot of the bacteria suspension (3 ml) was sprayed on the plate (blue nozzle, level 6) and directly recorded (BioLuminizer 2, CAMAG) (Morlock et al., 2021a; Morlock et al., 2021b). The native bioluminescence (depicted as a greyscale image) was documented in ten images at time intervals of 3 min. Exposure time was set to 120 s. Antibacterial components were detected as dark zones, whereas metabolism-enhancing substances appeared as bright zones on the bioluminescent background. The positive control was caffeine (1 mg/ml in methanol, 0.5, 1.5, and 3 µl/band).

2.4.3 Cholinesterase Inhibition Assays

The initial AChE and BChE inhibition assays (Marston et al., 2002) were modified (Hage and Morlock, 2017; Morlock et al., 2021b). The plates were pre-wetted with 0.5 ml TRIS-HCl buffer (7.55 mg/ml TRIS, pH 7.8 adjusted with HCl, green nozzle, level 6). Then, 1.5 ml of enzyme solution (AChE 6.66 U/ml, BChE 3.34 U/ml, and each 1 mg/ml BSA in TRIS-HCl buffer) were

applied (green nozzle, level 6) and the chromatogram was subsequently incubated at 37° C for 30 min. For detection, 0.5 ml substrate mixture (1 mg/ml 1-naphthyl acetate, 2 mg/ml Fast Blue B salt) was sprayed (red nozzle, level 6) onto the plate to obtain colorless (white) inhibition zones on a purple background. The positive control was rivastigmine (0.1 mg/ml in methanol, 2, 4, and 8 µl/band).

2.4.4 Glucosidase Inhibition Assays

An improved version of Simões-Pires et al. (Simões-Pires et al., 2009) was used to detect α - and β -glucosidase inhibitors. The substrate solution (12 mg 2-naphthyl-α-D-glucopyranoside or 2-naphthyl-β-D-glucopyranoside in 9 ml ethanol and adding 1 ml of 10 mM NaCl solution) was sprayed (1 ml, red nozzle, level 6) onto the plate, followed by drying in a stream of cold air. Pre-wetting was carried out by spraying 0.5 ml sodium acetate buffer (41 mg/ml, pH 7.5 adjusted with 0.1 mM acetic acid, green nozzle, level 6). An aliquot of the respective enzyme solution (α -glucosidase 10 U/ml, β -glucosidase 1,000 U/ml in sodium acetate buffer) was applied (1 ml; green nozzle, level 6) and the plate was subsequently incubated at 37°C for 30 min. The antidiabetic effect was visualized by Fast Blue B salt staining (2 mg/ml in water, 0.5 ml, red nozzle, level 6), resulting in colorless (white) inhibitory zones on a purple background. The positive controls were acarbose (3 mg/ml in ethanol, 1, 3, and 6 $\mu l/band)$ for the $\alpha\mbox{-glucosidase}$ assay and imidazole (1 mg/ml in ethanol, 3, 5, and 7 µl/band) for the β-glucosidase assay.

2.4.5 β -Glucuronidase Inhibition Assay

The β -glucuronidase inhibition assay was run as described recently (Mahran et al., 2020) The chromatogram was prewetted with potassium phosphate buffer (0.5 ml; 9.34 mg/ml K₂HPO₄ and 6.31 mg/ml KH₂PO₄; green nozzle, level 6). Then, 750 µL enzyme solution (25 U/ml in potassium phosphate buffer with 1 mg/ml BSA) were sprayed onto the chromatogram (green nozzle, level 6). Incubation followed for 15 min at 37°C. As substrate, 750 µl of a 2 mg/ml 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl- β -D-glucuronide sodium salt solution was sprayed. The plate was incubated again for 60 min for producing colorless (white) inhibitory zones on a blue background. The positive control was D-saccharolactone (0.1 mg/ml in water, 1, 1.5, and 2 µl/band).

2.4.6 Tyrosinase Inhibition Assay

The tyrosinase inhibitor potential was investigated according to an improved (Morlock et al., 2021b) workflow (Taibon et al., 2015). To prepare the substrate solution, 45 mg levodopa, 25 mg CHAPS, and 75 mg PEG 8000 were dissolved in 10 ml of phosphate buffer (1.4 mg/ml K₂HPO₄, 1.68 mg/ml Na₂HPO₄, pH 6.8) and stored at 4°C until use. The levodopa substrate solution was sprayed onto the chromatogram (1 ml, blue nozzle, level 6) and subsequently dried for 2 min in a stream of cold air. Then, 1 ml of enzyme solution (400 U/ml in phosphate buffer) was sprayed onto the plate (blue nozzle, level 6), followed by incubation at room temperature for 20 min. After incubation, the plate was immediately dried and documented. Tyrosinase inhibition activity was apparent as colorless (white) zones on a greyish-brown background. The positive control was kojic acid (0.1 mg/ml in ethanol, 1, 3, and 6 μ l/band).

2.4.7 Planar Yeast Androgen/Estrogen Screen (pYAS/pYES) Bioassay

The hormonal-effective bioassays were run as recently described (Klingelhöfer et al., 2020). Cryogenic YAS or YES cell culture (1 ml each) was suspended in 39 ml or 29 ml medium, respectively. The suspensions were cultivated by shaking at 70-75 rpm and 30°C overnight (20-22 h). The cell number was determined with a hemocytometer after diluting 50 µl culture in 950 µl 0.9% NaCl solution. The required cell count of 0.8×10^8 cells/ml was adjusted via centrifugation (2,500 × g, 5 min) of 5 ml yeast cell culture and resuspension in the required amount of medium plus 50 µl copper sulfate. This suspension was sprayed on the plate (1.4 ml, red nozzle, level 6), followed by incubation for 4 h (pYAS) or 3 h (pYES) at 30°C. Substrate solution (2 mg 4-methylumbelliferyl-β-D-galactopyranoside, 100 µl DMSO, 3 ml citrate buffer) was sprayed onto the chromatogram (1.5 ml, yellow ultra-nozzle, level 2). Subsequently, the plates were incubated for 1 h at 37°C. Bioautograms were recorded at FLD 366 nm. Endocrine agonists appeared as 4-methylumbelliferone-blue fluorescent zones on a dark blue background. As a positive control, testosterone (for pYAS: 0.5 µL, 1.5 µg/ml in methanol) or 17- β -estradiol (for pYES: 5 µl, 100 ng/ml in ethanol) were applied.

2.4.8 Metabolization via S9-pYES Bioassay

Potential estrogens resulting from liver metabolism were investigated by adding the S9 enzyme mixture (500 µl) and respective cofactors (166 µl NADP, 42 µl glucose 6-phosphate, 958 µl phosphate buffer) to 3,334 µl *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cell culture (0.8 × 10⁸ cells/ml). The assay was performed as described above.

2.4.9 Planar Yeast Antagonistic Androgen/Estrogen Screen (pYAS/pYES) Bioassay

To screen the antagonistic activity, the pYAS or pYES bioassays were extended by overspraying along the middle of each track a 1 mm \times 70 mm area of testosterone (4 µl, 1.5 µg/ml in methanol) or 17-β-estradiol (5 µl, 2 ng/ml in ethanol), respectively, with the Freemode option of winCATS (Klingelhöfer et al., 2020). Endocrine antagonists appeared as fluorescence-reducing bands in the 4-methylumbelliferone-blue fluorescent testosterone or 17-β-estradiol track part.

2.4.10 SOS-Umu-C Bioassay

The planar SOS-Umu-C bioassay was run on HPTLC silica gel plates without a fluorescence indicator. After development, the plates were additionally scanned at 546/>580 nm using the TLC Scanner 3 (CAMAG). *Salmonella typhimurium* cells (50 µl cryostock) were suspended in 35 ml Lysogeny broth (20 mg/ml with 1 mg/ml D-(+)-glucose and 106 mg/L ampicillin sodium salt) and incubated overnight at 75 rpm and 37°C for 16 h. Before use, the cells were centrifuged (3,000 × g, 10 min). The pelleted cells were re-suspended in fresh medium to obtain the

required OD₆₆₀ of 0.2 (Meyer et al., 2020). The chromatogram was sprayed with *Salmonella* suspension (2.8 ml, yellow nozzle, level 3) and incubated at 37°C for 3 h. Substrate solution [15 µl resorufin- β -D-galactopyranoside solution (20 mg/ml in DMSO) in 2.1 ml phosphate buffer and 0.9 ml glycerol] was sprayed onto the plate (2.5 ml, red nozzle, level 6). Incubation followed at 37°C for 1 h. The plates were documented at white light illumination and 366 nm. The generated resorufin fluorescence was measured at 546/>580 nm. Genotoxic substances were detectable either as pink zones on the colorless background at white light illumination or as pink fluorescent zones on a brown-reddish background at 254 nm or 366 nm. The positive control was 4-nitroquinoline-1-oxide (1 µg/ml in methanol, 1 µl/spot).

2.4.11 α-Amylase Inhibition Assay

The latest α -amylase inhibition method, which used immersion of the plate into enzyme and substrate solutions (Agatonovic-Kustrin and Morton, 2017; Agatonovic-Kustrin et al., 2019), was adjusted and transferred to a piezoelectric spraying procedure, in which the enzyme solution (62.5 U/mL in sodium acetate buffer) was sprayed onto the chromatogram (1 ml, red nozzle, level 5), followed by 30 min incubation at 37°C. As substrate 2%-soluble starch solution was sprayed onto the wet plate (0.5 ml, red nozzle, level 5). After 20 min incubation at 37°C, Gram's iodine solution was sprayed (250 µl, yellow nozzle, level 5) for visualization. The α -amylase inhibition activity was observed as violet zones on a colorless background. The positive control was acarbose (0.1 mg/ml in methanol, 0.3, 0.6, and 0.9 µl/band).

2.5 Instrumental Setup of the 8D-Hyphenation

The multipotent bioactive zones were further characterized with RP-HPLC-DAD-HESI-MS directly after EDA. The UPLC system (Acquity H Class, Waters, Eschborn, Germany) was equipped with the quaternary solvent manager, solvent degasser, sample manager, column oven, photodiode array detector (DAD), and HESI-MS (single quadrupole QDa, Waters). The bioactive target zone was heart-cut eluted with an oval elution head (4 mm \times 2 mm) of the TLC-MS Interface 2 (CAMAG) with 90% aqueous methanol. A standalone pump supplied the solvent (515 HPLC pump, Waters). Analytes were transferred through a biocompatible inline filter (IDEX Health and Science, Oak Harbor, WA, United States) containing a PEEK frit (0.5 µm, Techlab, Brunswick, Germany) to an online desalting RP precolumn/defender guard (Accucore RP-MS, $10 \text{ mm} \times 2.1 \text{ mm}$, 2.6 µm, Thermo Scientific, Bellefonte, PA, United States). The online desalting device was installed onto a two-position switching valve (MXT-Series PD715-000, Rheodyne IDEX Health and Science) and served as an analyte trap while discarding the bioassay salts as waste. By switching, controlled via remote control and Rheodyne TitanMX software, the analytes were transferred to the main RP column (Accucore RP-MS 100 mm \times 2.1 mm, 2.6 μ m, Thermo Scientific) and separated orthogonally. The column was thermostated at 40°C. The 13 min HPLC gradient consisted of (A) 2.5 mM ammonium acetate (pH 4.5 adjusted with acetic acid) and (B) methanol. Starting

conditions were 98% A at a flow rate of 0.6 ml/min for the first 2 min. The methanolic portion increased linearly to 20% within the following 2.5 min. At 8 min, a ratio of 10/90% A/B was reached and held for the next 2 min; then it fell to 98% A within 0.1 min, followed by 3 min equilibration time. Detection parameters were set to a wavelength scan from 190 to 400 nm for DAD. The MS was operated in polarity-switching mode, while the ESI probe was heated to 600°C and ESI source to 120°C. The sampling frequency was set to 5 Hz and cone voltage to ± 10 V in both ionization modes (Schreiner and Morlock, 2021). The MassLynx V4.2 software (Waters) was used to evaluate and process the data.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Outline of the Study

A total of 68 very different powdered plant extracts (botanicals added to food products in food industry) and 16 different effectdirected assays were selected to investigate and prove the suitability of the biological-physicochemical 8D hyphenation for generic screening (Figure 1). Among the plants (Table 1) were such ones that are commonly used as culinary spices and herbs or in traditional medicine. Their diverse and varying compositions represent different matrix loads for the analytical system. Moreover, the nine biological and seven biochemical assay media differed over a wide range in salt and nutrient composition. This represents the diversity of possible compositions of a bioactive zone (to be heart-cut and transferred to the next dimension) and was therefore considered a good worst-case scenario to test whether the developed generic hyphenation method is suitable for routine analyses. First, the bioactivity screening was evaluated per assay (Figures 2-6), whereby some botanicals were mentioned repeatedly, i.e. galangal (no. 13) yerba mate (no. 37), orange peel (no. 41), licorice (no. 55), and Siberian ginseng (no. 56). Then, these botanicals were subjected to heart-cut RP-HPLC-DAD-HESI-MS analysis (Figures 7-11). All botanicals were extracted and applied analogously. Thus, the effect profiles of each assay could directly be compared by their response pattern. The most effective and important botanicals were highlighted at a glance in side-by-side comparison. The band broadening (diffusion) depended on the assay incubation time. The most important bioactive compounds discovered were tentatively assigned based on information obtained about spectral (UV/Vis/FLD), polarity ($hR_{\rm F}$ values with a deviation of ±1), and molecular (mass signal) properties. Since there was no access to a high-resolution mass spectrometry system, the assignments were verified by comparing with reference standards.

Using the Gram-negative *Aliivibrio fischeri* and Gram-positive *Bacillus subtilis* bioassays, natural antibacterial compounds were detected that can subtly fight infections and contribute to longer shelf life and better preservation of products. Natural AChE and BChE inhibitors, which can provide symptomatic benefits for the cognitive decline of Alzheimer's patients (Tundis et al., 2016), were revealed by the respective planar enzyme inhibition assays. The tyrosinase inhibition assay was used to screen for plant-based

skin whiteners or inhibitors of the enzymatic food browning. The β-glucuronidase inhibition assay was used to detect compounds that prevent the gut-bacterial reversion of detoxification via glucuronidation. Additional α -amylase, α - and β -glucosidase inhibition assays were employed to determine natural compounds with benefits for diabetes patients. Phytoandrogens and phytoestrogens were detected via the human estrogen receptor a (hERa) or hERβ or in combination with the S9 enzyme mixture simulating liver metabolization, and respective antagonists were investigated using recombinant yeast cells equipped with the human estrogen/androgen receptor. Genotoxins were detected with a recombinant Salmonella typhimurium strain equipped with the SOS-Umu-C repair mechanism. Hence, the spectrum of effects in the investigated botanicals may be linked to the mitigation of bacterial infects, the improvement of cholinergic transmission for Alzheimer's patients, the decrease of blood glucose levels in diabetics, the reduction of skin abnormalities, and to the balance of the steroid hormonal system, among other disorders.

3.2 Screening Results

3.2.1 Compounds Inhibiting Bacteria

In traditional medicines, plant-based extracts are used to assist in the treatment of bacterial infections (Brantner and Grein, 1994; Palombo and Semple, 2001). Antibacterial activities can be so effective that plant extracts are also used as preservatives in food products. For example, the ingredients carnosol and carnosic acid of rosemary extract are marketed as preservative E 392. Rosemary (no. 46) is also screened here, using non-pathogenic bacterial representatives which are easier to handle in the laboratory. The Gram-positive B. subtilis bioassay is based on an oxidoreductase enzyme reaction. Intact enzymes of viable B. subtilis cells reduce the tetrazolium salt MTT to the insoluble purple formazan (Marston, 2011). Cell death is visualized as colorless zones indicating antibacterial compounds. Most antibacterials detected were located at $hR_{\rm F}$ values \geq 90 (**Figures 2–4C**). In eucalyptus (no. 9), marjoram (no. 35), yerba mate green (no. 37), Siberian ginseng (no. 56), thyme (no. 57), hawthorn leaves (nos. 63 and 64), and cinnamon bark (no. 66) additional antibacterials were detected in the lower $hR_{\rm F}$ range. Essential oils from herbs such as oregano and thyme are known for their antimicrobial activity, especially against Gram-positive bacteria (Soković et al., 2010).

The Gram-negative *A. fischeri* bacteria are able to convert metabolic energy into turquoise bioluminescence via luciferase. A change in bioluminescence intensity is correlated with substances enhancing or reducing the cell metabolism. Such effects are visualized as lightened or dark zones on the bioluminescent background of the bioautogram (depicted as greyscale image). Almost all botanical extracts showed antimicrobial activity against *A. fischeri* (Figures 2–4D). Intense antimicrobial zones were detected in yerba mate green (no. 37), passionflower (no. 43), peppermint (no. 44), rooibos (no. 45), licorice (no. 55), Siberian ginseng (no. 56), and cinnamon bark (no. 66). Most samples showed at least one dark antimicrobial zone, while more than half of all samples had two or more. The more universally and sensitively detecting *A. fischeri* bioassay proved to be a good starting assay to investigate complex mixtures.



3.2.2 Compounds Inhibiting AChE and BChE

In traditional or ayurvedic medicine, plants and their phytoconstituents are used to assist in the treatment of Alzheimer 's disease (Azadniya et al., 2021). The pathophysiology of Alzheimer's disease is often associated with cholinergic system dysfunction. Therefore, many synthetic drugs target the inhibition of cholinesterases. Both AChE and BChE catalyze the hydrolysis of the neurotransmitter acetylcholine into acetic acid and choline. While AChE is highly selective for acetylcholine, BChE can also convert other substrates, e.g., butyrylcholine, succinylcholine, or organophosphates. Besides synthetic drugs, also natural compounds are able to inhibit this enzyme mechanism. Particularly polyphenols interact with amino acid residues of the active side of the enzymes terminating the splitting from acetylcholine into acetic acid and choline and thus maintaining colinergic neurotransmission and improve cognition of Alzheimer's patients (Jabir et al., 2018). The enzyme-inhibiting potential is revealed as colorless zones on a purple background. Acerola fruit (no. 1) showed a remarkably strong inhibition zone at $hR_{\rm F}$ 41 (Figures 2E,F). While roots and branches from the acerola tree are known to have anti-cholinesterase activity through the norfriedelins A-C (Liu et al., 2013), only cytotoxic, anti-HIV, antioxidant, antihyperglycemic, skin whitening, and antimicrobial activities are described for extracts

from the fruits (Motohashi et al., 2004; Belwal et al., 2018a). This zone showed not only a strong response in the AChE and BChE assays, but also in most other assays (**Figure 2**). Other inhibitory zones were detected in ginger (no. 25, hR_F 99), kola (no. 31, hR_F 68), marjoram (no. 35, hR_F 99), yerba mate green (no. 37, hR_F 88), lemon balm (no. 38, hR_F 32), peppermint and rooibos (nos. 44 and 45, both hR_F 34), Siberian ginseng (no. 56, hR_F 93), and hawthorn (nos. 62–64, hR_F 60 and 85) (**Figures 2–4E,F**). Some typical traditional medicines used in the treatment of Alzheimer's disease, such as *Panax notoginseng*, *Ginkgo biloba* (no. 14), *Melissa officinalis* (no. 38), and *Salvia officinalis* (no. 47), are also screened here. Among these, *Melissa officinalis* (no. 38) possess cholinesterase-inhibiting potential, the others operate according to a different mechanism to treat the neurodegenerative disease (Sharma et al., 2019).

3.2.3 Compounds Inhibiting β -Glucuronidase

The detoxification mechanism via glucuronidation can be reversed with β -glucuronidase from opportunistic *Enterobacteriaceae* such as *Escherichia coli*, resulting in gastrointestinal malfunction. This can be prevented by inhibiting the microbial β -glucuronidase, where such inhibitors address the extra loop in the bacterial enzyme (in contrast to the mammalian one) (Mahran et al., 2020). The enzyme inhibitors do not cleave the chromogenic substrate and are therefore detected



FIGURE 2 NP-HPTLC–UV/Vis/FLD–EDA profiles of the plant extracts no. 1–22. Separation of the applied botanicals (4 µL/band, assignments in **Table 1**; solvent blank B for comparison) on HPTLC plate silica gel 60 F_{254} MS-grade with ethyl acetate—toluene—formic acid—water (16:4:3:2, *V/V/V/*) up to 70 mm, detected at UV 254 nm (A), FLD 366 nm (B, K–O) and white light illumination (C, E–J, P) after the *B. subtilis* bioassay (C), *A. fischeri* bioassay with bioluminescence depicted as a greyscale image, (D) and AChE (E), BChE (F), β -glucuronidase (G), tyrosinase (H), α -glucosidase (I), β -glucosidase (J) and α -amylase (P) inhibition assays, as well as pYAS (K), pYES (L), pYAAS (M), pYAES (N), SOS-Umu-C (O) bioassays.



pYAES (N), SOS-Umu-C (O) bioassays.



FIGURE 4 | NP-HPTLC–UV/Vis/FLD–EDA profiles of the plant extracts no. 46–68. Separation of the applied botanicals (4 µL/band, assignments in **Table 1**; solvent blank B for comparison) on HPTLC plate silica gel 60 F_{254} MS-grade with ethyl acetate – toluene – formic acid – water (16:4:3:2, *V/V/V/*) up to 70 mm, detected at UV 254 nm (A), FLD 366 nm (B, K–O), and white light illumination (C, E–J, P) after the *B. subtilis* bioassay (C), *A. fischeri* bioassay with bioluminescence depicted as a greyscale image, (D) and AChE (E), BChE (F), β -glucuronidase (G), tyrosinase (H), α -glucosidase (I), β -glucosidase (J), and α -amylase (P) inhibition assays, as well as pYAS (K), pYES (L), pYAAS (M), pYAES (N), SOS-Umu-C (O) bioassays.



as colorless zones on an indigo-blue background. In each eucalyptus (no. 9), guarana (no. 16), marjoram (no. 35), yerba mate green (no. 37), oregano (no. 42), Siberian ginseng (no. 56), and cinnamon bark (no. 66), the whole sample track appeared white on the indigo-blue background due to the comparatively high abundance of β -glucuronidase inhibitors (Figures 2–4G). If the application volume is reduced by a factor of 4 for these inhibitors become botanicals, the individual evident (Supplementary Figure S2). All botanical extracts showed β-glucuronidase inhibitory potential at least in the solvent front ($hR_{\rm F}$ 99). For such screening results, repetition using a mobile phase of reduced solvent strength is recommended in order to better differentiate the individual inhibitors (Supplementary Figure S3C,D). Some isolated flavonoid standards, *i.e.*, isorhamnetin, kaempferol, liquiritigenin, daidzein, etc., already proved to be active against β -glucuronidase (Sun et al., 2020). Their activity and also that of additional flavonoids have been confirmed by our study directly in herbs and spices.

3.2.4 Compounds Inhibiting Tyrosinase

Polyphenoloxidases are responsible for the browning of cut or injured fruits or plant tissues. In mammalian cells, the corresponding tyrosinase controls melanogenesis by catalyzing the hydroxylation of phenols with subsequent oxidation to quinones. An overproduction of melanin induces pigmentary abnormality, freckles, or age spots. Preferably, the cosmetics industry is interested in naturally derived tyrosinase inhibitors (Taibon et al., 2015). In this context, ethnobotanicals are brought into focus. In South Africa, herbal extracts are traditionally used as skin care products to treat burns, abcesses, wounds and acne (Lall and Kishore, 2014). Chinese herbal medicines with antityrosinase activity are traditionally used as folk skin whiteners. Among the studied botanicals, Ginkgo biloba (no. 14), Panax ginseng (no. 15), and Zingiber officinale (no. 25) were reported to inhibit mushroom tyrosinase (Ye et al., 2010; Hu et al., 2020). Our screening results showed that ginseng (no. 15) and ginger (no. 25) played a minor role in tyrosinase inhibition compared to other botanicals. However, differences in effects can be caused for example by plant subspecies, climate, soil, environmental and agricultural conditions as well as extraction mode. In the planar assay, tyrosinase inhibitors are shown as colorless zones on a greyish-brown background. Many botanical extracts showed multiple tyrosinase inhibitors (Figures 2-4H). While acerola (no. 1) (Belwal et al., 2018a), ginkgo (no. 14) (Shu et al., 2020), licorice (no. 55) (Li et al., 2017), and hawthorn (nos. 62-64) (Rocchetti et al., 2020) are known for their anti-tyrosinase activity, the screening results proved similarly potent tyrosinase inhibitors in artichoke (no. 4), plantain (no. 35), yerba mate green (no. 37), rosemary (no. 46), and yarrow (no. 50).

3.2.5 Compounds Inhibiting $\alpha\text{-}$ and $\beta\text{-}Glucosidase$

The enzymes α - and β -glucosidase hydrolyze the saccharide dimers and oligomers, as well as glucosides dependent on the anomeric glycosidic bond, into resorbable monomers such as glucose and into aglycones. In the treatment of hyperglycemic blood levels of type 2 diabetes patients, enzyme inhibitors are of therapeutic interest by reducing postprandial glucose uptake (Simões-Pires et al., 2009; Turkiewicz et al., 2019). Screening results showed several α - and β -glucosidase inhibitors as a specific pattern (at hR_F 42, 48, 62, and 80) for artichoke (no. 4) in both assays (**Figures 2I,J**). Although the α - (Turkiewicz et al., 2019)



and β -glucosidase (Morlock et al., 2021a) inhibitory potential and chemical composition of extracts of different artichoke cultivars have already been described, the distinct bioactive components have been scarcely assigned in literature. In both glucosidase inhibition assays, samples of blackberry leaves (no. 8), yellow fruit tea (no. 11), red fruit tea (no. 12), elderflower (no. 22), yerba mate green (no. 37), horsetail (no. 49), plantain (no. 53), Siberian ginseng (no. 56), and lemon verbena (no. 68) showed a positive response at $hR_{\rm F}$ 42 (Figures 2-4I,J). In all of them, the same bioactive compound was assumed. Another remarkably similar active compound was observed at $hR_{\rm F}$ 94 for basil (no. 5), ginkgo (no. 14), European blueberry (no. 18), elderberry (no. 21), hop (no. 24), yerba mate green (no. 37), lemon balm (no. 38), oregano (no. 42), peppermint (no. 44), rosemary (no. 46), star anise (no. 54), Siberian ginseng (no. 56), thyme (no. 57), and cinnamon bark (no. 66). All of them showed both α - and β -glucosidase inhibitory activities. Worldwide more than 1,000 herbal remedies were traditionally deployed for the maintenance and treatment of high blood glucose levels and thus diabetes. Both, the ethnobotanicals used and their mode of application (as tincture or extract, orally or as infusion) differ between local communities (Cock et al., 2021). Since western medical treatment methods for type 2 diabetes focus on hypoglycemic drugs, such as insulin, ethnopharmacological remedies are considered to be safe and to have less toxic side effects. According to the theory of traditional Chinese medicine, flavonoids (Bai et al., 2019) and polyphenols (Umeno et al., 2016) are attributed to have antidiabetic effects via several mechanisms. Glycyrrhizic acid from *Glycyrrhiza glabra* (no. 55), apigenin and its derivates as well as quercetin, found in many botanicals (**Table 2**), are known to target α -glucosidase (Bai et al., 2019).

3.2.6 Compounds With Agonistic/Antagonistic Hormonal Effects

Disrupting endocrine signaling pathways can have a severe impact on hormonal balance and cause feminization/ masculinization, infertility, acne, and menstrual cycle disorders. Also phytochemicals present in food (Morlock and Klingelhöfer, 2014) or commodities in daily use (Klingelhöfer et al., 2020) can affect the human hormone system. HPTLC hyphenated to the planar yeast androgen/estrogen screens (pYAS/pYES) and their antagonistic versions (pYAAS/pYAES) showed positive reactions to a limited extent (Figures 2-4K-N). In the pYAS bioassay, a few 4-methylumbelliferoneblue and thus androgenic responses were detected in acerola (no. 1, $hR_{\rm F}$ 16), elderberry (no. 21, $hR_{\rm F}$ 72), chamomile (no. 28, $hR_{\rm F}$ 99), orange peel (no. 41, $hR_{\rm F}$ 67 and 98), horsetail (no. 49, $hR_{\rm F}$ 49 and 61), lemon peel (no. 67, hR_F 99), and for several samples at the application zone (nos. 4, 15, 25, 30, and 56). In the pYES bioassay, 15 botanicals showed estrogen-like activity. In some samples, phytoestrogens were known and expected, e.g., 8prenylnaringenin in hop (no. 24) (Prencipe et al., 2014; Mbachu et al., 2020), (iso-)liquiritigenin in licorice (no. 55) (Boonmuen et al., 2016), or pesticide residues from fruit surfaces (Schulte-Oehlmann et al., 2011) such as orange peel (no. 41), grape peel (no. 59), or lemon peel (no. 67). However, in acerola (no. 1, $hR_{\rm F}$ 15 and 27), galangal (no. 13, *hR*_F 99), chamomile (no. 28, *hR*_F 63 and 95), lovage (no. 34, hR_F 97), marjoram (no. 35, hR_F 62), oregano (no. 42, $hR_{\rm F}$ 45), juniper (no. 60, $hR_{\rm F}$ 89), grape leaves (no. 61, $hR_{\rm F}$ 92), and hawthorn (nos. 62–64, $hR_{\rm F}$ 94), estrogen-like responses were also detected. Since steroid hormone-like compounds are known to have a greater affinity to hERa, but several phytoestrogens (e.g., daidzein or genistein) to hER β (Mbachu et al., 2020), the pYES bioassay was also performed via the hER β for the first time. The assay was analogously run for both receptors, but no remarkable difference was observed in the results obtained by both (Figure 5A versus Figure 5B). The hERa seemed to be less selective. However, a significant difference in the estrogenic pattern was observed after metabolization with the S9 mixture (Figure 5C). The use of genetically modified yeast cells containing the hER β for the pYES bioassay and the simulated metabolization via the S9 liver enzyme system were reported here for the first time.

In the respective antagonistic assays, only a few zones were detected. For acerola (no. 1, hR_F 99), fenugreek (no. 6, hR_F 99),



HPLC-DAD-HESI-MS chromatograms (B) and respective UV and mass spectra of the peaks at RT 6.87 min (C), 6.98 min (D), and 7.05 min (E).

eucalyptus (no. 9, $hR_{\rm F}$ 5 or 89), ginseng (no. 15, $hR_{\rm F}$ 96), guarana (no. 16, $hR_{\rm F}$ 60), kola (no. 31, $hR_{\rm F}$ 73), orange peel (no. 41, $hR_{\rm F}$ 25 or 32), licorice (no. 55, $hR_{\rm F}$ 27), thyme (no. 57, $hR_{\rm F}$ 24 or 50), and lemon verbena (no. 68, $hR_{\rm F}$ 99), the possibly antiandrogenic zones were also investigated using an overlayed area of the fluorescent 4-

methylumbelliferone (**Supplementary Figure S4**) to exclude any false-positive response as observed for the physicochemical fluorescence reduction by pigments or dyes (Klingelhöfer et al., 2020). Seven botanical samples (nos. 6, 15, 16, 31, 41, 55, and 68) showed truly antiandrogenic activities. The same verification test was



run for possible antiestrogens in galangal (no. 13, $hR_{\rm F}$ 99), guarana (no. 16, $hR_{\rm F}$ 72 or 91), garlic (no. 30, $hR_{\rm F}$ 99), kola (no. 31, $hR_{\rm F}$ 99), orange peel (no. 41, $hR_{\rm F}$ 34), licorice (no. 55, $hR_{\rm F}$ 31 or 43), thyme

(no. 57, hR_F 31), grape seeds/leaves (nos. 58/61, hR_F 48, 61 or 92), and lemon verbena (no. 68, hR_F 99). Seven botanicals (nos. 16, 30, 41, 55, 57, 58, and 68) revealed true antiestrogenic properties



FIGURE 9 | NP-HPTLC–UV/Vis/FLD–EDA-heart-cut RP-HPLC–DAD–HESI-MS analysis of galangal no. 13. NP-HPTLC–UV/Vis/FLD–EDA analysis as in Figure 2 (A), followed by heart-cut elution of the bioactive zone at hR_F 99 (marked*) directly out of the BChE autogram and online desalting to obtain the RP-HPLC–DAD–HESI-MS chromatograms (B) and respective UV and mass spectral data of the peaks a–h (C).



(Supplementary Figure S5). In the antiestrogenic assay, synergistic effects were evident. The overlapping 17- β -estradiol area (10 pg/70 mm area) is partially enhanced on sample tracks, apparent as a cotton swab shape if compared to the solvent blank (Figures 2–4N). This

observation revealed synergistic effects between distinct botanical ingredients in most samples (except for nos. 7, 29, and 31) and 17- β -estradiol, resulting in fortified estrogenic activities (**Figure 6**). This overlapped experimental setup can be transferred to all other assays to identify synergy. Such a



FIGURE 11 | Mass spectra of multipotent bioactive zones. NP-HPTLC–UV/Vis/FLD–EDA analysis as in Figures 2–4, followed by heart-cut elution of the multipotent bioactive zones of artichoke (A), eucalyptus (B), ginkgo (C), yerba mate green (D), and rosemary (E). Pure mass spectra of respective HPLC peaks are shown with their associated structural formula and respective HPTLC fingerprints (bioactive zones marked*).

TABLE 2 | NP-HPTLC-EDA-RP-HPLC-DAD-HESI-MS signals of bioactive zones and respective activity (X) in *B. subtilis* (A), *A. fischeri* (B), AChE/BChE (C), α-/β-glucosidase (D), β-glucuronidase (E), tyrosinase (F), pYAS (G), pYES (H), pYAAS (I), pYAAS (I

| No | Botanical | hR _F (±1) | RT [min] | UV λ _{max} [nm] | m/z | Mass signal | Tentative assignment | Literature | Α | В | С | D | Е | F (| GH | | J |
|----|----------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|----|----|---|----|----|-----|----|---|---|
| 2 | Horehound, | 90 | 7.38 | _ | 431 | [M-H] ⁻ | apigenin-O-glucoside | Amessis-Ouchemoukh et al. (2014) | Х | Х | Х | Х | х | Х | | | |
| | white | | | | 467 | [M + Cl] ⁻ | 100 | · · · · · · | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 455 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 471 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Artichoke | 42 | 3.27/ | 234, 322 | 353 | [M–H] ⁻ | chlorogenic acid ^b | Rejeb et al. (2020), Morlock et al. | | | Х | х | | х | | | |
| | | | 3.91/ | | 355 | [M + H] ⁺ | - | (2021a) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 4.43 | | 372 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 377 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 393 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 6.30 | _ | 461 | [M + CI] ⁻ | cynarascoloside C | Farag et al. (2013) | | | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | |
| | | | | | 471 | [M + HCOO] ⁻ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 485 | [M + H ₃ C-COO] ⁻ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 444 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 449 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 465 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 6.71 | 232, 276 | 519 | [M–H] ⁻ | unknown caffeic acid conjugate | Schütz et al. (2004); El Senousy et al. | | | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | |
| | | | | | 555 | [M + CI] ⁻ | | (2014) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 538 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 543 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 559 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 58 | 6.79 | 240 | 447 | [M–H] ⁻ | luteolin-7-O-glucoside (cynaroside) | Farag et al. (2013) | | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | |
| | | | | | 483 | [M + Cl] ⁻ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 82 | 6.84 | 238, 280 | 433 | [M–H] ⁻ | naringenin-7-O-glucoside | Schütz et al. (2004) | | | Х | | Х | Х | | | |
| | | | | | 469 | [M + Cl] ⁻ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 496 | [M + NO ₃] ⁻ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Eucalyptus | 57 | 6.71 | 222, 261 | 497 | [M–H] [–] | eucaglobulin | Boulekbache-Makhlouf et al. (2010) | Х | Х | Х | | Х | Х | | | |
| | | | | | 521 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 537 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 6.76 | 251, 352 | 447 | [M–H] ⁻ | methyl ellagic acid pentose | Boulekbache-Makhlouf et al. (2010); | Х | Х | Х | | Х | Х | | | |
| | | | | | 449 | [M + H] ⁺ | | Santos et al. (2011) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 466 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 471 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 487 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 78 | 7.54 | 240 | 519 | [M–H] ⁻ | cypellocarpin C | Boulekbache-Makhlouf et al. (2010) | | | Х | | Х | | | | |
| | | 90 | 5.23/ | _ | 185 | [M + H] ⁺ | methyl gallate | Santos et al. (2011) | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | |
| | | | 6.18 | | 202 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 207 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | | | | | 223 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Fruit tea, red | 41 | 3.74 | - | 353 | [M–H] ⁻ | chlorogenic acid ^b | | | | Х | | | X | | | |
| | | 46 | 3.22/ | 233, 326 | 353 | [M–H] [–] | chlorogenic acid ^b | | | | Х | X | | Х | | | |
| | : | 3.91/ | | 355 | [M + H] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 4.58 | | 372 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 377 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 393 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | ., | ., | | ., | ., | ., | | | |
| 3 | Galangal | 99 | 5.99 | 237, 284 | 301 | [M–H] [–] | quercetin | Zhou et al. (2018) | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | > | Х | |
| | | | | | 320 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 325 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 341 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |

Paradigm Shift to Effect-Profiles of Botanicals

Schreiner et al.

TABLE 2 (*Continued*) NP-HPTLC-EDA-RP-HPLC-DAD-HESI-MS signals of bioactive zones and respective activity (X) in *B. subtilis* (A), *A. fischeri* (B), AChE/BChE (C), α-/β-glucosidase (D), β-glucuronidase (E), tyrosinase (F), pYAS (G), pYES (H), pYAAS (I), pYAAS (I), pYAAS (I), and α-amylase (K). In blue: analytes and respective bioactivity, which were confirmed by a standard; in rose: rebutted ones.

| No | Botanical | hR _F (±1) | RT [min] | UV λ _{max} [nm] | m/z | Mass signal | Tentative assignment | Literature | A | в | С | D | Е | F | G | н | I | J | K |
|----|-----------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|----|---|---|--------|------|---|----|---|
| | | | 7.40 | _ | 343 379 389 403 | [M-H] ⁻ [M + C] ⁻ [M + HCOO] ⁻ [M + H ₃ C-COO] ⁻ | 5-hydroxy-1-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-7- (4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-3- heptanone | Zhou et al. (2018) | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | Х | Х | | |
| | | | | | 345 362 367 383 | $[M + H]^+$ $[M + NH_4]^+$ $[M + Na]^+$ $[M + K]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 7.54 | 236, 289 | 271 | [M–H] [–] | pinobanksin | Zhou et al. (2018) | | | Х | х | | х | | | | | |
| | | | 7.59 | _ | 359 395 405 419 | $[M-H]^{-}$ $[M + CI]^{-}$ $[M + HCOO]^{-}$ $[M + H_{3}C-COO]^{-}$ | 5-hydroxy-1-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-7- (4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-3- heptanone | Zhou et al. (2018) | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | Х | Х | | |
| | | | 7.94 | 221, 280 | 361 378 383 399 327 | $[M + H]^{+}$ $[M + NH_{4}]^{+}$ $[M + Na]^{+}$ $[M + K]^{+}$ $[M + K]^{-}$ | (4 E)-1,5-bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)-1- | Zhou et al. (2018) | v | v | х | v | v | v | | х | v | | |
| | | | 7.94 | 221, 200 | 363 329 346 351 367 | $\begin{array}{l} [M-H]^{-} \\ [M + C]^{-} \\ [M + H]^{+} \\ [M + NH_{4}]^{+} \\ [M + Na]^{+} \\ [M + Ka]^{+} \end{array}$ | (4 E)-1,3-0is(4-1)(010x)(bite(1))-1- methoxy-2-(methoxymethyl)-4- pentene | Zi lou et al. (2016) | ~ | ~ | ^ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | ~ | ~ | | |
| | | | 8.14 | 237, 289 | 255 257 279 | [M + H] ⁻ [M + H] ⁺ [M + Na] ⁺ | pinocembrin | Zhou et al. (2018) | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | Х | Х | | |
| | | | 8.37 | 236 | 283 285 | [M–H] [–] [M + H] ⁺ | galangin-3-methyl ether | Zhou et al. (2018) | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | Х | Х | | |
| | | | 8.37 | 235 | 269 271 | [M–H] [−] [M + H] ⁺ | galangin | Krüger et al. (2017); Zhou et al. (2018) | Х | Х | Х | Х | X | X | | Х | Х | | |
| | | | 8.52 | _ | 283 300 305 321 | $[M + H]^+$ $[M + NH_4]^+$ $[M + Na]^+$ $[M + K]^+$ | dihydroyashabushiketol | Zhou et al. (2018) | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | Х | Х | | |
| 14 | Ginkgo | 46 | 4.03 | 230, 267 | 344 349 365 | [M + NH ₄] ⁺ [M + Na] ⁺ [M + K] ⁺ | bilobalide | Mauri et al. (1999), Niu et al. (2017) | | | | | Х | Х | | | | | Х |
| | | 93 | 6.42 | _ | 439 475 458 463 479 | $[M-H]^{-}$ $[M + CI]^{-}$ $[M + NH_{4}]^{+}$ $[M + Na]^{+}$ $[M + K]^{+}$ | ginkgolide C | Chen et al. (2005); Ding et al. (2006); Niu et al. (2017) | Х | Х | | х | х | Х | | | Х | | |
| | | | 6.86 | _ | 479 407 443 453 467 815 | [M + N] $[M - H]^-$ $[M + CI]^-$ $[M + HCOO]^-$ $[M + H_3C-COO]^-$ $[2M-H]^-$ | ginkgolide A | Wang et al. (2016), Niu et al. (2017) | х | х | | x | Х | Х | | | х | | |
| | | | | | 426 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ | | | | | | (0 | | | faller | vina | | -) | |

(Continued on following page)

TABLE 2 (*Continued*) NP-HPTLC-EDA-RP-HPLC-DAD-HESI-MS signals of bioactive zones and respective activity (X) in *B. subtilis* (A), *A. fischeri* (B), AChE/BChE (C), α-/β-glucosidase (D), β-glucoronidase (E), tyrosinase (F), pYAS (G), pYES (H), pYAAS (I), pYAAS (I), pYAAS (J) and α-amylase (K). In blue: analytes and respective bioactivity, which were confirmed by a standard; in rose: rebutted ones.

| No | Botanical | hR _F (±1) | RT [min] | UV λ _{max} [nm] | m/z | Mass signal | Tentative assignment | Literature | Α | в | С | D | Е | F | GI | H I | J | I |
|----|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|-----|---|---|
| | | | | | 431 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 447 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 6.86 | — | 423 | [M–H] [–] | ginkgolide B | Wang et al. (2016), Niu et al. (2017) | Х | Х | | Х | Х | Х | | Х | | |
| | | | | | 459 | [M + CI] ⁻ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 847 | [2M–H] ⁻ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 442 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Ginseng | 16 | 7.60 | 243 | 463 836 | [M + K] ⁺ [M + Cl] ⁻ | ginsenoside Rg1/Rf | Du et al. (2018); Wilson and Sander | | | | | х | | | Х | | Х |
| 5 | Giriseriy | 10 | 7.00 | 243 | 846 | [M + HCOO] ⁻ | ginsenoside ng i/ni | (2018) | | | | | ^ | | | ^ | | ^ |
| | | | | | 840 860 | [M + H ₃ C-COO] ⁻ | | (2010) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 824 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 840 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 24 | 8.08 | _ | 800 | [M–H] [–] | ainsenoside Rg1/Rf | Du et al. (2018), Wilson and Sander | | | | | Х | | | Х | | |
| | | | | | 836 | [M + Cl] ⁻ | 3 | (2018) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 846 | [M + HCOO]- | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 860 | [M + H ₃ C-COO] | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 824 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 840 | $[M + K]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Guarana | 91 | 5.12/ | 203, 279 | 289 | [M-H] ⁻ | (epi)catechin | da Silva et al. (2017), Morlock et al. | | Х | | | Х | Х | | Х | X | |
| | | | 5.98 | | 325 | [M + CI] ⁻ | | (2021b) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 291 | [M + H] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 329 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 4.23 | 205, 281 | 577 | [M–H] [–] | B-type procyanidin dimer | da Silva et al. (2017) | | Х | | | Х | Х | | Х | Х | |
| | | | | | 579 | [M + H] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 601 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | | 45 | 4 5 7 | 004 000 | 617 | $[M + K]^+$ | at the second and the | | | | v | v | V | ~ | | | | |
| 9 | <i>Hibiscus</i> Elder flower | 45 | 4.57 | 234, 322 | 353 | [M–H] [–] | chlorogenic acid ^b | | | | x | | Х | x | | | | |
| 2 | Elder llower | 40 | 3.80/ 4.67 | 233, 326 | 353 355 | [M–H] [−] [M + H] ⁺ | chlorogenic acid ^b | | | | ^ | ^ | | ^ | | | | |
| | | | 4.07 | | 372 | $[M + H_{4}]^{+}$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 377 | $[M + Na]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 393 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Нор | 92 | 7.05 | 264, 347 | 447 | [M-H] ⁻ | kaempferol-3-O-glucoside (astragalin) | Önder et al. (2013) | х | Х | | | Х | | | | | |
| - | | | | , | 449 | [M + H] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 471 | [M + Na]+ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 487 | [M + K]+ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 94 | 7.83 | 254, 324 | 317 | [M–H] [–] | cohulupone | Önder et al. (2013), Sommella et al. | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х |) | Х | | |
| | | | | | 319 | [M + H] ⁺ | | (2018) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 336 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 341 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 357 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Ginger | 94 | 8.06 | 297 | 293 | [M-H] ⁻ | 6-gingerol | Krüger et al. (2018) | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | |) | X | | |
| | | | | | 295 | [M + H] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 312 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 317 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ~ | Ob and a set it - | 50 | 0.05 | 007 | 333 | $[M + K]^+$ | animania 7.0 aluartida | Lin and Llamby (0010) | | | | | v | v | | | | |
| | Chamomile | 50 | 6.95 | 237, | 431 | [M–H] [–] | apigenin-7-O-glucoside | Lin and Hamly, (2012) | | | | | Х | Х | | | | |
| 8 | | | | 266, 335 | 433 | [M + H] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |

TABLE 2 | (*Continued*) NP-HPTLC-EDA-RP-HPLC-DAD-HESI-MS signals of bioactive zones and respective activity (X) in *B. subtilis* (A), *A. fischeri* (B), AChE/BChE (C), α-/β-glucosidase (D), β-glucuronidase (E), tyrosinase (F), pYAS (G), pYES (H), pYAAS (I), pYAAS (I), pYAAS (I), and α-amylase (K). In blue: analytes and respective bioactivity, which were confirmed by a standard; in rose: rebutted ones.

| No | Botanical | hR _F (±1) | RT [min] | UV λ _{max} [nm] | m/z | Mass signal | Tentative assignment | Literature | A | в | С | D | E | F | G | Η | Ι. | K |
|----|------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|----|----|------|-------|------|-------|------|----|-------|
| | | | | | 455 | [M + Na]+ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 471 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 63 | 7.51 | 241, | 473 | [M–H] [–] | apigenin-7-0-(2"-0-acetylglucoside) | Lin and Harnly, (2012) | | | | | Х | | | Х | | |
| | | | | 257, 331 | 475 | [M + H] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 497 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 513 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Caraway | 43 | 7.04 | - | 447 | [M–H] [–] | luteolin-7-O glucoside | | | | | | Х | Х | | | | |
| | | | | | 471 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| _ | | 70 | 0.50 | 000 070 | 487 | $[M + K]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Marjoram | 78 | 6.52 | 236, 278 | 433 | [M-H]- | quercetin arabinoside | Hossain et al. (2014) | | Х | | | Х | Х | | Х | | |
| | | | | | 469 | $[M + CI]^{-}$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 452 457 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 437 | [M + Na] ⁺ [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 93 | 6.20 | 219, 326 | 359 | [M + K] [M–H] [–] | rosmarinic acid | Hossain et al. (2014), Çelik et al. (2017) | | Y | x | Y | Х | Y | | | | |
| | | 30 | 0.20 | 213, 320 | 395 | [M + C] ⁻ | | 1033aii et al. (2014), Çelik et al. (2017) | | Λ | ^ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | | |
| | | | | | 719 | [2M-H] ⁻ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 378 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 383 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 399 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 97 | 7.85 | 235, 287 | 301 | [M–H] [–] | hesperetin/quercetin | Hossain et al. (2014); Erenler et al. | Х | Х | х | Х | Х | х | | | | |
| | | | | | 337 | [M + Cl] ⁻ | | (2016) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 303 | [M + H] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Yerba mate | 6 | 3.90 | _ | 343 | [M + H] ⁺ | dicaffeic acid | Souza et al. (2011) | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | |
| | green | | | | 365 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 381 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 3.90 | _ | 452 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ | quercetin arabinoside | Souza et al. (2011) | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | |
| | | | | | 457 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 473 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 14 | 7.07 | _ | 482 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ | quercetin glucoside | Bravo et al. (2007); Souza et al. (2011), | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | |
| | | | | | 487 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | Mateos et al. (2018) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 503 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | ., | ., | | | ., | | | | |
| | | | 4.94/ | 236, 324 | 341 | [M–H] ⁻ | dicaffeic acid | Bravo et al. (2007); Souza et al. (2011) | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | |
| | | | 5.32 | | 364 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 20 | 0.04 | 050 050 | 381 | $[M + K]^+$ | | Kriigen et al. (0017) Mataga at al. (0019) | V | v | v | V | V | v | | | | |
| | | 20 | 6.84 | 256, 356 | 609 611 | [M–H] [−] [M + H] ⁺ | rutin | Krüger et al. (2017), Mateos et al. (2018) | ~ | Χ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | | |
| | | | | | 633 | [M + H] [M + Na]⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 649 | $[M + K]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 40 | 2.75/ | 217, 324 | 353 | [M + K] [M–H] [–] | chlorogenic acid ^b | Mateos et al. (2018), Morlock et al. | Y | Y | x | Y | Х | x | | | | |
| | | 40 | 3.65/ | 217, 024 | 355 | [M + H] ⁺ | chiorogenic acia | (2021a) | ~ | Λ | ^ | ^ | ~ | ^ | | | | |
| | | | 4.42 | | 372 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ | | (20210) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 377 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 393 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 47 | 5.11 | 236, 322 | 367 | [M–H] [–] | feruloylquinic acid | Bravo et al., 2007, Souza et al. (2011), | | Х | | Х | Х | Х | | | | |
| | | | | , | 369 | [M + H] ⁺ | | Mateos et al. (2018) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 391 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | × / | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 407 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | (Con | tinue | d on | follo | vina | r | page) |

Schreiner et al.

Paradigm Shift to Effect-Profiles of Botanicals

(Continued on following page)

TABLE 2 | (*Continued*) NP-HPTLC-EDA-RP-HPLC-DAD-HESI-MS signals of bioactive zones and respective activity (X) in *B. subtilis* (A), *A. fischeri* (B), AChE/BChE (C), α-/β-glucosidase (D), β-glucuronidase (E), tyrosinase (F), pYAS (G), pYES (H), pYAAS (I), pYAAS (I), pYAAS (I), and α-amylase (K). In blue: analytes and respective bioactivity, which were confirmed by a standard; in rose: rebutted ones.

| No | Botanical | hR _F (±1) | RT [min] | UV λ _{max} [nm] | m/z | Mass signal | Tentative assignment | Literature | A E | c | D | E | F | G | н | I | JΚ |
|----|-------------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|------------|--|--|---|-----|-----|-----|-------|-------|--------|-------|------|----|
| | | 85 | 6.20/ | 220, | 515 | [M-H] ⁻ | dicaffeoylquinic acid | Krüger et al. (2017), Mateos et al. (2018) | | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | |
| | | | 6.39/ | 240, 327 | 517 | [M + H] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 6.48 | | 539 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 555 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Lemon balm | 92 | 6.21 | 219, 326 | 359 | [M–H] ⁻ | rosmarinic acid | Krüger et al. (2017), Yilmaz (2020) | Х | X | Х | Х | Х | | | | |
| | | | | | 395 | [M + CI] ⁻ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 719 | [2M–H] [–] | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 361 | [M + H] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 378 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 383 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 4 | 000 070 | 399 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11 | Orange peel | 21 | 5.74 | 229, 278 | 390 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ | sinensetin/tangeretin | Anagnostopoulou et al. (2005); Li et al. | X | . х | Х | Х | Х | | | | |
| | | | | | 395 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | (2006) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 6.00 | 001 000 | 411 | $[M + K]^+$ | aliah wasin | | ~ | . v | V | V | V | | | | |
| | | | 6.83 | 231,283 | 593 | [M–H] [–] | didymin | Anagnostopoulou et al. (2005) | X | . X | Х | X | X | | | | |
| | | | | | 629 595 | [M + Cl] ⁻ [M + H] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 617 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 633 | $[M + K]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 7.06 | 227, | 577 | [M–H] [–] | apigenin-7-O-rutinoside (isorhoifolin) | Anagnostopoulou et al. (2005) | x | x | Х | х | х | | | | |
| | | | 1100 | 268, 339 | 613 | [M + Cl] ⁻ | | , and grieder of an (2000) | | | ,, | ~ | | | | | |
| | | | | , | 579 | [M + H] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 601 | [M + Na]+ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 617 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 7.06 | 227, | 607 | [M–H] ⁻ | diosmin | Anagnostopoulou et al. (2005) | × | X | Х | Х | Х | | | | |
| | | | | 268, 339 | 643 | [M + CI] ⁻ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 609 | [M + H] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 631 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 647 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 34 | 6.63 | 232, 280 | 595 | [M–H] [–] | eriocitrin | Manthey and Grohmann (1996), | | Х | | | Х | | | Х | Х |
| | | | | | 631 | [M + CI] ⁻ | | Anagnostopoulou et al. (2005) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 658 | [M + NO ₃] ⁻ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 597 | $[M + H]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 619 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 0.07 | 000 004 | 635 | $[M + K]^+$ | | | | V | | | V | | | v | v |
| | | | 6.87 | 220, 284 | 579 | [M–H] [–] | naringin | Anagnostopoulou et al. (2005); | | Х | | | Х | | | Х | X |
| | | | | | 615 642 | [M + Cl] ⁻ [M + NO ₃] ⁻ | | Sawalha et al. (2009), Puranik et al. (2019) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 581 | [M + H] ⁺ | | (2019) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 603 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 619 | $[M + K]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 6.98 | 284 | 609 | [M–H] [–] | hesperidin | Anagnostopoulou et al. (2005), | | Х | | | Х | | | Х | x |
| | | | 0.00 | 201 | 645 | [M + Cl] ⁻ | nooponani | Sawalha et al. (2009), Puranik et al. | | ~ | | | ~ | | | ~ | ~ |
| | | | | | 672 | $[M + NO_3]^-$ | | (2019) | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 611 | [M + H] ⁺ | | (- · -/ | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 633 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 649 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | (Co | ntinu | ied o | n foll | owing | page |) |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

TABLE 2 (*Continued*) NP-HPTLC-EDA-RP-HPLC-DAD-HESI-MS signals of bioactive zones and respective activity (X) in B. subtilis (A), A. fischeri (B), AChE/BChE (C), α-/β-glucosidase (D), β-glucoronidase (E), tyrosinase (F), pYAS (G), pYES (H), pYAAS (I), pYAES (J) and α-amylase (K). In blue: analytes and respective bioactivity, which were confirmed by a standard; in rose: rebutted ones.

| No | Botanical | hR _F (±1) | RT [min] | UV λ _{max} [nm] | m/z | Mass signal | Tentative assignment | Literature | A | в | С | D | Е | F | G | н | I | J |
|----|------------|-------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | a | 7.05 | _ | 261 278 | $[M + H]^+$ $[M + NH_a]^+$ | meranzin | Dugo et al. (2000) | | | Х | | | Х | | | Х | х |
| | | 92 | 8.23 | 249, 269, 335 | 403 425 441 | [M + H] ⁺ [M + Na] ⁺ [M + K] ⁺ | hexamethoxyflavone (nobiletin) | Anagnostopoulou et al. (2005), Li et al. (2006) | | | Х | | Х | | Х | | | Х |
| | | | 8.44 | _ | 827 373 395 | [2M + Na] ⁺ [M + H] ⁺ [M + Na] ⁺ | sinensetin/tangeretin/ | Anagnostopoulou et al. (2005), Li et al. (2006) | | | Х | | х | | х | | | х |
| 42 | Oregano | 32 ^a | 2.88 | 219, 270 | 411 304 309 | $[M + K]^+$ $[M + NH_4]^+$ $[M + Na]^+$ | luteolin/kaempferol | Hossain et al. (2010); Vallverdú-Queralt et al. (2014) | | Х | | | Х | х | | | | |
| | | 62 | 7.38 | 241 | 325 331 367 377 391 333 350 355 | [M + K]* [M-H] ⁻ [M + C] ⁻ [M + HCOO] ⁻ [M + H ₃ C-COO] ⁻ [M + H]* [M + NH ₄]* [M + Na]* | carnosic acid | Hossain et al. (2010) | | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | | |
| | | 81 | 5.81/ 6.20 | - | 371 379 367 | [M + K] ⁺ [M + Cl] [−] [M + Na] ⁺ | rosmadial | Hossain et al. (2010) | Х | | Х | | | | | | | |
| | | 93 | 5.99 | 238 | 383 331 353 369 | $[M + K]^+$ $[M + H]^+$ $[M + Na]^+$ $[M + K]^+$ | carnosol | Hossain et al. (2010) | Х | Х | Х | х | х | х | | | | |
| | | | 7.97 | 221, 268 | 331 367 333 | [M–H] [–] [M + Cl] [–] [M + H] ⁺ | carnosic acid | Hossain et al. (2010) | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | |
| | | 99 | 7.51 | 238, 279 | 371 271 | [M + K] ⁺ [M–H] [–] | naringenin | Krüger et al. (2017), Mbachu et al. (2020) | Х | Х | x | | Х | | | х | | |
| | | | 7.79 | 239 | 269 271 | [M–H] [–] [M + H] ⁺ | apigenin | Hossain et al. (2010), Mbachu et al. (2020) | Х | Х | x | | x | | | Х | | |
| | | | 7.79 | 239 | 315 317 339 355 | [M–H] ⁻ [M + H] ⁺ [M + Na] ⁺ [M + K] ⁺ | 3-O-methylquercetin (isorhamnetin) | Hossain et al. (2010) | Х | Х | X | | Х | | | Х | | |
| | | | 8.01 | 221, 268 | 313 315 337 | [M–H] [–] [M + H] ⁺ [M + Na] ⁺ | cirsimaritin | Hossain et al. (2010), Krüger et al. (2017) | Х | Х | Х | | Х | | | Х | | |
| 14 | Peppermint | 20 | 7.05 | 249, 344 | 353 607 609 631 | [M + K] ⁺ [M–H] [−] [M + H] ⁺ [M + Na] ⁺ | diosmin | Fecka et al. (2004) | | Х | Х | | | х | | | | |
| | | 26 | 7.00 | 267, 267, 339 | 647 577 613 579 | [M + K] ⁺ [M–H] [−] [M + C] [−] [M + H] ⁺ | apigenin-7-O-rutinoside (isorhoifolin) | Hawrył, (2014) | | Х | Х | х | Х | | | | | |

(Continued on following page)

Paradigm Shift to Effect-Profiles of Botanicals

TABLE 2 | (*Continued*) NP-HPTLC-EDA-RP-HPLC-DAD-HESI-MS signals of bioactive zones and respective activity (X) in *B. subtilis* (A), *A. fischeri* (B), AChE/BChE (C), α-/β-glucosidase (D), β-glucuronidase (E), tyrosinase (F), pYAS (G), pYES (H), pYAAS (I), pYAAS (I), pYAAS (I), and α-amylase (K). In blue: analytes and respective bioactivity, which were confirmed by a standard; in rose: rebutted ones.

| No | Botanical | hR _F (±1) | RT [min] | UV λ _{max} [nm] | m/z | Mass signal | Tentative assignment | Literature | A | в | С | D | Е | F | G | н | I | JK |
|-------|-----------|-------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|------------|---|--|--|---|---|---|------|-------|------|--------|--------|-------|----|
| | | | | | 601 | [M + Na]+ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 617 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 93 | 6.23 | 235, 286 | 359 | [M–H] ⁻ | rosmarinic acid | Fecka et al. (2004) | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | |
| | | | | | 395 | [M + CI] ⁻ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 383 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 399 | $[M + K]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 6.99 | 236 | 577 | [M–H] ⁻ | apigenin-7-O-rutinoside (isorhoifolin) | Hossain et al. (2010) | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | |
| | | | | | 613 | $[M + CI]^{-}$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 640 | $[M + NO_3]^-$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 579 601 | $[M + H]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 617 | [M + Na] ⁺ [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 46 R | Rosemary | 25 | 4.89 | 232, 269 | 337 | $[M + Na]^+$ | cirsimaritin | Ezzat et al. (2016), Krüger et al. (2017), | | x | х | Х | х | х | | | | |
| 10 11 | locornary | 20 | 1.00 | 202, 200 | 353 | [M + K] ⁺ | ononnandin | Pérez-Mendoza et al. (2020) | | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | | |
| | | | 5.44 | 226, 285 | 362 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ | rosmadial | Mena et al. (2016), Pérez-Mendoza | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | |
| | | | | -, | 367 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | et al. (2020) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 383 | [M + K] ⁺ | | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 5.78 | 224, 263 | 387 | [M–H] ⁻ | medioresinol | Mena et al. (2016) | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | |
| | | | | | 406 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 411 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 427 | $[M + K]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | а | 6.06 | 233 | 315 | [M–H] ⁻ | 3-O-methylquercetin (isorhamnetin) | Mena et al. (2016) | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | |
| | | | | | 351 | [M + CI] ⁻ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 361 375 | [M + HCOO] ⁻ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 317 | [M + H ₃ C-COO] ⁻ [M + H] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 339 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 355 | $[M + K]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 6.13 | 235 | 499 | [M + Cl] ⁻ | quercetin-3-O-hexoside (isoquercitrin) | Hossain et al. (2010) | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | |
| | | | | | 503 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 6.99 | 236 | 577 | [M–H] ⁻ | apigenin-7-O-rutinoside (isorhoifolin) | Mena et al. (2016) | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | |
| | | | | | 613 | [M + CI] ⁻ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 579 | $[M + H]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 601 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1.05/ | | 617 | $[M + K]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 41 | 4.05/ | 220, 263 | 299 | [M–H] ⁻ | diosmetin/6-O-methylapigenin | Pérez-Mendoza et al. (2020) | | | Х | Х | Х | | | | | |
| | | | 5.05 | | 335 301 | [M + Cl] ⁻ [M + H] ⁺ | (hispidulin) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 318 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 323 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 339 | $[M + K]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 62 ^a | 6.48 | _ | 319 | [M + CI] ⁻ | 7-O-methylapigenin (genkwanin)/ | Ezzat et al. (2016), Mena et al. (2016), | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | |
| | | | | | 302 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ | acacetin | Krüger et al. (2017), Pérez-Mendoza | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 307 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | et al. (2020) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 323 | $[M + K]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 6.89 | 236 | 461 | [M–H] ⁻ | luteolin-7-O-glucuronide | Mena et al. (2016), Pérez-Mendoza | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | |
| | | | | | 463 | [M + H] ⁺ | | et al. (2020) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 485 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | (Con | tinue | d on | tollov | ving p | bage) | |

Schreiner et al.

TABLE 2 (Continued) NP-HPTLC-EDA-RP-HPLC-DAD-HESI-MS signals of bioactive zones and respective activity (X) in B. subtilis (A), A. fischeri (B), AChE/BChE (C), α-/β-glucosidase (D), β-glucuronidase (E), tyrosinase (F), pYAS (G), pYES (H), pYAAS (I), pYAES (J) and a-amylase (K). In blue: analytes and respective bioactivity, which were confirmed by a standard; in rose: rebutted ones.

| No | Botanical | hR _F (±1) | RT [min] | UV λ _{max} [nm] | m/z | Mass signal | Tentative assignment | Literature | A | в | С | D | E | F | G | н | I | J | ĸ |
|----|-----------|-------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|------------|--|--|--|---|---|---|------|-------|------|---------|-------|-----|----|---|
| | | | | | 501 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ |
| | | | 7.23 | - | 377 | [M + CI] ⁻ | caffeic acid hexoside | Hossain et al. (2010) | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | | |
| | | | | | 401 | [M + H ₃ C-COO] ⁻ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 365 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 92 | 6.19 | 326 | 381 359 | [M + K] ⁺ [M–H] [–] | rosmarinic acid | Hossain et al. (2010), Mena et al. (2016), | x | x | x | x | x | x | | | | | |
| | | 52 | 0.15 | 020 | 395 | [M + CI] ⁻ | | Pérez-Mendoza et al. (2020) | ~ | ~ | ^ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | | | |
| | | | | | 719 | [2M–H] ⁻ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 378 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 383 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 399 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 743 | [2M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 8.06 | — | 331 | [M–H] ⁻ | carnosic acid | Ezzat et al. (2016), Mena et al. (2016), | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | | |
| | | | | | 333 355 | $[M + H]^+$ | | Pérez-Mendoza et al. (2020) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 355 371 | [M + Na] ⁺ [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 99 | 6.79 | _ | 287 | $[M + H]^+$ | luteolin | Mena et al. (2016) | х | х | х | Х | х | Х | | | | | |
| | | | | | 309 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 325 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 48 | Sea | 21 | 6.74/ | 254, 354 | 623 | [M–H] ⁻ | isorhamnetin-3-O-rutoside (narcissin)/ | Zheng et al. (2016) | | | | | Х | Х | | | | | |
| | buckthorn | | 7.02 | | 659 | [M + CI] ⁻ | isorhamnetin-3-glucoside-7- | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 669 | [M + HCOO] ⁻ | rhamnoside (brassidin) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 625 647 | $[M + H]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 663 | [M + Na] ⁺ [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 50 | Yarrow | 40 | 3.80 | 221, 325 | 353 | [M + K] [M–H] [–] | chlorogenic acid ^b | Giorgi et al. (2009) | х | х | x | х | х | x | | | | | |
| 00 | ranow | 10 | 0.00 | 221, 020 | 355 | $[M + H]^+$ | | Citity of al. (2000) | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | | | |
| | | | | | 377 | [M + Na]+ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 393 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 55 | Licorice | 31 | 6.52/ | 217, | 549 | [M–H] ⁻ | liquiritin apioside | Wong et al. (2018) | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | Х | Х | |
| | | | 6.63 | 228, 271 | 585 | [M + Cl] ⁻ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 6.52/ | 218, 276 | 257 | $[M + H]^+$ | 2',4',4'-trihydroxychalcone | Li et al. (2016) | Х | | | | Х | Х | | | Х | Х | |
| | | | 6.63 7.10 | 250 | 561 | [M-H] ⁻ | glycyroside | Montero et al. (2016); Wong et al. | Х | | | | v | Х | | | х | v | |
| | | | 7.10 | 230 | 597 | [M + CI] ⁻ | giyeyroside | (2018) | ~ | | | | ^ | ~ | | | ~ | ^ | |
| | | | | | 621 | [M + H ₃ C-COO] ⁻ | | (2010) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 563 | [M + H] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 585 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 601 | $[M + K]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 7.85 | 251 | 821 | [M–H] [–] | glycyrrhizic acid | Kong et al. (2014); Li et al. (2016), | | | | Х | | Х | | | Х | Х | |
| | | | | | 843 | [M-2H + Na] ⁻ | | Krüger et al. (2017) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 49 | 0.04 | | 859 | [M-2H + K] ⁻ | linuinitin enineide | Krüger et el. (0017) Miener et el. (0010) | V | v | v | V | V | V | | | V | v | |
| | | 49 | 6.64 | - | 549 257 | [M–H] [–] [M–C ₅ H ₈ O ₄ +H] ⁺ | liquiritin apioside | Krüger et al. (2017), Wong et al. (2018) | ~ | ^ | ^ | Λ | ~ | ~ | | | Х | ^ | |
| | | | | | 237 419 | $[M - C_5 - R_8 - C_4 + n]$ $[M - C_{11} + H_{18} - C_9 + H]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 573 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 589 | $[M + K]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | - | | | | | | (Cor | tinue | ed o | n folle | owing | pag | e) | |

TABLE 2 (*Continued*) NP-HPTLC-EDA-RP-HPLC-DAD-HESI-MS signals of bioactive zones and respective activity (X) in *B. subtilis* (A), *A. fischeri* (B), AChE/BChE (C), α-/β-glucosidase (D), β-glucuronidase (E), tyrosinase (F), pYAS (G), pYES (H), pYAAS (I), pYAAS (

| No | Botanical | hR _F (±1) | RT [min] | UV λ _{max} [nm] | m/z | Mass signal | Tentative assignment | Literature | A | В | С | D | Е | F | G | н | I | J | ĸ |
|----|-----------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------|---|--|--|---|---|---|------|--------|-------|-------|------|------|---|---|
| | | 62 | 7.15 | 249 | 695 | [M-H] ⁻ | licorice glycoside B/D1/D2 | Wong et al. (2018) | | | | | | Х | | | | | Х |
| | | | | | 719 | [M + Na]+ | | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 735 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 77 | 6.49/ | 234, | 417 | [M–H] [–] | (iso)liquiritin | Krüger et al. (2017), Wong et al. (2018) | | Х | Х | | | Х | Х | Х | | | Х |
| | | | 7.15/ | 268, 372 | 453 | [M + Cl] ⁻ | | , | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 7.24 | | 419 | [M + H] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 441 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 457 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 93 | 7.17 | 218, 276 | 255 | [M–H] ⁻ | (iso)liquiritigenin | Kong et al. (2014), Boonmuen et al. | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | х | | | |
| | | | | , | 257 | [M + H] ⁺ | | (2016), Li et al. (2016), Montero et al. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 279 | [M + Na]+ | | (2016) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 7.41 | _ | 253 | [M–H] [–] | daidzein | Liu et al. (2001), Nomura et al. (2002), | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | х | | | |
| | | | | | 255 | $[M + H]^+$ | | Cornwell et al. (2004) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 277 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 293 | $[M + K]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 7.41 | _ | 283 | [M–H] [–] | biochanin A | Liu et al. (2001) | x | х | х | х | Х | х | | | | | |
| | | | | | 285 | [M + H] ⁺ | | | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | | | |
| | | | | | 307 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 323 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 7.91 | _ | 267 | [M + K] [M–H] [–] | coumestrol | Cornwell et al. (2004) | Y | Y | Y | X | Х | ¥ | | Х | | | |
| | | | 1.31 | _ | 269 | [M + H] ⁺ | courriestroi | 0011Weil et al. (2004) | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | ~ | | ~ | | | |
| | | | | | 209 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 307 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Siberian | 14 | 6.48 | _ | 499 | [M + K] ⁺ [M + CI] ⁻ | quercetin-3-O-galactoside | Wang et al. (2019) | Y | Х | | X | Х | ¥ | | Х | | | |
| | ginseng | 14 | 0.40 | | 423 | [M + H ₃ C-COO] ⁻ | (hyperoside)/quercetin-3- | Walig et al. (2013) | ~ | ~ | | ~ | ~ | ~ | | ~ | | | |
| | ginseng | | | | 423 | [M + Na] ⁺ | O-glucopyranoside (isoquercitrin) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 407 503 | $[M + K]^+$ | O-giucopyralioside (isoquercitiii) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 36 | 2.81/ | 217, 324 | 353 | [M + K] [M–H] [–] | chlorogenic acid ^b | Wang et al. (2019) | v | V | v | v | Х | v | | | | | |
| | | 30 | 2.01/ 3.68/ | 217, 324 | 355 | [M + H] ⁺ | chiorogenic acid | Wang et al. (2019) | ^ | ^ | ^ | ^ | ^ | ^ | | | | | |
| | | | 3.00/ 4.45/ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 372 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 4.66 | | 377 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 5.40 | | 393 | $[M + K]^+$ | | 0 | v | V | v | v | V | v | | | | | |
| | | | 5.40 | | 439 | [M–H] ⁻ | akebonoic acid | Ge et al. (2017) | X | X | X | X | Х | X | | | | | |
| | | | | | 475 | [M + CI] ⁻ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 499 | [M + H ₃ C-COO] ⁻ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 463 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 479 | $[M + K]^+$ | | | | | | | ., | | | | | | |
| | | | 7.38 | — | 469 | [M + CI] ⁻ | naringenin-7-O-glucoside (prunin) | Kuźniewski et al. (2018) | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | | |
| | | | | | 479 | [M + HCOO]- | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 493 | [M + H ₃ C-COO] ⁻ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 452 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 457 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 473 | $[M + K]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 7.78 | — | 483 | [M + Cl] ⁻ | kaempferol-3-O-glucoside (astragalin)/ | Kuźniewski et al. (2018), Wang et al. | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | | |
| | | | | | 493 | [M + HCOO] ⁻ | quercetin-3-O-rhamnoside (quercitrin) | (2019) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 507 | [M + H ₃ C-COO] ⁻ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 466 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 471 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 487 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | (Cor | ntinue | ed on | follo | wina | oade | | |

Paradigm Shift to Effect-Profiles of Botanicals

TABLE 2 | (*Continued*) NP-HPTLC-EDA-RP-HPLC-DAD-HESI-MS signals of bioactive zones and respective activity (X) in *B. subtilis* (A), *A. fischeri* (B), AChE/BChE (C), α-/β-glucosidase (D), β-glucuronidase (E), tyrosinase (F), pYAS (G), pYES (H), pYAAS (I), pYAAS (I), pYAAS (I), and α-amylase (K). In blue: analytes and respective bioactivity, which were confirmed by a standard; in rose: rebutted ones.

| No | Botanical | hR _F (±1) | RT [min] | UV λ _{max} [nm] | m/z | Mass signal | Tentative assignment | Literature | Α | в | С | D | E | F | G | н | I | J | к |
|-------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 57 | Thyme | 22 | 6.62 | 235 | 557 540 545 561 | $[M + Cl]^{-}$ $[M + NH_4]^{+}$ $[M + Na]^{+}$ $[M + K]^{+}$ | rosmarinyl glucoside (rosmarinic acid-O-hexoside) | Vallverdú-Queralt et al. (2014) | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | | |
| | | 31 | 4.36 | - | 355 | [M + H] ⁺ | chlorogenic acid ^b | Hossain et al. (2010), Vallverdú-Queralt et al. (2014) | Х | Х | x | X | Х | x | | | | | |
| | | | 5.78 | _ | 387 | [M-H]- | medioresinol | Hossain et al. (2010) | х | х | Х | х | Х | Х | | | | | |
| | | 61 | 7.36 | 237, 293 | 355 371 | [M + Na] ⁺ [M + K] ⁺ | carnosic acid | Hossain et al. (2010), Vallverdú-Queralt et al. (2014) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 64 ^a | 6.46 | _ | 302 307 323 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ $[M + Na]^+$ $[M + K]^+$ | 7- <i>O</i> -methylapigenin (genkwanin)/ acacetin | Hossain et al. (2010) | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | | |
| | | 75 | 7.15 | 238 | 344 349 365 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ $[M + Na]^+$ $[M + K]^+$ | coumaroyl hexoside (coumaric acid- O-hexoside) | Vallverdú-Queralt et al. (2014) | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | | |
| | 9 | 91 | 6.13 | 326 | 359 395 361 378 383 | $[M-H]^{-}$ $[M + Cl]^{-}$ $[M + H]^{+}$ $[M + NH_4]^{+}$ $[M + Na]^{+}$ | rosmarinic acid | Krüger et al. (2017) | Х | х | x | Х | Х | Х | | | | | |
| 58 | Grape seed 9: | 92 | 5.13 | 204, 279 | 399 289 325 291 329 | [M + K] ⁺ [M–H] [−] [M + C] [−] [M + H] ⁺ [M + K] ⁺ | (epi)catechin | Yilmaz and Toledo (2004) | Х | Х | | Х | Х | x | | | Х | x | |
| 62–64 | Hawthorn | 41 | 6.14 | 234, 280 | 359 395 | [M + R] [−] [M + C] [−] | lariciresinol/isolariciresinol/ cyclolariciresinol | Huang et al. (2018), Rocchetti et al. (2020) | Х | Х | Х | | Х | Х | | | | | |
| | | | 6.54 | - | 421 409 425 | $[M + Cl]^-$ $[M + Na]^+$ $[M + K]^+$ | dimethylmatairesinol | Rocchetti et al. (2020) | Х | Х | Х | | Х | Х | | | | | |
| | | | 6.80/ 7.13 | 230, 268, 336 | 619 655 621 643 | [M–H] ⁻ [M + Cl] ⁻ [M + H] ⁺ [M + Na] ⁺ | 4 ¹¹¹ -acetylvitexin-2 ¹¹ -O-rhamnoside | Krüger et al. (2017) | Х | Х | Х | | Х | Х | | | | | |
| | | 58 | 4.70/ 5.32 | 234, 310 | 659 337 339 356 361 | $\begin{split} & [M + K]^+ \\ & [M-H]^- \\ & [M + H]^+ \\ & [M + NH_4]^+ \\ & [M + Na]^+ \end{split}$ | 3-p-coumaroylquinic acid/4- p-coumaroylquinic acid/5- p-coumaroylquinic acid/ | Rocchetti et al. (2020) | | | Х | | х | х | | | | | |
| | | | 6.82 | 255, 355 | 377 463 465 487 | $[M + K]^+$ $[M-H]^-$ $[M + H]^+$ $[M + Na]^+$ | isorhamnetin-7-O-rhamnoside | Rocchetti et al. (2020) | | | Х | | х | Х | | | | | |
| | | | 7.64 | _ | 503 417 453 | [M + K] ⁺ [M–H] [–] [M + Cl] [–] | syringaresinol/oleoside dimethylester | Rocchetti et al. (2020) | | | Х | | Х | Х | | | | | |

(Continued on following page)

Paradigm Shift to Effect-Profiles of Botanicals

TABLE 2 (*Continued*) NP-HPTLC-EDA-RP-HPLC-DAD-HESI-MS signals of bioactive zones and respective activity (X) in *B. subtilis* (A), *A. fischeri* (B), AChE/BChE (C), α-/β-glucosidase (D), β-glucuronidase (E), tyrosinase (F), pYAS (G), pYES (H), pYAAS (I), pYAAS (I), pYAAS (I), and α-amylase (K). In blue: analytes and respective bioactivity, which were confirmed by a standard; in rose: rebutted ones.

| No | Botanical | hR _F (±1) | RT [min] | UV λ _{max} [nm] | m/z | Mass signal | Tentative assignment | Literature | A | в | С | D | Е | F | G | н | I | JК |
|----|------------|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|------------|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|
| | | | | | 463 | [M + HCOO] ⁻ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 477 | [M + H ₃ C-COO] ⁻ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 441 | $[M + Na]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 98 | 7.24 | | 457 286 | [M + K] ⁺ [M–H] [–] | cyanidin | Rocchetti et al. (2020) | v | х | v | | | Х | | Х | | |
| | | 90 | 1.24 | _ | 288 | [⋈–⊓] [M + H] ⁺ | Cyanidin | Rocchetti et al. (2020) | ^ | ^ | ^ | | | ^ | | ^ | | |
| | | | | | 326 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 7.60 | _ | 197 | [M–H] [–] | syringic acid | Rocchetti et al. (2020) | Х | Х | х | | | Х | | Х | | |
| | | | | | 199 | [M + H] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 221 | [M + Na]+ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 237 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 8.09 | _ | 305 | [M–H] [–] | (+)-gallocatechin/(-)-epigallocatechin | Rocchetti et al. (2020) | Х | Х | Х | | | Х | | Х | | |
| | | | | | 341 | [M + Cl] ⁻ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 307 | $[M + H]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 329 345 | [M + Na] ⁺ [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 8.65 | | 545 517 | [M + K] [M–H] [–] | 6"-O-malonylgenistin | Rocchetti et al. (2020) | x | Х | x | | | Х | | Х | | |
| | | | 0.00 | | 541 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | 10001011 01 01 (2020) | ~ | ~ | ~ | | | ~ | | ~ | | |
| | | | | | 557 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 8.65 | _ | 487 | [M–H] ⁻ | luteolin-6-C-glucoside/6"- | Rocchetti et al. (2020) | Х | Х | Х | | | Х | | Х | | |
| | | | | | 489 | [M + H] ⁺ | O-acetylglycitin | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 506 | $[M + NH_4]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 511 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 527 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 9.27 | - | 471 | [M–H] [–] | crataegolic acid (maslinic acid) | Vierling et al. (2003) | Х | Х | Х | | | Х | | Х | | |
| | | | | | 495 | $[M + Na]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 67 | Lemon peel | 95 | 7.46 | | 511 469 | [M + K] ⁺ [M–H] [–] | limonin | Baldi et al. (1995) | | х | | | v | v | Х | v | | х |
| 07 | Lemon peer | 90 | 7.40 | — | 409 505 | [M + C] [_] | | Baidi et al. (1995) | | ^ | | | ^ | ^ | ^ | ^ | | ^ |
| | | | | | 515 | [M + HCOO] ⁻ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 529 | [M + H ₃ C-COO] ⁻ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 471 | [M + H] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 488 | [M + NH ₄] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 493 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | 509 | [M + K] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 68 | Lemon | 42 | 6.51 | _ | 639 | [M–H] [–] | β-OH-(iso)verbascoside | Bilia et al. (2008) | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | Х |
| | verbena | | | | 663 | [M + Na] ⁺ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 0.00/ | 000 000 | 679 | $[M + K]^+$ | | Dillo et al. (2000). Or insister Disf. et al. | V | v | v | V | V | V | | | | V |
| | | | 6.66/ 6.82/ | 222, 330 | 623 642 | [M–H] [–] | (iso)verbascoside | Bilia et al. (2008), Quirantes-Piné et al. (2010), Krüger et al. (2017) | X | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | | | Х |
| | | | 6.82/ 6.93 | | 642 647 | [M + NH ₄] ⁺ [M + Na] ⁺ | | (2010), Muger et al. (2017) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 0.30 | | 663 | $[M + K]^+$ | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^aStandard eluted at another hR_F, value.

^bOr neochlorogenic or cryptochlorogenic acid.

Schreiner et al.

synergistic effect detection strategy was reported here for the first time.

3.2.7 Compounds With Genotoxic Effects

In recent decades, there has been a steady trend away from industrial medical care towards phytotherapy based on medicinal herbs, which have been used in traditional medicine for years. The common belief that phytochemicals are gentler than synthetic medicines may prove to be a fallacy, as most toxic compounds originate in nature (Efferth and Kaina, 2011). The SOS-Umu-C assay is a reporter gene assay indicating genotoxicity based on a genetically modified test organism Salmonella typhimurium TA1535 [pSK1002]. Under genotoxic stress, the SOS-DNA repair mechanism is induced. The SOS-Umu response activates the *lacZ* gene, encoding for β -galactosidase, which enables substrate cleavage into detectable products (Meyer et al., 2020). As the analyzed botanicals are commonly used as spices, in herbal medicine, or as tea, no genotoxic substances were expected. Nevertheless, pyrrolizidine alkaloids are known for genotoxic properties and their occurrence in herbal formulations (Habs et al., 2017), e.g., only a few micrograms per liter of tea ($6 \mu g/L$) (Mulder et al., 2015). The European Food and Safety Authority calculated a margin of exposure to 237 g/kg body weight per day for pyrrolizidine alkaloids and their N-oxides (Knutsen et al., 2017; Kaltner et al., 2020). No genotoxicity was detected as pink fluorescent zone for the 68 botanical extracts applied at 400 µg/band (Figures 2-40). This bioassay was repeated to prove for the absence of genotoxic effects at a 2.5-fold (Supplementary Figure S6) and 12.5-fold higher amount applied, which latter at 5 mg/band was closest to the chromatographic system (Supplementary overloading Figure S7).

3.2.8 Compounds Inhibiting a-Amylase

In the context of hypoglycemic drugs from nature, not only the mentioned a- and β-glucosidase inhibitors, but also a-amylase inhibitors play a role in ethnopharmacological remedies with less toxic side effects. Hyperglycemic blood levels could be reduced by inhibiting a-amylases of the saliva and pancreas. The inhibition reduces the cleavage of starch into oligo- and disaccharides, and so the release of glucose molecules absorbed postprandially into the blood. Plant-derived flavones as luteolin present in celery, parsley, broccoli, carrot, peppers, cabbage, and apple peel can inhibit α-amylase (Bai et al., 2019). HPTLC coupled to α-amylase assay (Figures 2-4P) showed only a few inhibiting signals in white horehound (no. 2, $hR_{\rm F}$ 43, 83, 91, and 93), artichoke (no. 4, $hR_{\rm F}$ 94), fenugreek (no. 6, hR_F 81), ginkgo (no. 14, hR_F 46), licorice (no. 55, $hR_{\rm F}$ 59 and 68), and lemon peel (no. 67, $hR_{\rm F}$ 93 and 95). An a-amylase inhibitory activity has already been described for artichoke extracts from bracts (Turkiewicz et al., 2019), but it was not assigned to any single compound. In Ginkgo biloba extracts the compound sciadopitysin (C33H24O10) was found to potentially inhibit α-amylase (Petersen et al., 2019).

3.3 Assigning Bioactivity to Single Compounds

In previous work, the samples were applied twice (as two sets). After plate cut, the assay was performed on one plate part, and the positions of bioactive zones were analogously marked on the other plate part for zone elution and transfer into the MS (Krüger et al., 2017). The challenge of this parallel handling was the accurate positioning of the elution head on the zone. Instead, the elution directly from the bioassay plate would avoid any possible mismatch. In addition, the orthogonal HPLC separation would solve coelution. Hence, zones of interest were heart-cut eluted directly out of the bioassay plate and transferred through an online desalting device to RP-HPLC-DAD-HESI-MS. This reduced the interfering salts and nutrients from the bioassay, separated possibly coeluting substances via the orthogonal chromatographic system, and added value via spectral and mass spectrometric data (Schreiner and Morlock, 2021). Through this straightforward comprehensive workflow, the 60 most bioactive compounds in the botanicals were assigned (Table 2). Several plant extracts out of the 68 botanicals contained multipotent compounds which were active in different assays. Among striking botanicals, such as galangal (no. 13), ginkgo (no. 14), yerba mate green (no. 37), orange peel (no. 41), licorice (no. 55), or Siberian ginseng (no. 56), four botanicals were exemplarily highlighted to demonstrate the targeted assignment of bioactive compounds (orange peel, Figure 7; licorice, Figure 8; galangal, Figure 9; yerba mate green, Figure 10). By transferring residual bioassay salts, different ion species were formed for a molecule, which was found to be helpful as it confirmed the assignment made several times. The adduct [M+62]⁻ has not been described in HPTLC-MS literature so far, but appeared frequently during this study (Figures 7C,D, Figures 8C,D, Figures 11A,C). It was proposed to be the nitrate adduct. Nitrate is taken up by the root and transported via the xylem to leaves, shoots, and grains. If too much nitrate is available in the short term, which is the case as it is discussed as a global environmental challenge (Zhang et al., 2021), it passes through the cytoplasm into the vacuoles to be stored and thus can be found in botanicals (Dechorgnat et al., 2011). Consequently, it may also appear as a pronounced adduct in the mass spectrum.

3.3.1 Hormonal Antagonists in Orange Peel (No. 41)

For orange peel, manifold positive responses across the different assays were observed at hR_F 34 (Figure 7A, marked*). Using the pYEAS bioautogram, this zone was heart-cut eluted directly to RP-HPLC-DAD-HESI-MS. In the DAD chromatogram, three compound peaks were evident in the range of RT 6.87-7.07 min, apart from the 4-methylumbelliferone background signal at RT 5.71 min (Figure 7B). The corresponding mass spectral data led to the tentative identification of naringin (Figure 7C), hesperidin (Figure 7D), and meranzin (Figure 7E, coeluting with an unknown marked blue) and is discussed as follows. The total ion current (TIC) peak at RT 6.87 min revealed mass signals in the positive ionization mode at m/z 581 [M + H]⁺, 603 [M + Na]⁺, and 619 [M + K]⁺. Corresponding mass signals in the negative ion mode were detected at m/z 579 [M–H]⁻, 615 [M + Cl]⁻, and 642 [M + NO₃]⁻ (Figure 7C). The resulting neutral mass of 580 Da together with the absorption spectrum and maximal wavelengths ($\lambda_{max} = 220$ and 284 nm) suggested naringin, which was confirmed via cochromatography against a bought standard (Supplementary Figure S8E). The extracted mass spectra of the TIC peak at RT

6.99 min showed positive ions at m/z 611 [M + H]⁺, 633 [M + Na]⁺, and 649 $[M + K]^+$ and negative ions at m/z 609 $[M-H]^-$, 645 [M +Cl]⁻, and 672 [M + NO₃]⁻ (Figure 7D). The absorption spectrum revealed a maximum wavelength at 284 nm. Based on this data, hesperidin was assumed. The third peak at RT 7.06 min had a major response in TIC-HESI⁺ and a minor response in TIC-HESI⁻ and DAD chromatograms. The UV absorbance spectrum and respective mass spectra suggested two different constituents. The HESI⁺ mass signals at *m*/*z* 261 [M1+H]⁺ and 278 [M1+NH₄]⁺ potentially belong to the coumarin derivate meranzin (Figure 7E). The HESI⁺ mass signals at *m/z* 441 [M2+H]⁺, 458 [M2+NH₄]⁺, 463 [M2+Na]⁺, and 479 $[M2+K]^+$ and corresponding HESI⁻ mass signals at m/z 475 [M2+Cl]⁻, 485 [M2+HCOO]⁻, and 499 [M2+H₃C-COO]⁻ were assigned to a component with the neutral mass of 440 Da, which is not yet known in orange peel. The UV maxima at 258 and 325 nm provided additional evidence for meranzin (Fan et al., 2012). Other UV maxima at 223 and 288 nm were possibly induced by the unknown.

Citrus sinensis is rich in beneficial secondary metabolites and therefore traditionally used in the treatment of gastrointestinal malfunction, diseases of the upper respiratory tracts, or menstrual disorders (Favela-Hernández et al., 2016). Both naringin and hesperidin have been shown to bind to the antagonist pocket (3ERT) of hERa, and thereby cause an antiestrogenic effect (Puranik et al., 2019). This effect was verified for both via cochromatography of standards and samples (Supplementary Figure S8E, Supplementary Table S1), whereby an estrogenic activity was not observed for the applied amount (4 µg/band). Furthermore, naringin was reported to slightly bind to the androgen receptor (Fang et al., 2003). The pronounced antiandrogenic effects observed in the pYAAS bioautogram confirmed this (Figure 3M, no. 41). Tyrosinase activity attributed to naringin (Itoh et al., 2009) and hesperidin (Zhang et al., 2007) has already been demonstrated. The only bioactivity reported for meranzin was no effect (Smyth et al., 2009) or a minor (Rosselli et al., 2007) antibacterial effect against B. subtilis. After NP-HPTLC-FLD comparison to a standard, meranzin was located at $hR_{\rm F}$ 99 (Supplementary Figure S8G), where antibacterial activity against B. subtilis and A. fischeri was detected in orange peel (no. 41). Hence, the assumption that meranzin was co-eluting with hesperidin and naringin at $hR_{\rm F}$ 34 was discarded.

3.3.2 Enzyme Inhibition and Endocrine Activity in Licorice (No. 55) and Galangal (No. 13)

In licorice (no. 55) a few bioactive analytes were found in the zone at hR_F 31 with antibacterial, tyrosinase, and β -glucuronidase inhibitory, antidiabetic, and endocrine-antagonistic properties (**Figure 8A**, marked*). These results illustrate the diverse pharmacological activities of this root, which has long been used in traditional medicine as remedy to treat gastrointestinal problems (β -glucuronidase inhibition) and respiratory infections (antibacterial activity). Moreover, *Glycyrrhiza glabra* extracts were subject of many pharmacological studies showing neuroprotective, antimicrobial, estrogenic and skin-whitening activity (Pastorino et al., 2018). The second chromatography produced five individual signals with pure mass spectra (**Figures 8B–E**). The mass spectral data extracted from the

peaks at RT 6.52/6.63 min (colored blue) were identical, indicating a single analyte in different configurations (Figure 8C). The ESI⁻ signals at m/z 549 [M-H]⁻, 585 [M + Cl]⁻, and 612 [M + NO₃]⁻ were correlated to the highly plantspecific liquiritin apioside with a neutral mass of 550 Da. In positive ion mode, the signals at m/z 573 and 589 were identified as sodium and potassium adducts, respectively. The ESI⁺ mass signals at m/z257 $[M-C_{11}H_{18}O_9+H]^+$ and 419 $[M-C_5H_8O_4+H]^+$ could be assigned to fragments with a loss of carbohydrates. Additionally, the experimental UV absorbance spectra were consistent with the ones of liquiritin apioside found in literature (Wong et al., 2018). The isomeric isoliquiritin apioside probably caused the second peak at RT 6.63 min. ESI⁺ mass signals at RT 7.10 min were $m/z 563 [M + H]^+$, 585 $[M + Na]^+$, and 601 $[M + K]^+$ (Figure 8D). (Iso)liquiritin apioside was found to inhibit capsaicin-induced cough, confirming its traditional use (Pastorino et al., 2018). In negative ion mode, signals were detected at m/z 561 [M–H]⁻, 597 [M + Cl]⁻, 621 [M + H₃C-COO]⁻, and 624 [M + NO₃]⁻. The less abundant DAD signal showed a UV absorption maximum at 250 nm. The spectral data could indicate glycyroside found in licorice root extracts (Montero et al., 2016), which is not known for any bioactivity. The third signal at RT 7.86 min (colored orange) was identified as glycyrrhizic acid against a standard (Supplementary Figure S8E). The ESI⁻ and ESI⁺ ions at m/z 821 [M–H]⁻, 843 [M–2H + Na]⁻, 859 [M–2H + K]⁻, 845 $[M + H]^+$, and 861 $[M + K]^+$ originated from the neutral molecule of 822 Da. Lacking a π -electron system and conjugated double bonds, the UV absorbance spectrum showed background absorbance maxima at 251 nm. Glycyrrhizic acid was reported to have anti-inflammatory effects (Yu et al., 2015) similar to those of glucocorticoids (Pastorino et al., 2018), antitussic activity through increased tracheal mucus secretion (Sharma et al., 2018), and neuroprotective (Kao et al., 2009) properties.

Galangal extract (no. 13) responded in almost all assays at $hR_{\rm F}$ 99 (Figure 9A, marked*). After separating this zone with RP-HPLC-DAD-HESI-MS, multiple signals were observed (Figure 9B). The spectral details and tentative identifications are listed in the table below (Figure 9C). Traditionally, Alpinia officinarum is used against cold, which antibacterial effects were confirmed by the Gram-negative A. fischeri and Gram-positive B. subtilis bioassays. Other traditional applications were described for gynecological disorders, diabetes treatments, and skin washing (Abubakar et al., 2018). All these bioactivities were verified by the respective assays. To assign the bioactivity to one single component out of the coeluting substances from HPTLC, fractionation after column separation is necessary. The fractions can be applied again on a new plate followed by EDA and MS characterization. Alternatively, the mobile phase for planar chromatography has to be optimized in order to separate the previously coeluting substances during HPTLC analysis.

3.3.3 Separating Multipotent Isomers in Yerba Mate Green (No. 37) via 8D-Hyphenation

Biologically active isomers were also separated and detected, shown for example in yerba mate green (no. 37). A multipotent bioactive compound zone (hR_F 40) was observed in AChE, tyrosinase, and α -/ β -glucosidase inhibition autograms (Figure 10A, marked*). This zone was separated into three distinct signals via RP-HPLC (Figure 10B), all providing the same absorbance and mass spectra (Figure 10D) and assigned to chlorogenic acid isomers, which differed only in quinic acid positioning (Figure 10C). The most common isomers of mono-caffeoylquinic acid are 3-Ocaffeoylquinic acid (neochlorogenic acid), 4-O-caffeoylquinic acid (cryptochlorogenic acid), and 5-O-caffeoylquinic acid (5-QCA, chlorogenic acid). The mass signals at m/z 353 [M–H]⁻ and 707 $[2M-H]^{-}$ in the negative ionization mode and at m/z 355 $[M + H]^{+}$, $377 [M + Na]^+$, $393 [M + K]^+$ and $747 [2M + K]^+$ in the positive ionization mode matched to the neutral mass of monocaffeoylquinic acids of 354 Da. The UV absorbance spectra with maxima at 218 and 325 nm that we obtained were also consistent with literature (Velkoska-Markovska et al., 2020). While chlorogenic acids are reported to have antioxidant (Huang et al., 2017), anti-inflammatory (Willems et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2017), and anti-HIV (Tamayose et al., 2019) properties, this screening revealed even more bioactive potential for these phenolics. For instance, the assigned bioactive compound zone also showed anti-Alzheimer, antidiabetic, and skin-whitening effects in this study. Co-chromatography against standards (Supplementary Figure S8A,B and D, Supplementary Table S1) confirmed that these beneficial health effects of yerba mate green (no. 37, Figure 10) come from the mono-caffeoylquinic acids. The various effects of Ilex paraguariensis traditionally consumed as herbal beverage qualify this botancial for its new role as functional food (Cardozo Junior and Morand, 2016).

3.3.4 Universal Potential of 8D-Hyphenation

The characterization of additional multipotent bioactive zones, partially plant-specific, is shown in Figure 11. Artichoke (no. 4) showed bioactivity at $hR_{\rm F}$ 42 in the tyrosinase and α -/ β -glucosidase inhibition assays. Transferring this zone to RP-HPLC-DAD-HESI-MS provided five signals (Table 2), one of which is specific for artichoke. At RT 6.30 min, cynarascoloside C was assumed (Figure 11A). Spectral data showed no UV absorbance, referring to structural properties of cynarascoloside C which possess neither a π -electron system nor conjugated double bonds. The mass signals at m/z 444 $[M + NH_4]^+$, 449 $[M + Na]^+$, 465 $[M + K]^+$, 461 $[M + Cl]^-$, 471 [M + HCOO]⁻, 485 [M + H₃C-COO]⁻, and 488 [M + NO₃]⁻ indicated a neutral mass of 426 Da. Cynarascoloside C was not suspected to have bioactive effects. The bioactivity was probably attributed to the coeluting derivates of chlorogenic acid as in yerba mate green (no. 37, Figure 10). Nevertheless, Cynara scolymus has been used since the 4th century B.C. as medicinal product due to its health benefits and bioactive constituents, responsible for the hypoglycemic, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, and antioxidant properties (Turkiewicz et al., 2019).

Eucalyptus (no. 9) is known for its beneficial health properties against infections of the upper respiratory tracts or as antiseptic and is therefore widely used in the pharmaceutical industry (Hasegawa et al., 2008; Ács et al., 2018). The species *Eucalyptus* is closely associated with herbal medicine and traditional health care in various human cultures. Its leaf extracts are administered to fight against cold and cough, bacterial infections, high blood glucose levels, and to boost the immune system and skin health

(Salehi et al., 2019). Planar bioanalytical screening confirmed most of those traditional uses, showing many positive responses through the assays in a wide $hR_{\rm F}$ range (Figure 11B). Focusing on $hR_{\rm F}$ 57, highly plant-specific eucaglobulin was identified with UV (λ_{max} = 222 and 261 nm) and mass spectral data (Boulekbache-Makhlouf et al., 2010). Both the positive ion species at m/z 521 [M + Na]⁺ and 537 $[M + K]^+$, and the deprotonated molecule $[M-H]^-$ confirmed this suspicion. Anti-melanogenesis activity was attributed to eucaglobulin (Hasegawa et al., 2008) and proved with a planar tyrosinase bioassay. Extracts of eucalyptus fruits were demonstrated to have antibacterial effects against B. subtilis (Boulekbache-Makhlouf et al., 2013), which so far have not been directly correlated to the monoterpene conjugate eucaglobulin. The anti-cholinesterase activity was only described for the whole methanolic extract of Eucalyptus globulus (Amat-ur-Rasool et al., 2020) but not directly correlated to eucaglobulin.

Extracts of Ginkgo biloba seeds and leaves were applied as herbal remedies all over the globe. Originating from traditional Chinese medicine, it is used to treat bacterial skin diseases, cognitive decline (Chassagne et al., 2019), and cardiovascular diseases (Shu et al., 2018). Affirming the ethnopharmacological usage, EDA of ginkgo leaf extract (no.14) demonstrated a variety of bioactivity at hR_F 93, *i.e.*, antibacterial and antidiabetic effects, as well as β -glucuronidase and tyrosinase inhibition, and antiandrogenic activity (Figure 11C). RP-HPLC-DAD-HESI-MS analysis revealed the three ginkgolides A-C incorporated in this bioactive zone. Ginkgolide C eluted earlier from RP column (RT 6.42 min). Despite the second chromatography, ginkgolides A and B were not separated (both RT 6.86 min) and were thus detected in the same UV and mass spectra. Structurally they only differ in one additional hydroxy group of ginkgolide B. The high abundance of different ion species describing the two compounds is listed in Table 2 and displayed in Figure 11C. The wide bioactive spectrum of these two diterpenes was confirmed against standards (Supplementary Figure S8B, Supplementary Table S1).

As an alternative to coffee, the leaves of *Ilex paraguariensis* are widely consumed as beverage in Latin America. Due to its chemical composition, mainly alkaloids and polyphenols, yerba mate exhibits many bioactive effects, e.g., antibacterial, cardiovascular-protective, neuroprotective, and antidiabetic activities (Gan et al., 2018). In yerba mate green (no. 37), a multipotent bioactive analyte zone was detected at $hR_{\rm F}$ 20 (Figure 11D). Showing signals at m/z 609 [M–H]⁻ in ESI⁻ and at m/z 611 [M + H]⁺, 633 [M + Na]⁺, and 649 [M + K]⁺ in ESI⁺ at an RT of 6.84 min, the flavonoid rutin was assumed. Its antibacterial (Orhan et al., 2010) potential against B. subtilis, antityrosinase activity (Kishore et al., 2018), and glucosidase inhibition (Li et al., 2009) have already been demonstrated. assumption The was verified against а standard (Supplementary Figure S8A and D, Supplementary Table S1).

Salvia rosmarinus, predominantly growing in Mediterranean regions, is independently used in Mexican and Spanish ethnopharmacology. As medicinal plant, it was used to fight bacterial skin diseases, colds, intestinal parasites, and headaches (Heinrich et al., 2006). A very broad spectrum of bioactivities was confirmed by the presented study. The comprehensive NP- HPTLC-EDA-heart-cut RP-HPLC-DAD-HESI-MS analysis of rosemary (no. 46) showed antibacterial activity in the A. fischeri bioassay and antidiabetic properties in the β -glucosidase assay at $hR_{\rm F}$ 25. Several ions at m/z 387 $[M-H]^{-}$, 406 $[M + NH_4]^{+}$, 411 $[M + Na]^{+}$, and 427 $[M + K]^{+}$ in positive and negative ion mode were correlated to the neutral molecular weight of 388 Da (Figure 11E). The mass spectrometric data substantiate the suspicion that this bioactivity is related to medioresinol. In rosemary extracts, various bioactive compounds have been described. Carnosic acid and carnosol are known to inhibit the growth of human cancer cell lines and operate as anti-inflammatory agents (Bai et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2018) or antioxidants (Loussouarn et al., 2017). Rosmarinic acid and rosmanol were found to have antioxidant potential (Vallverdú-Queralt et al., 2014), but to our knowledge, there is no bioactivity reported for medioresinol.

Many more examples could be explained in detail. All have in common that the effect profiles we obtained explain why consuming green tea and using fresh herbs and spices as seasoning can reduce the risk of diabetes by inhibiting a- and β-glucosidases, why healthy nutrition can protect the intestinal flora from severe impairment caused by β-glucuronidases from Enterobacteriaceae, why plant-derived cosmetics can reduce skin abnormalities via its tyrosinase-inhibiting potential, and why Alzheimer's disease can be prevented by daily intake of chlorogenic acid or rosmarinic acid from herbs such as artichoke, lemon balm, peppermint, thyme and rosemary, and so on. The wealth of effect information obtained inspires the mind and could fuel further studies. An enormous diversity in bioactivity was revealed in the effect-profiles of the 68 botanicals, contributing to human health by drug-like properties. It clearly shows the potential and spectrum of nature as basis for alternative medicines.

4 CONCLUSION

Since the major part, and especially, the important active part of natural food and traditional medicines is presently not under analytical control, a paradigm shift from quality control based on marker compounds to effect profiles is postulated for plant-based samples. Considering the global production chain, whose influences on the product cannot be controlled, at least entries or changes regarding the effect should be kept under control. The Chemical Abstracts database (www.cas.org) contains over 190 million chemicals, and thousands of compounds are added daily. There is of little help, if we can measure some thousands of them with ever lower limits of determination. By doing so, this does not come close to doing justice to the complexity of plant extracts, nor to the metabolic networking of natural processes, nor to the contamination-prone global production chain. The implementation of effect-directed profiles would subtantially improve quality control, ensure the expected activites and detect unexpected activities. Even small amounts of compounds can be highly active. Disruptive thinking is essential to better control our natural food and traditional medicines. Sophisticated instrumentation does not solve

pressing challenges, but combining orthogonal areas does. The complexity of plant extracts requires modern non-target methods that combine chromatography with effect-directed assays to prioritize active compounds that show an effect and thus require utmost attention. This combination is indispensable for routine quality control to prioritize substances among the thousands of individual compounds in a plant extract. The modular NP-HPTLC-UV/Vis/FLD-EDA-heart-cut RP-HPLC-DAD-HESI-MS coupling can be used in routine and makes it easy to recognize the essence. It is said that a picture is worth a thousand words, but the effect image is worth even more. It visualizes the impressive power of nature to supply the body with important building blocks (multipotent chemicals). Several innovations were demonstrated. The pYES equipped with the hER β or in combination with the simulated S9 metabolization were applied for the first time. In the antagonistic hormonal assays, the proof for false-positive results was newly included. Synergistic effects were revealed in the hormonal effect-profiles for the first time. The developed workflows can be transferred to any other assays or samples. The array of effect-directed profiles clearly showed that natural food has the power to contribute to our homeostasis in various effective ways. The 1,292 profiles (68 samples x 19 detections) obtained within a few weeks showed the versatility of the activity potential of natural food. Artificial intelligence could help evaluate the wealth of information obtained. Exemplarily, the 60 most bioactive components were identified as proof of principle. The developed non-targeted effect-directed hyphenation highlights the advantages of analytical speed, efficiency, and economy. First, the samples were freed from the interfering matrix via planar chromatographic separation. Secondly, the focus was exclusively laid on bioactive compounds, providing targeted characterization. Calculated per sample, the robust profiling takes 3-15 min and costs 0.5-1 Euro, depending on incubation time and material consumption, respectively. One current limitation is the low resolution of the single quadrupole MS instrument. Potential drug candidates were only tentatively assigned and confirmed in an additional run against standards. At the same time, this limitation can be an opportunity for further research. Upgrading the MS instrument to a highresolution MS with fractionation possibility enables unambiguous assignment of molecular formulas and structure elucidation through fragmentation. This makes effect profiling even more attractive for routine analysis.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

TS carried out all bioassays and mass spectrometry experiments, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript draft. DS and MF

prepared the *B. subtilis*, α -/ β -glucosidase, β -glucuronidase, pYAS, pYAS, pYAAS, pYAES (bio)autograms. JH prepared the samples and *A. fischeri*, tyrosinase, and α -amylase (bio) autograms. GM initiated the project, concept and methodology, obtained research funding, supervised the study, and revised the manuscript.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Instrumentation was partially funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG; INST 162/536-1 FUGG).

REFERENCES

- Abubakar, I. B., Malami, I., Yahaya, Y., and Sule, S. M. (2018). A Review on the Ethnomedicinal Uses, Phytochemistry and Pharmacology of Alpinia Officinarum Hance. J. Ethnopharmacol 224, 45–62. doi:10.1016/ j.jep.2018.05.027
- Ács, K., Balázs, V. L., Kocsis, B., Bencsik, T., Böszörményi, A., and Horváth, G. (2018). Antibacterial Activity Evaluation of Selected Essential Oils in Liquid and Vapor Phase on Respiratory Tract Pathogens. *BMC Complement. Altern. Med.* 18, 227. doi:10.1186/s12906-018-2291-9
- Agatonovic-Kustrin, S., Kustrin, E., Gegechkori, V., and Morton, D. W. (2019). High-Performance Thin-Layer Chromatography Hyphenated with Microchemical and Biochemical Derivatizations in Bioactivity Profiling of Marine Species. *Mar. Drugs* 17. doi:10.3390/md17030148
- Agatonovic-Kustrin, S., and Morton, D. W. (2017). High-performance Thin-Layer Chromatography HPTLC-Direct Bioautography as a Method of Choice for Alpha-Amylase and Antioxidant Activity Evaluation in marine Algae. J. Chromatogr. A. 1530, 197–203. doi:10.1016/ j.chroma.2017.11.024
- Amat-ur-Rasool, H., Symes, F., Tooth, D., Schaffert, L. N., Elmorsy, E., Ahmed, M., et al. (2020). Potential Nutraceutical Properties of Leaves from Several Commonly Cultivated Plants. *Biomolecules* 10, 1556. doi:10.3390/biom10111556
- Amessis-Ouchemoukh, N., Abu-Reidah, I. M., Quirantes-Piné, R., Madani, K., and Segura-Carretero, A. (2014). Phytochemical Profiling, *In Vitro* Evaluation of Total Phenolic Contents and Antioxidant Properties of Marrubium Vulgare (Horehound) Leaves of Plants Growing in Algeria. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 61, 120–129. doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2014.06.049
- Anagnostopoulou, M. A., Kefalas, P., Kokkalou, E., Assimopoulou, A. N., and Papageorgiou, V. P. (2005). Analysis of Antioxidant Compounds in Sweet orange Peel by HPLC-Diode Array Detection-Electrospray Ionization Mass Spectrometry. *Biomed. Chromatogr.* 19, 138–148. doi:10.1002/bmc.430
- Azadniya, E., Thomä, I., Baake, J., and Morlock, G. E. (2021). High-throughput Enzyme Inhibition Screening of 44 Iranian Medicinal Plants via Piezoelectric Spraying of Planar Cholinesterase Assays. J. Chromatogr. B 1184, 122956. doi:10.1016/j.jchromb.2021.122956
- Bai, L., Li, X., He, L., Zheng, Y., Lu, H., Li, J., et al. (2019). Antidiabetic Potential of Flavonoids from Traditional Chinese Medicine: A Review. Am. J. Chin. Med. 47, 933–957. doi:10.1142/S0192415X19500496
- Bai, N., He, K., Roller, M., Lai, C. S., Shao, X., Pan, M. H., et al. (2010). Flavonoids and Phenolic Compounds from Rosmarinus Officinalis. J. Agric. Food Chem. 58, 5363–5367. doi:10.1021/jf100332w
- Baldi, A., Rosen, R. T., Fukuda, E. K., and Ho, C.-T. (1995). Identification of Nonvolatile Components in Lemon Peel by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography with Confirmation by Mass Spectrometry and Diode-Array Detection. J. Chromatogr. A 718, 89–97. doi:10.1016/0021-9673(95) 00676-1
- Belwal, T., Devkota, H. P., Hassan, H. A., Ahluwalia, S., Ramadan, M. F., Mocan, A., et al. (2018a). Phytopharmacology of Acerola (Malpighia Spp.) and its Potential as Functional Food. *Trends Food Sci. Technology* 74, 99–106. doi:10.1016/j.tifs.2018.01.014

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Merck, Darmstadt, Germany, for providing the plates, Waters, Eschborn, Germany, for technical support, and Prof. Dr. Erwin Herberle-Bors, University of Vienna, Austria, for donation of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cells equipped with the hERβ.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2021.755941/full#supplementary-material

- Belwal, T., Ezzat, S. M., Rastrelli, L., Bhatt, I. D., Daglia, M., Baldi, A., et al. (2018b). A Critical Analysis of Extraction Techniques Used for Botanicals: Trends, Priorities, Industrial Uses and Optimization Strategies. *Trac Trends Anal. Chem.* 100, 82–102. doi:10.1016/j.trac.2017.12.018
- Bilia, A. R., Giomi, M., Innocenti, M., Gallori, S., and Vincieri, F. F. (2008). HPLC-DAD-ESI-MS Analysis of the Constituents of Aqueous Preparations of Verbena and Lemon Verbena and Evaluation of the Antioxidant Activity. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* 46, 463–470. doi:10.1016/j.jpba.2007.11.007
- Boonmuen, N., Gong, P., Ali, Z., Chittiboyina, A. G., Khan, I., Doerge, D. R., et al. (2016). Licorice Root Components in Dietary Supplements Are Selective Estrogen Receptor Modulators with a Spectrum of Estrogenic and Antiestrogenic Activities. *Steroids* 105, 42–49. doi:10.1016/j.steroids.2015.11.006
- Boulekbache-Makhlouf, L., Meudec, E., Chibane, M., Mazauric, J. P., Slimani, S., Henry, M., et al. (2010). Analysis by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography Diode Array Detection Mass Spectrometry of Phenolic Compounds in Fruit of Eucalyptus Globulus Cultivated in Algeria. J. Agric. Food Chem. 58, 12615–12624. doi:10.1021/jf1029509
- Boulekbache-Makhlouf, L., Slimani, S., and Madani, K. (2013). Total Phenolic Content, Antioxidant and Antibacterial Activities of Fruits of Eucalyptus Globulus Cultivated in Algeria. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 41, 85–89. doi:10.1016/ j.indcrop.2012.04.019
- Brantner, A., and Grein, E. (1994). Antibacterial Activity of Plant Extracts Used Externally in Traditional Medicine. J. Ethnopharmacol 44, 35–40. doi:10.1016/ 0378-8741(94)90096-5
- Bravo, L., Goya, L., and Lecumberri, E. (2007). LC/MS Characterization of Phenolic Constituents of Mate (Ilex Paraguariensis, St. Hil.) and its Antioxidant Activity Compared to Commonly Consumed Beverages. *Food Res. Int.* 40, 393–405. doi:10.1016/j.foodres.2006.10.016
- Caesar, L. K., Kellogg, J. J., Kvalheim, O. M., and Cech, N. B. (2019). Opportunities and Limitations for Untargeted Mass Spectrometry Metabolomics to Identify Biologically Active Constituents in Complex Natural Product Mixtures. J. Nat. Prod. 82, 469–484. doi:10.1021/acs.jnatprod.9b00176
- Cardozo Junior, E. L., and Morand, C. (2016). Interest of Mate (Ilex Paraguariensis A. St.-Hil.) as a New Natural Functional Food to Preserve Human Cardiovascular Health - A Review. *J. Funct. Foods* 21, 440–454. doi:10.1016/ j.jff.2015.12.010
- Çelik, S. E., Tufan, A. N., Bekdeser, B., Özyürek, M., Güçlü, K., and Apak, R. (2017). Identification and Determination of Phenolics in Lamiaceae Species by UPLC-DAD-ESI-MS/MS. J. Chromatogr. Sci. 55, 291–300. doi:10.1093/chromsci/bmw184
- Chassagne, F., Huang, X., Lyles, J. T., and Quave, C. L. (2019). Validation of a 16th Century Traditional Chinese Medicine Use of Ginkgo Biloba as a Topical Antimicrobial. *Front. Microbiol.* 10, 775. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2019.00775
- Chen, E., Ding, C., and Lindsay, R. C. (2005). Qualitative and Quantitative Analyses of Ginkgo Terpene Trilactones by Liquid Chromatography/sonic spray Ionization Ion Trap Mass Spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* 77, 2966–2970. doi:10.1021/ac048510p
- Cock, I. E., Ndlovu, N., and van Vuuren, S. F. (2021). The Use of South African Botanical Species for the Control of Blood Sugar. J. Ethnopharmacol 264, 113234. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2020.113234
- Cornwell, T., Cohick, W., and Raskin, I. (2004). Dietary Phytoestrogens and Health. *Phytochemistry* 65, 995–1016. doi:10.1016/j.phytochem.2004.03.005

- da Silva, G. S., Canuto, K. M., Ribeiro, P. R. V., de Brito, E. S., Nascimento, M. M., Zocolo, G. J., et al. (2017). Chemical Profiling of Guarana Seeds (Paullinia Cupana) from Different Geographical Origins Using UPLC-QTOF-MS Combined with Chemometrics. *Food Res. Int.* 102, 700–709. doi:10.1016/ j.foodres.2017.09.055
- de Souza, L. M., Dartora, N., Scoparo, C. T., Cipriani, T. R., Gorin, P. A., Iacomini, M., et al. (2011). Comprehensive Analysis of Maté (Ilex Paraguariensis) Compounds: Development of Chemical Strategies for Matesaponin Analysis by Mass Spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. A. 1218, 7307–7315. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2011.08.047
- Dechorgnat, J., Nguyen, C. T., Armengaud, P., Jossier, M., Diatloff, E., Filleur, S., et al. (2011). From the Soil to the Seeds: the Long Journey of Nitrate in Plants. *J. Exp. Bot.* 62, 1349–1359. doi:10.1093/jxb/erq409
- Díaz, R., Ibáñez, M., Sancho, J. V., and Hernández, F. (2012). Target and Non-target Screening Strategies for Organic Contaminants, Residues and Illicit Substances in Food, Environmental and Human Biological Samples by UHPLC-QTOF-MS. *Anal. Methods* 4, 196–209. doi:10.1039/ C1AY05385J
- Ding, S., Dudley, E., Plummer, S., Tang, J., Newton, R. P., and Brenton, A. G. (2006). Quantitative Determination of Major Active Components in Ginkgo Biloba Dietary Supplements by Liquid Chromatography/mass Spectrometry. *Rapid Commun. Mass. Spectrom.* 20, 2753–2760. doi:10.1002/rcm.2646
- Du, Z., Li, J., Zhang, X., Pei, J., and Huang, L. (2018). An Integrated LC-MS-Based Strategy for the Quality Assessment and Discrimination of Three Panax Species. *Molecules* 23, 2988. doi:10.3390/molecules23112988
- Dugo, P., Mondello, L., Dugo, L., Stancanelli, R., and Dugo, G. (2000). LC-MS for the Identification of Oxygen Heterocyclic Compounds in Citrus Essential Oils. J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 24, 147–154. doi:10.1016/S0731-7085(00)00400-3
- Efferth, T., and Kaina, B. (2011). Toxicities by Herbal Medicines with Emphasis to Traditional Chinese Medicine. *Curr. Drug Metab.* 12, 989–996. doi:10.2174/ 138920011798062328
- El Senousy, A. S., Farag, M. A., Al-Mahdy, D. A., and Wessjohann, L. A. (2014). Developmental Changes in Leaf Phenolics Composition from Three Artichoke Cvs. (Cynara Scolymus) as Determined via UHPLC-MS and Chemometrics. *Phytochemistry* 108, 67–76. doi:10.1016/j.phytochem.2014.09.004
- Erenler, R., Sen, O., Aksit, H., Demirtas, I., Yaglioglu, A. S., Elmastas, M., et al. (2016). Isolation and Identification of Chemical Constituents from Origanum Majorana and Investigation of Antiproliferative and Antioxidant Activities. J. Sci. Food Agric. 96, 822–836. doi:10.1002/jsfa.7155
- European Committee for Standardization (2009). Water Quality Determination of the Inhibitory Effect of Water Samples on the Light Emission of Vibrio Fischeri (Luminescent Bacteria Test): Part 1: Method Using Freshly Prepared Bacteria.
- Ezzat, S. M., Salama, M. M., ElMeshad, A. N., Teaima, M. H., and Rashad, L. A. (2016). HPLC-DAD-MS/MS Profiling of Standardized Rosemary Extract and Enhancement of its Anti-wrinkle Activity by Encapsulation in Elastic Nanovesicles. Arch. Pharm. Res. 39, 912–925. doi:10.1007/s12272-016-0744-6
- Fan, R., Huang, X., Wang, Y., Chen, X., Ren, P., Ji, H., et al. (2012). Ethnopharmacokinetic- and Activity-Guided Isolation of a New Antidepressive Compound from Fructus Aurantii Found in the Traditional Chinese Medicine Chaihu-Shugan-San: a New Approach and its Application. Evid. Based Complement. Alternat Med. 2012, 607584. doi:10.1155/2012/ 607584
- Fang, H., Tong, W., Branham, W. S., Moland, C. L., Dial, S. L., Hong, H., et al. (2003). Study of 202 Natural, Synthetic, and Environmental Chemicals for Binding to the Androgen Receptor. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 16, 1338–1358. doi:10.1021/tx030011g
- Farag, M. A., El-Ahmady, S. H., Elian, F. S., and Wessjohann, L. A. (2013). Metabolomics Driven Analysis of Artichoke Leaf and its Commercial Products via UHPLC-Q-TOF-MS and Chemometrics. *Phytochemistry* 95, 177–187. doi:10.1016/j.phytochem.2013.07.003
- Favela-Hernández, J. M., González-Santiago, O., Ramírez-Cabrera, M. A., Esquivel-Ferriño, P. C., and Camacho-Corona, Mdel. R. (2016). Chemistry and Pharmacology of Citrus Sinensis. *Molecules* 21, 247. doi:10.3390/ molecules21020247
- Fecka, I., Kowalczyk, A., and Cisowski, W. (2004). Optimization of the Separation of Flavonoid Glycosides and Rosmarinic Acid fromMentha Piperitaon HPTLC Plates. J. Planar Chromatogr. - Mod. TLC 17, 22–25. doi:10.1556/ JPC.17.2004.1.5

- Fu, Y., Zhao, C., Lu, X., and Xu, G. (2017). Nontargeted Screening of Chemical Contaminants and Illegal Additives in Food Based on Liquid Chromatography-High Resolution Mass Spectrometry. *Trac Trends Anal. Chem.* 96, 89–98. doi:10.1016/j.trac.2017.07.014
- Gan, R. Y., Zhang, D., Wang, M., and Corke, H. (2018). Health Benefits of Bioactive Compounds from the Genus Ilex, a Source of Traditional Caffeinated Beverages. *Nutrients* 10, 1682. doi:10.3390/nu10111682
- Ge, Y. W., Zhu, S., Yoshimatsu, K., and Komatsu, K. (2017). MS/MS Similarity Networking Accelerated Target Profiling of Triterpene Saponins in Eleutherococcus Senticosus Leaves. *Food Chem.* 227, 444–452. doi:10.1016/ j.foodchem.2017.01.119
- Giorgi, A., Mingozzi, M., Madeo, M., Speranza, G., and Cocucci, M. (2009). Effect of Nitrogen Starvation on the Phenolic Metabolism and Antioxidant Properties of Yarrow (Achillea Collina Becker Ex Rchb.). *Food Chem.* 114, 204–211. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.09.039
- Guldiken, B., Ozkan, G., Catalkaya, G., Ceylan, F. D., Ekin Yalcinkaya, I., and Capanoglu, E. (2018). Phytochemicals of Herbs and Spices: Health versus Toxicological Effects. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* 119, 37–49. doi:10.1016/ j.fct.2018.05.050
- Habs, M., Binder, K., Krauss, S., Müller, K., Ernst, B., Valentini, L., et al. (2017). A Balanced Risk-Benefit Analysis to Determine Human Risks Associated with Pyrrolizidine Alkaloids (PA)-The Case of Tea and Herbal Infusions. *Nutrients* 9, 717. doi:10.3390/nu9070717
- Hage, S., and Morlock, G. E. (2017). Bioprofiling of Salicaceae Bud Extracts through High-Performance Thin-Layer Chromatography Hyphenated to Biochemical, Microbiological and Chemical Detections. J. Chromatogr. A. 1490, 201–211. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2017.02.019
- Halvorsen, B. L., Holte, K., Myhrstad, M. C., Barikmo, I., Hvattum, E., Remberg, S. F., et al. (2002). A Systematic Screening of Total Antioxidants in Dietary Plants. *J. Nutr.* 132, 461–471. doi:10.1093/jn/132.3.461
- Hasegawa, T., Takano, F., Takata, T., Niiyama, M., and Ohta, T. (2008). Bioactive Monoterpene Glycosides Conjugated with Gallic Acid from the Leaves of Eucalyptus Globulus. *Phytochemistry* 69, 747–753. doi:10.1016/ j.phytochem.2007.08.030
- Hawrył, M. A. (2014). HPLC-diode Array Detector Fingerprints of Various Mentha Species. J. AOAC Int. 97, 1268–1273. doi:10.5740/jaoacint.SGEHawryl
- Heinrich, M., Kufer, J., Leonti, M., and Pardo-de-Santayana, M. (2006). Ethnobotany and Ethnopharmacology-Iinterdisciplinary Links with the Historical Sciences. *J. Ethnopharmacol* 107, 157–160. doi:10.1016/ j.jep.2006.05.035
- Hossain, M. B., Camphuis, G., Aguiló-Aguayo, I., Gangopadhyay, N., and Rai, D.
 K. (2014). Antioxidant Activity Guided Separation of Major Polyphenols of Marjoram (Origanum Majorana L.) Using Flash Chromatography and Their Identification by Liquid Chromatography Coupled with Electrospray Ionization Tandem Mass Spectrometry. J. Sep. Sci. 37, 3205–3213. doi:10.1002/jssc.201400597
- Hossain, M. B., Rai, D. K., Brunton, N. P., Martin-Diana, A. B., and Barry-Ryan, C. (2010). Characterization of Phenolic Composition in Lamiaceae Spices by LC-ESI-MS/MS. J. Agric. Food Chem. 58, 10576–10581. doi:10.1021/jf102042g
- Hu, Y., Zeng, H., Huang, J., Jiang, L., Chen, J., and Zeng, Q. (2020). Traditional Asian Herbs in Skin Whitening: The Current Development and Limitations. *Front. Pharmacol.* 11, 982. doi:10.3389/fphar.2020.00982
- Huang, W. Y., Fu, L., Li, C. Y., Xu, L. P., Zhang, L. X., and Zhang, W. M. (2017). Quercetin, Hyperin, and Chlorogenic Acid Improve Endothelial Function by Antioxidant, Antiinflammatory, and ACE Inhibitory Effects. J. Food Sci. 82, 1239–1246. doi:10.1111/1750-3841.13706
- Huang, X. X., Xu, Y., Bai, M., Zhou, L., Song, S. J., and Wang, X. B. (2018). Lignans from the Seeds of Chinese Hawthorn (Crataegus Pinnatifida Var. Major N.E.Br.) against β-amyloid Aggregation. *Nat. Prod. Res.* 32, 1706–1713. doi:10.1080/14786419.2017.1399378
- Itoh, K., Hirata, N., Masuda, M., Naruto, S., Murata, K., Wakabayashi, K., et al. (2009). Inhibitory Effects of Citrus Hassaku Extract and its Flavanone Glycosides on Melanogenesis. *Biol. Pharm. Bull.* 32, 410–415. doi:10.1248/ bpb.32.410
- Jabir, N. R., Khan, F. R., and Tabrez, S. (2018). Cholinesterase Targeting by Polyphenols: A Therapeutic Approach for the Treatment of Alzheimer's Disease. CNS Neurosci. Ther. 24, 753–762. doi:10.1111/cns.12971

- Kaltner, F., Rychlik, M., Gareis, M., and Gottschalk, C. (2020). Occurrence and Risk Assessment of Pyrrolizidine Alkaloids in Spices and Culinary Herbs from Various Geographical Origins. *Toxins (Basel)* 12, 155. doi:10.3390/toxins12030155
- Kao, T. C., Shyu, M. H., and Yen, G. C. (2009). Neuroprotective Effects of Glycyrrhizic Acid and 18beta-Glycyrrhetinic Acid in PC12 Cells via Modulation of the PI3K/Akt Pathway. J. Agric. Food Chem. 57, 754–761. doi:10.1021/jf802864k
- Kirchmayer, T. (2009). Reconstitution of ERβ Transactivation in Yeast. Diploma Thesis. Vienna: University of Vienna, Faculty of Life Science. Available at: https://othes.univie.ac.at/8017 (Accessed October 06, 2021).
- Kishore, N., Twilley, D., Blom van Staden, A., Verma, P., Singh, B., Cardinali, G., et al. (2018). Isolation of Flavonoids and Flavonoid Glycosides from Myrsine Africana and Their Inhibitory Activities against Mushroom Tyrosinase. J. Nat. Prod. 81, 49–56. doi:10.1021/acs.jnatprod.7b00564
- Klingelhöfer, I., Hockamp, N., and Morlock, G. E. (2020). Non-targeted Detection and Differentiation of Agonists versus Antagonists, Directly in Bioprofiles of Everyday Products. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 1125, 288–298. doi:10.1016/ j.aca.2020.05.057
- Klingelhöfer, I., and Morlock, G. E. (2015). Bioprofiling of Surface/Wastewater and Bioquantitation of Discovered Endocrine-Active Compounds by Streamlined Direct Bioautography. *Anal. Chem.* 87, 11098–11104. doi:10.1021/ acs.analchem.5b03233
- Knutsen, H. K., Knutsen, H. K., Alexander, J., Barregård, L., Bignami, M., Brüschweiler, B., et al. (2017). Risks for Human Health Related to the Presence of Pyrrolizidine Alkaloids in Honey, tea, Herbal Infusions and Food Supplements. EFSA J. 15, e04908. doi:10.2903/j.efsa.2017.4908
- Kong, W., Wen, J., Yang, Y., Qiu, F., Sheng, P., and Yang, M. (2014). Simultaneous Targeted Analysis of Five Active Compounds in Licorice by Ultra-fast Liquid Chromatography Coupled to Hybrid Linear-Ion Trap Tandem Mass Spectrometry. Analyst 139, 1883–1894. doi:10.1039/c3an02209a
- Kongstad, K. T., Özdemir, C., Barzak, A., Wubshet, S. G., and Staerk, D. (2015). Combined Use of High-Resolution α-glucosidase Inhibition Profiling and High-Performance Liquid Chromatography-High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry-solid-phase Extraction-Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy for Investigation of Antidiabetic Principles in Crude Plant Extracts. J. Agric. Food Chem. 63, 2257–2263. doi:10.1021/ jf506297k
- Krüger, S., Bergin, A., and Morlock, G. E. (2018). Effect-directed Analysis of Ginger (Zingiber Officinale) and its Food Products, and Quantification of Bioactive Compounds via High-Performance Thin-Layer Chromatography and Mass Spectrometry. *Food Chem.* 243, 258–268. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.09.095
- Krüger, S., Hüsken, L., Fornasari, R., Scainelli, I., and Morlock, G. E. (2017). Effectdirected Fingerprints of 77 Botanical Extracts via a Generic High-Performance Thin-Layer Chromatography Method Combined with Assays and Mass Spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. A. 1529, 93–106. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2017.10.068
- Kuźniewski, R., Załuski, D., Olech, M., Banaszczak, P., and Nowak, R. (2018). LC-ESI-MS/MS Profiling of Phenolics in the Leaves of Eleutherococcus Senticosus Cultivated in the West Europe and Anti-hyaluronidase and Antiacetylcholinestarase Activities. *Nat. Prod. Res.* 32, 448–452. doi:10.1080/ 14786419.2017.1308369
- Lall, N., and Kishore, N. (2014). Are Plants Used for Skin Care in South Africa Fully Explored? J. Ethnopharmacol 153, 61–84. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2014.02.021
- Li, G., Nikolic, D., and van Breemen, R. B. (2016). Identification and Chemical Standardization of Licorice Raw Materials and Dietary Supplements Using UHPLC-MS/MS. J. Agric. Food Chem. 64, 8062–8070. doi:10.1021/ acs.jafc.6b02954
- Li, K., Ji, S., Song, W., Kuang, Y., Lin, Y., Tang, S., et al. (2017). Glycybridins A-K, Bioactive Phenolic Compounds from Glycyrrhiza Glabra. J. Nat. Prod. 80, 334–346. doi:10.1021/acs.jnatprod.6b00783
- Li, S., Yu, H., and Ho, C. T. (2006). Nobiletin: Efficient and Large Quantity Isolation from orange Peel Extract. *Biomed. Chromatogr.* 20, 133–138. doi:10.1002/bmc.540
- Li, Y. Q., Zhou, F. C., Gao, F., Bian, J. S., and Shan, F. (2009). Comparative Evaluation of Quercetin, Isoquercetin and Rutin as Inhibitors of Alpha-Glucosidase. J. Agric. Food Chem. 57, 11463–11468. doi:10.1021/jf903083h
- Lin, L. Z., and Harnly, J. M. (2012). LC-PDA-ESI/MS Identification of the Phenolic Components of Three Compositae Spices: Chamomile, Tarragon, and Mexican Arnica. Nat. Prod. Commun. 7, 749-752. doi:10.1177/1934578X1200700615

- Liu, J., Burdette, J. E., Xu, H., Gu, C., van Breemen, R. B., Bhat, K. P., et al. (2001). Evaluation of Estrogenic Activity of Plant Extracts for the Potential Treatment of Menopausal Symptoms. J. Agric. Food Chem. 49, 2472–2479. doi:10.1021/ if0014157
- Liu, J. Q., Peng, X. R., Li, X. Y., Li, T. Z., Zhang, W. M., Shi, L., et al. (2013). Norfriedelins A-C with Acetylcholinesterase Inhibitory Activity from Acerola Tree (Malpighia Emarginata). Org. Lett. 15, 1580–1583. doi:10.1021/ol4003702
- Loussouarn, M., Krieger-Liszkay, A., Svilar, L., Bily, A., Birtić, S., and Havaux, M. (2017). Carnosic Acid and Carnosol, Two Major Antioxidants of Rosemary, Act through Different Mechanisms. *Plant Physiol.* 175, 1381–1394. doi:10.1104/ pp.17.01183
- Mahran, E., Keusgen, M., and Morlock, G. E. (2020). New Planar Assay for Streamlined Detection and Quantification of β -glucuronidase Inhibitors Applied to Botanical Extracts. *Anal. Chim. Acta X* 4, 100039. doi:10.1016/j.acax.2020.100039
- Manthey, J. A., and Grohmann, K. (1996). Concentrations of Hesperidin and Other Orange Peel Flavonoids in Citrus Processing Byproducts. J. Agric. Food Chem. 44, 811–814. doi:10.1021/jf950572g
- Marston, A., Kissling, J., and Hostettmann, K. (2002). A Rapid TLC Bioautographic Method for the Detection of Acetylcholinesterase and Butyrylcholinesterase Inhibitors in Plants. *Phytochem. Anal.* 13, 51–54. doi:10.1002/pca.623
- Marston, A. (2011). Thin-layer Chromatography with Biological Detection in Phytochemistry. J. Chromatogr. A. 1218, 2676–2683. doi:10.1016/ j.chroma.2010.12.068
- Mateos, R., Baeza, G., Sarriá, B., and Bravo, L. (2018). Improved LC-MSn Characterization of Hydroxycinnamic Acid Derivatives and Flavonols in Different Commercial Mate (Ilex Paraguariensis) Brands. Quantification of Polyphenols, Methylxanthines, and Antioxidant Activity. *Food Chem.* 241, 232–241. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.08.085
- Mauri, P., Migliazza, B., and Pietta, P. (1999). Liquid Chromatography/ electrospray Mass Spectrometry of Bioactive Terpenoids in Ginkgo Biloba L. J. Mass. Spectrom., 34, 13612–13676. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1096-9888(199912)34: 12<1361:AID-JMS895>3.0.CO;2-6
- Mbachu, O. C., Howell, C., Simmler, C., Malca Garcia, G. R., Skowron, K. J., Dong, H., et al. (2020). SAR Study on Estrogen Receptor α/β Activity of (Iso) flavonoids: Importance of Prenylation, C-Ring (Un)Saturation, and Hydroxyl Substituents. J. Agric. Food Chem. 68, 10651–10663. doi:10.1021/ acs.jafc.0c03526
- Mena, P., Cirlini, M., Tassotti, M., Herrlinger, K. A., dall'Asta, C., and Del Rio, D. (2016). Phytochemical Profiling of Flavonoids, Phenolic Acids, Terpenoids, and Volatile Fraction of a Rosemary (Rosmarinus Officinalis L.) Extract. *Molecules* 21, 1576. doi:10.3390/molecules21111576
- Meyer, D., Marin-Kuan, M., Debon, E., Serrant, P., Cottet-Fontannaz, C., Schilter, B., et al. (2020). Detection of Low Levels of Genotoxic Compounds in Food Contact Materials Using an Alternative HPTLC-SOS-Umu-C Assay. *Altex* 38, 387–397. doi:10.14573/altex.2006201
- Montero, L., Ibáñez, E., Russo, M., Di Sanzo, R., Rastrelli, L., Piccinelli, A. L., et al. (2016). Metabolite Profiling of Licorice (Glycyrrhiza Glabra) from Different Locations Using Comprehensive Two-Dimensional Liquid Chromatography Coupled to Diode Array and Tandem Mass Spectrometry Detection. Anal. Chim. Acta 913, 145–159. doi:10.1016/j.aca.2016.01.040
- Morlock, G. E., and Heil, J. (2020). HI-HPTLC-UV/Vis/FLD-HESI-HRMS and Bioprofiling of Steviol Glycosides, Steviol, and Isosteviol in Stevia Leaves and Foods. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 412, 6431–6448. doi:10.1007/s00216-020-02618-4
- Morlock, G. E., Heil, J., Bardot, V., Lenoir, L., Cotte, C., and Dubourdeaux, M. (2021a). Effect-Directed Profiling of 17 Different Fortified Plant Extracts by High-Performance Thin-Layer Chromatography Combined with Six Planar Assays and High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry. *Molecules* 26, 1468. doi:10.3390/molecules26051468
- Morlock, G. E., Heil, J., Inarejos-Garcia, A. M., and Maeder, J. (2021b). Effect-Directed Profiling of Powdered Tea Extracts for Catechins, Theaflavins, Flavonols and Caffeine. *Antioxidants (Basel)* 10, 117. doi:10.3390/ antiox10010117
- Morlock, G. E. (2021). High-performance Thin-Layer Chromatography Combined with Effect-Directed Assays and High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry as an Emerging Hyphenated Technology: A Tutorial Review. Anal. Chim. Acta 1180, 338644. doi:10.1016/j.aca.2021.338644

- Morlock, G. E., and Klingelhöfer, I. (2014). Liquid Chromatography-Bioassay-Mass Spectrometry for Profiling of Physiologically Active Food. Anal. Chem. 86, 8289–8295. doi:10.1021/ac501723j
- Morlock, G. E. (2014). Background Mass Signals in Tlc/hptlc-Esi-Ms and Practical Advices for Use of the Tlc-Ms Interface. J. Liquid Chromatogr. Relat. Tech. 37, 2892–2914. doi:10.1080/10739149.2014.907000
- Motohashi, N., Wakabayashi, H., Kurihara, T., Fukushima, H., Yamada, T., Kawase, M., et al. (2004). Biological Activity of barbados Cherry (Acerola Fruits, Fruit of Malpighia Emarginata DC) Extracts and Fractions. *Phytother Res.* 18, 212–223. doi:10.1002/ptr.1426
- Mulder, P. P. J., Sánchez, P. L., These, A., Preiss-Weigert, A., and Castellari, M. (2015). Occurrence of Pyrrolizidine Alkaloids in Food. *EFSA Supporting Publications* 12. doi:10.2903/sp.efsa.2015.EN-859
- Niu, X., Luo, J., Xu, D., Zou, H., and Kong, L. (2017). Hydrogen/deuterium Exchange, a Unique and Effective Method for MS Fragmentation Behavior Elucidation of Ginkgolides and its Application to Systematic Research in Ginkgo Biloba. J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 134, 181–186. doi:10.1016/ j.jpba.2016.11.043
- Nomura, T., Fukai, T., and Akiyama, T. (2002). Chemistry of Phenolic Compounds of Licorice (Glycyrrhiza Species) and Their Estrogenic and Cytotoxic Activities. *Pure Appl. Chem.* 74, 1199–1206. doi:10.1351/pac200274071199
- Önder, F. C., Ay, M., and Sarker, S. D. (2013). Comparative Study of Antioxidant Properties and Total Phenolic Content of the Extracts of Humulus Lupulus L. And Quantification of Bioactive Components by LC-MS/MS and GC-MS. J. Agric. Food Chem. 61, 10498–10506. doi:10.1021/jf4031508
- Orhan, D. D., Ozçelik, B., Ozgen, S., and Ergun, F. (2010). Antibacterial, Antifungal, and Antiviral Activities of Some Flavonoids. *Microbiol. Res.* 165, 496–504. doi:10.1016/j.micres.2009.09.002
- Palombo, E. A., and Semple, S. J. (2001). Antibacterial Activity of Traditional Australian Medicinal Plants. J. Ethnopharmacol 77, 151–157. doi:10.1016/ S0378-8741(01)00290-2
- Pastorino, G., Cornara, L., Soares, S., Rodrigues, F., and Oliveira, M. B. P. P. (2018). Liquorice (Glycyrrhiza Glabra): A Phytochemical and Pharmacological Review. *Phytother Res.* 32, 2323–2339. doi:10.1002/ptr.6178
- Pérez-Mendoza, M. B., Llorens-Escobar, L., Vanegas-Espinoza, P. E., Cifuentes, A., Ibáñez, E., and Villar-Martínez, A. A. D. (2020). Chemical Characterization of Leaves and Calli Extracts of Rosmarinus Officinalis by UHPLC-MS. *Electrophoresis* 41, 1776–1783. doi:10.1002/elps.201900152
- Petersen, M. J., de Cássia Lemos Lima, R., Kjaerulff, L., and Staerk, D. (2019). Immobilized α-amylase Magnetic Beads for Ligand Fishing: Proof of Concept and Identification of α-amylase Inhibitors in Ginkgo Biloba. *Phytochemistry* 164, 94–101. doi:10.1016/j.phytochem.2019.04.016
- Prencipe, F. P., Brighenti, V., Rodolfi, M., Mongelli, A., dall'Asta, C., Ganino, T., et al. (2014). Development of a New High-Performance Liquid Chromatography Method with Diode Array and Electrospray Ionization-Mass Spectrometry Detection for the Metabolite Fingerprinting of Bioactive Compounds in Humulus Lupulus L. J. Chromatogr. A. 1349, 50–59. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2014.04.097
- Puranik, N. V., Srivastava, P., Bhatt, G., John Mary, D. J. S., Limaye, A. M., and Sivaraman, J. (2019). Determination and Analysis of Agonist and Antagonist Potential of Naturally Occurring Flavonoids for Estrogen Receptor (ERa) by Various Parameters and Molecular Modelling Approach. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 7450. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-43768-5
- Quirantes-Piné, R., Arráez-Román, D., Segura-Carretero, A., and Fernández-Gutiérrez, A. (2010). Characterization of Phenolic and Other Polar Compounds in a Lemon Verbena Extract by Capillary Electrophoresis-Electrospray Ionization-Mass Spectrometry. J. Sep. Sci. 33, 2818–2827. doi:10.1002/jssc.201000228
- Rejeb, I. B., Dhen, N., Gargouri, M., and Boulila, A. (2020). Chemical Composition, Antioxidant Potential and Enzymes Inhibitory Properties of Globe Artichoke By-Products. *Chem. Biodivers* 17, e2000073. doi:10.1002/cbdv.202000073
- Rocchetti, G., Senizza, B., Zengin, G., Mahomodally, M. F., Senkardes, I., Lobine, D., et al. (2020). Untargeted Metabolomic Profiling of Three Crataegus Species (Hawthorn) and Their *In Vitro* Biological Activities. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 100, 1998–2006. doi:10.1002/jsfa.10216
- Rosselli, S., Maggio, A., Bellone, G., Formisano, C., Basile, A., Cicala, C., et al. (2007). Antibacterial and Anticoagulant Activities of Coumarins Isolated from the Flowers of Magydaris Tomentosa. *Planta Med.* 73, 116–120. doi:10.1055/s-2006-951772

- Rusko, J., Perkons, I., Rasinger, J. D., and Bartkevics, V. (2020). Non-target and Suspected-Target Screening for Potentially Hazardous Chemicals in Food Contact Materials: Investigation of Paper Straws. *Food Addit Contam. Part.* A. Chem. Anal. Control. Expo. Risk Assess. 37, 649–664. doi:10.1080/ 19440049.2020.1711969
- Salehi, B., Sharifi-Rad, J., Quispe, C., Llaique, H., Villalobos, M., Smeriglio, A., et al. (2019). Insights into Eucalyptus Genus Chemical Constituents, Biological Activities and Health-Promoting Effects. *Trends Food Sci. Technology* 91, 609–624. doi:10.1016/j.tifs.2019.08.003
- Santos, S. A., Freire, C. S., Domingues, M. R., Silvestre, A. J., and Pascoal Neto, C. (2011). Characterization of Phenolic Components in Polar Extracts of Eucalyptus Globulus Labill. Bark by High-Performance Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry. J. Agric. Food Chem. 59, 9386–9393. doi:10.1021/jf201801q
- Sawalha, S. M. S., Arráez-Román, D., Segura-Carretero, A., and Fernández-Gutiérrez, A. (2009). Quantification of Main Phenolic Compounds in Sweet and Bitter orange Peel Using CE-MS/MS. *Food Chem.* 116, 567–574. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2009.03.003
- Schreiner, T., and Morlock, G. E. (2021). Non-target Bioanalytical Eight-Dimensional Hyphenation Including Bioassay, Heart-Cut Trapping, Online Desalting, Orthogonal Separations and Mass Spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. A. 1647, 462154. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2021.462154
- Schulte-Oehlmann, U., Oehlmann, J., and Keil, F. (2011). Before the Curtain Falls: Endocrine-Active Pesticides-Aa German Contamination Legacy. *Rev. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 213, 137–159. doi:10.1007/978-1-4419-9860-6_5
- Schütz, K., Kammerer, D., Carle, R., and Schieber, A. (2004). Identification and Quantification of Caffeoylquinic Acids and Flavonoids from Artichoke (Cynara Scolymus L.) Heads, Juice, and Pomace by HPLC-DAD-ESI/MS(n). J. Agric. Food Chem. 52, 4090–4096. doi:10.1021/jf049625x
- Sharma, R., Kuca, K., Nepovimova, E., Kabra, A., Rao, M. M., and Prajapati, P. K. (2019). Traditional Ayurvedic and Herbal Remedies for Alzheimer's Disease: from Bench to Bedside. *Expert Rev. Neurother* 19, 359–374. doi:10.1080/ 14737175.2019.1596803
- Sharma, V., Katiyar, A., and Agrawal, R. C. (2018). "Glycyrrhiza Glabra: Chemistry and Pharmacological Activity,"in. Sweeteners. Editors J.-M. Mérillon and K. G. Ramawat (Cham: Springer International Publishing), 87–100. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-27027-2_21
- Shu, P., Fei, Y., Li, J., Liu, A., Zhang, L., Niu, H., et al. (2020). Two New Phenylethanoid Glycosides from Ginkgo Biloba Leaves and Their Tyrosinase Inhibitory Activities. *Carbohydr. Res.* 494, 108059. doi:10.1016/ j.carres.2020.108059
- Shu, Z., Hussain Sh, A., Shahen, M., Wang, H., Alagawany, M., Abd El-Hac, M. E., et al. (2018). Pharmacological Uses of Ginkgo Biloba Extracts for Cardiovascular Disease and Coronary Heart Diseases. *Int. J. Pharmacol.* 15, 1–9. doi:10.3923/ijp.2019.1.9
- Simões-Pires, C. A., Hmicha, B., Marston, A., and Hostettmann, K. (2009). A TLC Bioautographic Method for the Detection of Alpha- and Beta-Glucosidase Inhibitors in Plant Extracts. *Phytochem. Anal.* 20, 511–515. doi:10.1002/ pca.1154
- Smyth, T., Ramachandran, V. N., and Smyth, W. F. (2009). A Study of the Antimicrobial Activity of Selected Naturally Occurring and Synthetic Coumarins. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 33, 421–426. doi:10.1016/ j.ijantimicag.2008.10.022
- Soković, M., Glamočlija, J., Marin, P. D., Brkić, D., and van Griensven, L. J. (2010). Antibacterial Effects of the Essential Oils of Commonly Consumed Medicinal Herbs Using an *In Vitro* Model. *Molecules* 15, 7532–7546. doi:10.3390/ molecules15117532
- Sommella, E., Pagano, F., Salviati, E., Chieppa, M., Bertamino, A., Manfra, M., et al. (2018). Chemical Profiling of Bioactive Constituents in Hop Cones and Pellets Extracts by Online Comprehensive Two-Dimensional Liquid Chromatography with Tandem Mass Spectrometry and Direct Infusion Fourier Transform Ion Cyclotron Resonance Mass Spectrometry. J. Sep. Sci. 41, 1548–1557. doi:10.1002/jssc.201701242
- Su, Q. Z., Vera, P., and Nerín, C. (2020). Direct Immersion-solid-phase Microextraction Coupled to Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry and Response Surface Methodology for Nontarget Screening of (Semi-) Volatile Migrants from Food Contact Materials. *Anal. Chem.* 92, 5577–5584. doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.0c00532

- Sun, C. P., Yan, J. K., Yi, J., Zhang, X. Y., Yu, Z. L., Huo, X. K., et al. (2020). The Study of Inhibitory Effect of Natural Flavonoids toward β-glucuronidase and Interaction of Flavonoids with β-glucuronidase. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol* 143, 349–358. doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2019.12.057
- Taibon, J., Ankli, A., Schwaiger, S., Magnenat, C., Boka, V. I., Simões-Pires, C., et al. (2015). Prevention of False-Positive Results: Development of an HPTLC Autographic Assay for the Detection of Natural Tyrosinase Inhibitors. *Planta Med.* 81, 1198–1204. doi:10.1055/s-0035-1546250
- Tamayose, C. I., Torres, P. B., Roque, N., and Ferreira, M. J. P. (2019). HIV-1 Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitory Activity of Flavones and Chlorogenic Acid Derivatives from Moquiniastrum Floribundum (Asteraceae). South Afr. J. Bot. 123, 142–146. doi:10.1016/j.sajb.2019.02.005
- Tresserra-Rimbau, A., Lamuela-Raventos, R. M., and Moreno, J. J. (2018). Polyphenols, Food and Pharma. Current Knowledge and Directions for Future Research. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 156, 186–195. doi:10.1016/j.bcp.2018.07.050
- Tundis, R., Bonesi, M., Menichini, F., and Loizzo, M. R. (2016). Recent Knowledge on Medicinal Plants as Source of Cholinesterase Inhibitors for the Treatment of Dementia. *Mini Rev. Med. Chem.* 16, 605–618. doi:10.2174/ 1389557515666150709104731
- Turkiewicz, I. P., Wojdyło, A., Tkacz, K., Nowicka, P., and Hernández, F. (2019). Antidiabetic, Anticholinesterase and Antioxidant Activity vs. Terpenoids and Phenolic Compounds in Selected New Cultivars and Hybrids of Artichoke Cynara Scolymus L. *Molecules* 24. doi:10.3390/molecules24071222
- Umeno, A., Horie, M., Murotomi, K., Nakajima, Y., and Yoshida, Y. (2016). Antioxidative and Antidiabetic Effects of Natural Polyphenols and Isoflavones. *Molecules* 21. doi:10.3390/molecules21060708
- Vallverdú-Queralt, A., Regueiro, J., Martínez-Huélamo, M., Rinaldi Alvarenga, J. F., Leal, L. N., and Lamuela-Raventos, R. M. (2014). A Comprehensive Study on the Phenolic Profile of Widely Used Culinary Herbs and Spices: Rosemary, Thyme, Oregano, Cinnamon, Cumin and bay. *Food Chem.* 154, 299–307. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.12.106
- Velkoska-Markovska, L., Jankulovska, M. S., Petanovska-Ilievska, B., and Hristovski, K. (2020). Development and Validation of RRLC-UV Method for Determination of Chlorogenic Acid in green Coffee. Acta Chromatographica 32, 34–38. doi:10.1556/1326.2019.00547
- Vierling, W., Brand, N., Gaedcke, F., Sensch, K. H., Schneider, E., and Scholz, M. (2003). Investigation of the Pharmaceutical and Pharmacological Equivalence of Different Hawthorn Extracts. *Phytomedicine* 10, 8–16. doi:10.1078/094471103321648601
- Wang, L. C., Wei, W. H., Zhang, X. W., Liu, D., Zeng, K. W., and Tu, P. F. (2018). An Integrated Proteomics and Bioinformatics Approach Reveals the Antiinflammatory Mechanism of Carnosic Acid. *Front. Pharmacol.* 9, 370. doi:10.3389/fphar.2018.00370
- Wang, S., Ouyang, B., Aa, J., Geng, J., Fei, F., Wang, P., et al. (2016). Pharmacokinetics and Tissue Distribution of Ginkgolide A, Ginkgolide B, and Ginkgolide K after Intravenous Infusion of Ginkgo Diterpene Lactones in a Rat Model. *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* 126, 109–116. doi:10.1016/j.jpba.2016.04.035
- Wang, Y. H., Meng, Y., Zhai, C., Wang, M., Avula, B., Yuk, J., et al. (2019). The Chemical Characterization of Eleutherococcus Senticosus and Ci-Wu-jia Tea Using UHPLC-UV-QTOF/MS. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 20. doi:10.3390/ ijms20030475
- Willems, J. L., Khamis, M. M., Mohammed Saeid, W., Purves, R. W., Katselis, G., Low, N. H., et al. (2016). Analysis of a Series of Chlorogenic Acid Isomers Using Differential Ion Mobility and Tandem Mass Spectrometry. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 933, 164–174. doi:10.1016/j.aca.2016.05.041
- Wilson, W. B., and Sander, L. C. (2018). Method Development for the Certification of a Ginsenoside Calibration Solution via Liquid Chromatography with Absorbance and Mass Spectrometric Detection. J. Chromatogr. A. 1574, 114–121. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2018.09.011
- Wojdylo, A., Oszmianski, J., and Czemerys, R. (2007). Antioxidant Activity and Phenolic Compounds in 32 Selected Herbs. *Food Chem.* 105, 940–949. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2007.04.038

- Wong, Y. F., Cacciola, F., Fermas, S., Riga, S., James, D., Manzin, V., et al. (2018). Untargeted Profiling of Glycyrrhiza Glabra Extract with Comprehensive Two-Dimensional Liquid Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry Using Multi-Segmented Shift Gradients in the Second Dimension: Expanding the Metabolic Coverage. *Electrophoresis* 39, 1993–2000. doi:10.1002/elps.201700469
- Wu, B., Song, H. P., Zhou, X., Liu, X. G., Gao, W., Dong, X., et al. (2016). Screening of Minor Bioactive Compounds from Herbal Medicines by In Silico Docking and the Trace Peak Exposure Methods. J. Chromatogr. A. 1436, 91–99. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2016.01.062
- Ye, Y., Chou, G. X., Mu, D. D., Wang, H., Chu, J. H., Leung, A. K., et al. (2010). Screening of Chinese Herbal Medicines for Antityrosinase Activity in a Cell Free System and B16 Cells. J. Ethnopharmacol 129, 387–390. doi:10.1016/ j.jep.2010.04.009
- Yilmaz, M. A. (2020). Simultaneous Quantitative Screening of 53 Phytochemicals in 33 Species of Medicinal and Aromatic Plants: A Detailed, Robust and Comprehensive LC-MS/MS Method Validation. *Ind. Crops Prod.* 149, 112347. doi:10.1016/j.indcrop.2020.112347
- Yilmaz, Y., and Toledo, R. T. (2004). Major Flavonoids in Grape Seeds and Skins: Antioxidant Capacity of Catechin, Epicatechin, and Gallic Acid. J. Agric. Food Chem. 52, 255–260. doi:10.1021/jf030117h
- Yu, J. Y., Ha, J. Y., Kim, K. M., Jung, Y. S., Jung, J. C., and Oh, S. (2015). Anti-Inflammatory Activities of Licorice Extract and its Active Compounds, Glycyrrhizic Acid, Liquiritin and Liquiritigenin, in BV2 Cells and Mice Liver. *Molecules* 20, 13041–13054. doi:10.3390/molecules200713041
- Yuan, H., Ma, Q., Ye, L., and Piao, G. (2016). The Traditional Medicine and Modern Medicine from Natural Products. *Molecules* 21, 559. doi:10.3390/ molecules21050559
- Zhang, C., Lu, Y., Tao, L., Tao, X., Su, X., and Wei, D. (2007). Tyrosinase Inhibitory Effects and Inhibition Mechanisms of Nobiletin and Hesperidin from Citrus Peel Crude Extracts. J. Enzyme Inhib. Med. Chem. 22, 91–98. doi:10.1080/ 14756360600953876
- Zhang, X., Zhang, Y., Shi, P., Bi, Z., Shan, Z., and Ren, L. (2021). The Deep challenge of Nitrate Pollution in River Water of China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 770, 144674. doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144674
- Zheng, J., Kallio, H., and Yang, B. (2016). Sea Buckthorn (Hippophaë Rhamnoides Ssp. Rhamnoides) Berries in Nordic Environment: Compositional Response to Latitude and Weather Conditions. J. Agric. Food Chem. 64, 5031–5044. doi:10.1021/acs.jafc.6b00682
- Zhou, Y.-Q., Liu, H., He, M.-X., Wang, R., Zeng, Q.-Q., Wang, Y., et al. (2018). "A Review of the Botany, Phytochemical, and Pharmacological Properties of Galangal," in *Natural And Artificial Flavoring Agents and Food Dyes*. Editors A. M. Grumezescu and A. M. Holban (London: Academic Press, an imprint of Elsevier), 351–396. doi:10.1016/b978-0-12-811518-3.00011-9

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors, and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Schreiner, Sauter, Friz, Heil and Morlock. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.